



CRL OP(MD). No.9753 of 2024

WEB COPY

BEFORE THE MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT
(Criminal Jurisdiction)

Date : 12/07/2024

PRESENT

The Hon`ble Mr.Justice A.A.NAKKIRAN

CRL OP(MD). No.9753 of 2024

1. Usha

2. Manoharan

... Petitioners/ Accused No.1 & 2

Vs

The Sub Inspector of Police,
Palayamkottai Police Station,
Tirunelveli District.
(Crime No.352/2024.)

... Respondent/Complainant

Gayathri

... Petitioner / Intervener
in CRL M(MD) No.6792 of 2024

For Petitioners : M/s.Mari Chelliah Prabhu.C.M., Advocate.

For Respondent : Mr.R.M.Anbunithi,
Additional Public Prosecutor

For Intervenor : Mr.M.Maharaja, Advocate

PETITION FOR ANTICIPATORY BAIL Under Sec.482 of BNSS

PRAYER :- For Anticipatory Bail in Crime No.352/2024 on the file of the Respondent police.



CRL OP(MD). No.9753 of 2024

ORDER: The Court made the following order :-

WEB COPY

The petitioners/ accused, who apprehend arrest at the hands of the respondent police for the offences punishable under Sections 147, 148, 294(b), 324 and 506(ii) IPC and Section 4 of TNPHW Act, in Crime No.352 of 2024, seek anticipatory bail.

2.The case of the prosecution is that due to business motive between the parties, the petitioners abused the defacto complainant in filthy language and also criminally intimidated the defacto complainant. Hence the complaint.

3.The learned counsel for the petitioners would submit that the petitioners are innocents and they have not committed any offence as alleged by the prosecution and seeks anticipatory bail.

4.The learned Government Advocate(Crl.Side) would submit that there was a tenant and landlord issue. He would further submit that the investigation is pending and hence, he strongly opposed to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioners.

5. The learned counsel for the intervenor / defacto complainant submits that the petitioners were illegally trespassed into the defacto complainant's father property and threatened her and her father with dire consequences, in order to grab the property by putting shed and demanded electricity connection and hence, he strongly opposed to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioners.



CRL OP(MD). No.9753 of 2024

WEB COPY

6. Considering the facts and circumstances of the case and also considering the nature of allegations made against the petitioners, this Court is not inclined to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioners.

7. Hence, this Criminal Original Petition is dismissed.

sd/-
12/07/2024

/ TRUE COPY /

/07/2024
Sub-Assistant Registrar
(C.S.I /II /III /IV)
Madurai Bench of Madras High Court,
Madurai - 625 023.

LS

To

1.The Sub Inspector of Police,
Palayamkottai Police Station,
Tirunelveli District.

2.The Additional Public Prosecutor,
Madurai Bench of Madras High Court, Madurai.

+1CC to M/s.C.M.MARI CHELLIAH PRABHU, Advocate, SR No.7870(I), dated
12.07.2024

ORDER
IN
CRL OP(MD) No.9753 of 2024
Date :12/07/2024

ED/ VR /SAR- (29/07/2024) 3P / 4C



CRL OP(MD). No.9753 of 2024

Madurai Bench of Madras High Court is issuing certified copies in this format from
17/07/2023