



BEFORE THE MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT

DATED: 23.07.2024

CORAM:

THE HONOURABLE MS.JUSTICE R.N.MANJULA

W.P.(MD)Nos.14356, 14737 and 14744 of 2024

and

W.M.P(MD)Nos.12924, 12926, 12585, 12587, 13501, 12934 and
12935 of 2024

G.Jaisankar

P.Buvaneswari

Abirami

... Petitioner in W.P.(MD)No.14356/2024

... Petitioner in W.P.(MD)No.14737/ 2024

... Petitioner in W.P.(MD)No.14744/ 2024

VS.

1. Teachers Recruitment Board,
Represented by its Chairman,
3rd Floor, Puratchi Thalaivar Dr.MGR Centenary Building,
DPI Campus, College Road,
Chennai - 600 006.

2. Teachers Recruitment Board,
Represented by its Member Secretary,
3rd Floor, Puratchi Thalaivar Dr.MGR Centenary Building,
DPI Campus, College Road,
Chennai - 600 006.

3. The Director of School Education,
O/o. The Director of School Education,
DPI Campus, Chennai - 600 006.

... Respondents in WP(MD)No.14356/ 2024

1. The State of Tamil Nadu,
Rep. by its Secretary to Government,
School Education Department,
Secretariat, Chennai.



2.The Chairman,
Teachers Recruitment Board,
Purathi Thalaivar Dr.Mgr Centenary Building,
3rd and 4th Floors, Perasiriyar Anbazhagan
Educational Complex,
College Road, Chennai-6.

... Respondents in WP(MD)No.14737/2024

1.The Secretary to the Government,
School Education Department,
St.George Fort, Secretariat,
Chennai 9

2.The Secretary,
Teachers Recruitment Board,
Chennai 600 005

.. Respondents in W.P.(MD)No.14744/2024

Prayer in WP(MD)No.14356 of 2024 :Writ Petition is filed under Article 226 of the Constitution of India, praying this Court to issue a Writ of Declaration to declare the framing of wrong answer key in respect of Direct Recruitment to the post of Graduate Teachers Block Resource Teacher Educators BRTE - 2023 in English Subject and the consequential list of candidates called for certificate verification published by the 2nd respondent dated 28.05.2024 as illegal and consequently for a direction, directing the respondents to revise the Final Answer Key and to select and appoint the petitioner in the post of Graduate Teacher / Block Resource Teacher Educator (English) within the time period stipulated by this Court.

Prayer in WP(MD)No.14737 of 2024 : Writ Petition is filed under Article 226 of the Constitution of India, praying this Court to issue a Writ of Declaration declaring the final key answer provided for the question



paper in Mathematics pertaining to Question Nos. 81 and 90 provided by the 2nd respondent as incorrect and consequently to direct the 2nd respondent to award marks for the above said questions to the petitioner bearing Registration No. 330020145 and consider the name of the petitioner for the appointment to the post of B.T.Assistant (Maths) under Backward Class (BC) Category within the period that may be stipulated by this Honble Court and pass such other or further orders as this Court.

Prayer in WP(MD)No.14744 of 2024 : Writ Petition is filed under Article 226 of the Constitution of India, praying this Court to issue a Writ of Certiorarified Mandamus calling for the 2nd respondents to Notification no. 3/2023 dated 25.10.2023 for the post of Direct Recruitment of Graduate Teacher / Block Resource Teachers Educators (BRTE) 2020-23 in concerned with English subject alone and quash the same and direct the 2nd respondent to conduct re-examination in concerned with English Subject alone.

In W.P.(MD)No.14356 of 2024:

For Petitioner : Mr.T.Aswin Raja Simman
For Respondents : Mr.T.Amjadkhan
Government Advocate

In W.P.(MD)No.14737 of 2024:

For Petitioner : Mr.A.Nawazkhan
for M/s.Ajmal Associates
For Respondents : Mr.M.Siddharthan
Additional Government Pleader

In W.P.(MD)No.14744 of 2024:

For Petitioner : Mr.Rajakarthikeyan
For Respondents : Mr.T.Amjadkhan
Government Advocate



WEB COPY



COMMON ORDER

Heard Mr.T.Aswin Raja Simman, Mr.A.Nawazkhan and Mr.Rajakarthikeyan, learned counsel appearing for the petitioners in all these petitions, Mr.M.Siddharthan, learned Additional Government Pleader and Mr.T.Amjadkhan, learned Government Advocate appearing for the respondents.

