



Rev.Apln.(MD)No.13 of 2024

BEFORE THE MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT

WEB COPY

Date : 08.02.2024

CORAM:

THE HON'BLE Mr. JUSTICE R.SURESH KUMAR
AND
THE HON'BLE Mr. JUSTICE K.K.RAMAKRISHNAN

Review Application (MD) No.13 of 2024

R.Kalaichelvi

... Applicant

Vs.

1.The Tamil Nadu Electricity Board,
Rep. By its Chairman,
No.144 Anna Salai, Chennai 600 009.

2.The Chief Enginner – Establishment,
The Tamil Nadu Electricity Board,
No.144 Anna Salai, Chennai – 600 009.

3.The Superintending Engineer,
Tamil Nadu Electricity Board,
Trichy/EDC/South,
Mannapuram, Trichy.

4.The District Employment Officer,
Williams Road,
Trichy 620 001.

... Respondents

Prayer : Review Application filed under Order 47 Rule 1 read with Section 114 of C.P.C. praying to review the judgment passed W.A.(MD).No.293 of 2023 dated 21.03.2023.



Rev.Apln.(MD)No.13 of 2024

For Applicant : Mr.T.Lenin Kumar
For R1 to R3 : Ms.Nilani Clarie. N
for AGAM Legal Advocates
For R4 : Mr.D.Sadhiq Raja
Additional Government Pleader

ORDER

[Order of the Court was made by R.SURESH KUMAR, J.]

This review application has been filed seeking to review the order passed by the Division Bench dated 21.03.2023 made in W.A.(MD).No.293 of 2013.

2. The review applicant was the appellant in the main writ appeal. Her case was that, event though she reached the upper age limit of 40 years for appointment to the post of Assessor in the respondent Department i.e. TANGEDCO, she should be considered by giving relaxation of the upper age limit. The said plea having been considered, her writ petition in fact was rejected by the Writ Court and reasoning has been given, which was considered and accepted by the Division Bench in our order dated 21.03.2023.



Rev.Apln.(MD)No.13 of 2024

3. Therefore at this juncture, nothing apparently on the face of record is in error which requires reconsideration of the judgment of this Court, which is sought to be reviewed in this review application.

4. Apart from that, the petitioner admittedly is a 60 years old, therefore the question of consideration even on any other ground for appointment to the post of Assessor at the respondent TANGEDCO does not arise at all. Therefore, this review application is deserved to be rejected, accordingly is rejected.

[R.S.K. J] [K.K.R.K.J.]
08.02.2024

Sgl

To

- 1.The Chairman,
Tamil Nadu Electricity Board,
No.144 Anna Salai, Chennai 600 009.
- 2.The Chief Enginner – Establishment,
The Tamil Nadu Electricity Board,
No.144 Anna Salai, Chennai – 600 009.
- 3.The Superintending Engineer,
Tamil Nadu Electricity Board,
Trichy/EDC/South,
Mannapuram, Trichy.
- 4.The District Employment Officer,
Williams Road, Trichy 620 001.

3/4



WEB COPY



Rev.Apln.(MD)No.13 of 2024

R.SURESH KUMAR, J.
AND
K.K.RAMAKRISHNAN, J.

Sgl

Rev.Aplc.(MD)No.13 of 2024

08.02.2024