



W.P(MD)No.15949 of 2024

BEFORE THE MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT

DATED : 16.07.2024

CORAM

THE HONOURABLE MR.JUSTICE G.R.SWAMINATHAN

W.P(MD)No.15949 of 2024

and

W.M.P.(MD)No.13867 of 2024

Suryakala

... Petitioner

Vs.

1.The District Collector,
Thoothukudi District,
Thoothukudi.

2.The Special Tahsildar (Adi-Dravidar Welfare),
Tiruchendur Taluk Office, Tiruchendur,
Thoothukudi District.

... Respondents

Prayer : Writ Petition filed under Article 226 of the Constitution of India, praying this Court to issue a Writ of Certiorarified Mandamus, to call for the records on the file of the 2nd respondent in connection with the impugned order passed by the 2nd respondent vide his proceedings in Na.Ka.No.A1/121/97 dated 12.11.2019 and to quash the same as illegal and arbitrary and consequently, to direct the respondents to re issue Free House Assignment Patta to the Petitioner in respect of the land in Plot No.41, to an extent of 0.01.20 Hectares, situating in 107/6A4 and 107/6A5 in Keela Tiruchendur Village, Tiruchendur Taluk, Thoothukudi District.



W.P(MD)No.15949 of 2024

For Petitioner : Mr.G.Thalaimuthurasu

For Respondents : Mr.G.V.Vairam Santhosh,
Addl. Government Pleader.

WEB COPY

ORDER

The writ petitioner was granted assignment patta on 19.02.2019 by the second respondent. However, the assigned lands were not earmarked and formally handed over to the beneficiaries. The petitioner has already been representing to the authorities for physically handing over the assigned plot. At this stage, the impugned memorandum dated 12.11.2019 came to be issued by the second respondent cancelling the assigned patta. Challenging the same, the writ petition came to be filed.

2. When the similarly placed individual filed WP(MD)No.26589 of 2019, the following order was passed on 09.08.2023:-

“Heard the learned counsel on either side.

2. The respondents have cited certain reasons in support of the cancellation order in the counter affidavit. One such reason is that some of the assignees are having own houses. But the details have not been given.

3. The respondents are directed to furnish specific particulars. The learned counsel for the petitioners informs the Court that some persons have started construction in the assigned area. Since this Court



W.P(MD)No.15949 of 2024

is seized of the matter, the respondents are directed to ensure that no further constructions takes place in the assigned land. The respondents shall produce all the relevant files.

4. Call this case on 14.08.2023.”

It was also argued that the impugned memorandum is based on the proceedings dated 25.10.2019 issued by the District Collector, Tuticorin. I wanted to know if the petitioner was put on notice before passing the impugned order. It is beyond dispute that the assignees were not put on notice before the assignment was cancelled.

3.The learned Additional Government Pleader states that the Revenue Divisional Officer, Tiruchendur had submitted a report to the District Collector, Tuticorin that ineligible persons had been issued with assignment pattas. Spot inspection was earlier conducted on 16.09.2019 and it was concluded that the petitioner is not eligible and that is why, the District Collector, Tuticorin issued the aforesaid proceedings. That is the stand of the respondents. The submission of the learned Additional Government Pleader does not still meet the question posed by this Court. The fact remains that the petitioner had not been issued with any notice of cancellation prior to passing of the impugned order. On this sole ground, the order impugned in the writ petition is set aside. The learned Additional Government Pleader submitted that the matter may be remitted to



W.P(MD)No.15949 of 2024

the file of the second respondent to pass an order afresh after holding an enquiry.

4.I am not inclined to make such remand. If any credible material has been placed before me to indicate that the writ petitioner was not eligible to get assignment, then, I would have definitely remanded the matter for fresh consideration. No material has been placed before this Court. Only some bald statements have been made by the officials. Therefore, the writ petition is allowed. It is of-course open to the respondents to initiate action for cancellation based on credible materials. The authorities cannot take their own sweet time. The authorities are directed to earmark the assigned plots and hand over the physical possession to the writ petitioner within a period of sixteen weeks from the date of receipt of a copy of this order. It is of-course open to the authorities to take action for cancellation in the meanwhile. No costs. Connected miscellaneous petition is closed.

16.07.2024

NCC : Yes/No
Index : Yes / No
Internet : Yes/ No
ias



W.P(MD)No.15949 of 2024

To:-

1. The District Collector,
Thoothukudi District,
Thoothukudi.

2. The Special Tahsildar (Adi-Dravidar Welfare),
Tiruchendur Taluk Office, Tiruchendur,
Thoothukudi District.



WEB COPY



W.P(MD)No.15949 of 2024

G.R.SWAMINATHAN, J.

ias

W.P(MD)No.15949 of 2024

16.07.2024
(12/12)