2. The petitioners are the candidates, who applied for the post of Graduate Teachers/Block Resource Teacher Educators(BRTE)- 2023 in response to the notification issued by the Teachers Recruitment Board in Notification No.3/2023, dated 25.10.2023 and successfully completed the written examination, have filed these writ petitions stating that the final key answers released by the Teachers Recruitment Board is defective and it will affect the method of valuing the merits of the candidates participated in the examination.

3. The candidates, who are aggrieved with the key answers published for various subjects and the star marks given for all the candidates, have filed several writ petitions before this Court as well as before the Principal Bench.



4. It is learnt that on 01.07.2024, the Principal Bench of this Court in a batch of writ petitions in ***W.P.No.15785 of 2024 and batch [Karupukarasi vs. Teachers Recruitment Board, Dr.MGR Cetenary Building 3rd and 4th Floor, Perasiriyar Anbhazhagan Kalvivlagam College Road, Nungambakkam, Chennai - 600 006]*** has made the following observatinos.

"7. The Board filed counter and on the submissions made by the learned Additional Advocate General revealed that the Board issued notification thereby inviting application for recruitment for the post of Graduate Teacher/BRTE in school education and other departments included in the Special Rules for the Tamil Nadu School Educational Subordinate Service for the year 2023-2024. The total number of vacancies are 3192 as per the notification. Totally 41,485 candidates were applied for the said posts and the Board conducted written examination. The question papers were in the pattern of multiple choice question with four options of answers. There are totally 180 questions. After written examination, the Board had released the tentative key answers with the question paper to raise objection from the candidates. Accordingly, the candidates submitted their objections through online as well as manual representation. Except some of the candidates, all the candidates were objected with materials to substantiate their answer with standard books acceptable by the Board. All the objections were placed before the experts for their final opinion. In fact, the Board has also released the status of objection tracker in the website along with the examination results. Though the Board fixed time for raising objections on the tentative key answers from 19.02.2024 to 25.02.2024 at 5.30 pm., some of the petitioners in this writ petitions, challenged the key answers without even raising objections, in the online objection tracker or manual representation.

8. It is also pertinent to note mention here that as per Clause 11 B(iv) of the notification, the objections raised after the stipulated time and any written representation will not be entertained. Further, the objections must be raised only



WEB COPY



through online objections tracker. All the petitioners, raised the common ground that awarding of grace marks for the star marked questions is nothing but artificially pushing up the cut-off marks of the candidates and the meritorious candidates, who were attended the questions, were also treated equally with the candidates who were not attended those questions. In the case of no negative mark patten of exam, when the questions and answers are incorrect or ambiguous, the marks will be awarded to all the candidates invariably. Therefore, the contention raised by the petitioners cannot be countenanced. If the Board awarded marks only to particular candidates who attended the questions which were marked as star, as per the final key answer, it would cause prejudice to the candidates who were not attended the questions for the reason of incorrect or ambiguous questions and answers. For an example, in some of the answers, there are spelling mistakes. Therefore, the Board fairly awarded marks irrespective of all the candidates, who attended the questions or not attended the questions.

9. Further contention is that the one question has multiple answers. Because of the confusion, those who were attended the said question also to be awarded grace marks. If the question has multiple answers, the candidates who have chosen one of the correct answer would be given marks. Further, the multiple answers for some questions only because of the materials produced by the candidates who objected the tentative key answers. Therefore, the experts decided as per the materials produced by the candidates and finalized the key answers with multiple answers for the very same questions.

10. Insofar as the contention raised by the petitioner to provide copy of OMR sheets, the Board initially scans the OMR sheets and will evaluate the same only after arriving the final key answer. The scanning of OMR sheets and evaluation processes are being carried out on computer basis only, without any human intervention. Further in order to maintain confidentiality, the OMR sheets of the individual cannot be provided to the candidates. Further, as per the notification, there is no expressed clause for providing OMR answer sheets to the candidates. Therefore, the candidates are not entitled for OMR answer sheets.

11. Further the experts committee, comprising of three minimum number of subject experts, thoroughly scrutinized the objections with the materials produced by the candidates and finalized the answer key. Therefore, the Board has no role to play in the finalization of answer key. All the petitioners have been



appeared for examination and they have not been selected for certificate verification. Now they sought for declaration that they are eligible for certificate verification and seeking appointment for their respective posts. Now the certificate verification was conducted from 30.05.2024 to 02.06.2024 and the candidates were called with ratio of 1:1.25 ratio. As per the said ratio, the qualified candidates were called for certificate verification.

