



W.P(MD)No.16423 of 2024

BEFORE THE MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT

DATED: 22.07.2024

CORAM :

THE HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE B.PUGALENDHI

W.P(MD)No.16423 of 2024

and

WMP.(MD)No.14231 of 2024

Palani Aayira Vaisiyar Pothu Sabai
Sangam
represented by the Joint Secretary,
M.Vinayaga Moorthy

... Petitioner

Vs

1. The Superintendent of Police,
Dindigul District.

2. The Inspector of Police,
Palani Town Police Station,
Dindigul District.

3. The District Registrar (Societies Registration),
Dindigul District.

4. The Thasildhar,
Palani Taluk,
Dindigul District.

5. Murugesan

... Respondents

PRAYER: Writ Petition filed under Article 226 of the Constitution of India, praying this Court to issue a Writ of Mandamus, to direct the 2nd respondent to take action on the petitioner's complaint dated 17.07.2024 and dispose of the same in the light of the decision taken by the 4th



W.P(MD)No.16423 of 2024

respondent vide his proceedings in Na.Ka.No.09/2024/A1 dated 12.07.2024 forthwith.

For Petitioner : Mr.Anand.R
For R1 & R4 : Mr.M.Sakthi Kumar
Government Advocate (crl. side)

ORDER

This Writ Petition has been filed seeking issuance of Writ of Mandamus, as against the second respondent to take action on the petitioner's complaint dated 17.07.2024 and in the light of the decision taken by the 4th respondent vide his proceedings in Na.Ka.No. 09/2024/A1 dated 12.07.2024.

2.The learned Counsel for the petitioner submits that the fourth respondent/Tahsildar had taken a decision in the peace committee meeting in the proceeding dated 12.07.2024. Based on the proceedings of the fourth respondent/Tahsildar, the petitioner has lodged a complaint before the second respondent police.

3.The learned Government Advocate (Crl. Side) submits that the



W.P(MD)No.16423 of 2024

fifth respondent has conducted a General Body Meeting and the petitioner herein has approached the second respondent police to prevent the General Body Meeting in the light of the order passed by the fourth respondent/Tahsildar. However, the respondent police has not taken any action, since the dispute is of civil nature.

4.This Court considered the rival submissions made.

5.This Court places its appreciation to the second respondent police in not interfering in the complaint which is of civil nature. This Court is also passing orders that the Tahsildar is not having any powers to conduct a peace committee meeting and the decision if any taken in the so called peace committee meeting is not having any statutory force. Therefore, this Court is not inclined to entertain this petition.

6.Accordingly, this Writ Petition is dismissed. No costs. Consequently, connected miscellaneous petition is closed.

22.07.2024

Internet :Yes
Index :Yes/No



W.P(MD)No.16423 of 2024

NCC :Yes/No
jbr

WEB COPY

To

1. The Superintendent of Police,
Dindigul District.
2. The Inspector of Police,
Palani Town Police Station,
Dindigul District.
3. The District Registrar (Societies Registration),
Dindigul District.
4. The Thasildhar,
Palani Taluk,
Dindigul District.
5. The Additional Public Prosecutor,
Madurai Bench of Madras High Court,
Madurai.



WEB COPY



W.P(MD)No.16423 of 2024

B.PUGALENDHI, J.

jbr

W.P(MD)No.16423 of 2024

22.07.2024