12. The over all contention raised by the petitioners is that such kind of ambiguous questions and answers are caused serious prejudice to the meritorious candidates, since the candidates who did not know the answers and who failed to attend those questions were also awarded equal marks. The Hon'ble Supreme Court of India as well as this Court repeatedly held that when the conscious decision has been taken by the experts, the Courts have no expertise in the matter and academic interference with the same. Further there are no reason to suspect the credentials of those experts. This Court cannot sit on appeal as against the experts opinion and adjudicate upon an academic issue whether the answers finalised by the expert body is correct or the answer that is projected by the candidates are correct."

5. By placing reliance on various Judgments including the Division Bench Judgment *dated 30.09.2022 in W.A.No.598 of 2022 [Director of School Education vs. Mercy Vennila]* and the Judgment *dated 21.02.2022 in W.P.No.3063 of 2022 [Sumathi vs. The Chairman]*, the Principal Bench had arrived at a conclusion that the role of the expert body cannot be assumed by the Court by replacing the assessment made by the experts and has rightly pointed out that the Certificate Verification has been completed by the Board and the Selection List is about to be published. To avoid further calamity and to avoid the prejudice that might be caused to the selected candidates, certain questions have been



taken for consideration and the respondents therein were asked to answer the same. After having heard the reply from respondents in respect of the above issues, the Principal Bench of this Court has given the conclusion under paragraph 21 of the order and the same is extracted hereunder.

"21. Therefore, the prayers sought for in these writ petitions cannot be granted. However considering the facts and circumstances, this Court is inclined to pass the following directions :-

- (i) In future, the Board must ensure that there will not be any ambiguous questions and answers in the examination for any recruitment.*
- (ii) The Board is directed to verify the draft question papers and tentative answer key before sending them for printing.*
- (iii) The Board is directed to correct the draft question papers and key answers with the help of experts who are not the original question paper setter.*
- (iv) The Board is directed to frame guidelines for the experts to arrive correct answers with approved materials."*

6. So, the batch of writ petitions have been disposed by the Principal Bench with the above directions. However, an observation has been made stating that if there are discrepancies in framing the questions, answers and awarding marks, that will impact all the candidates and not only the petitioners. But, it would be fair in the best interest of the candidates, if such discrepancies are avoided to the extent possible in the future.

7. Since the issue involved in all these writ petitions are also as similar as that of the issue which has been dealt with and disposed of by



the Principal Bench in *W.P.No.15785 of 2024 and batch* (cited supra), I feel these writ petitions should also be disposed of on the same lines.

8. It is reiterated that the grievances of the petitioners in respect of awarding star marks even when the answers are definite and correct should be understood in all future competitive examinations that might be conducted by Teachers Recruitment Board or any other recruiting agency of the State. Since the larger interest will be defeated, if the selection process is started or cancelled, this Court feels it is right to dispose of these writ petitions also in the same line and spirit in which similar writ petitions have been disposed before the Principal Bench as referred above.

9. Accordingly, the writ petitions are **disposed of**. No costs. Consequently, connected Miscellaneous Petitions are closed.

23.07.2024

NCC: Yes/No
Index : Yes/No
Speaking/Non-Speaking order

ta



To
WEB COPY

- 1.The Chairman,
Teachers Recruitment Board,
3rd Floor, Puratchi Thalaivar Dr.MGR Centenary Building,
DPI Campus, College Road,
Chennai - 600 006.
- 2.The Member Secretary,
Teachers Recruitment Board,
3rd Floor, Puratchi Thalaivar Dr.MGR Centenary Building,
DPI Campus, College Road,
Chennai - 600 006.
- 3.The Director of School Education,
O/o.The Director of School Education,
DPI Campus, Chennai - 600 006.
- 4.The Secretary to the Government,
School Education Department,
St.George Fort, Secretariat,
Chennai 9
- 5.The Secretary,
Teachers Recruitment Board,
Chennai 600 005



WEB COPY



W.P.(MD)Nos.14737 of 2024 etc., batch

R.N.MANJULA, J.

ta

W.P.(MD)No.14356, 14737 and 14744 of 2024

23.07.2024