



CRL OP(MD). No.8781 of 2024

WEB COPY

BEFORE THE MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT

(Criminal Jurisdiction)

Date : 14/06/2024

PRESENT

The Hon`ble Mr.Justice B.PUGALENDHI

CRL OP(MD). No.8781 of 2024

1. Karuthapandi
2. Esakkipandi,

... Petitioners/Petitioners/ Accused Nos. 1 & 2

Vs

The State represented by
The Inspector of Police,
Veeravanallur Police Station,
Tirunelveli District.
Cr.No.190 of 2024.

... Respondent/Respondent/Complainant

For Petitioner : Mr.Sathyachidambaram,Advocate

For Respondent : Mr.M.Sakthi Kumar,
Government Advocate (Crl.Side)

PETITION FOR ANTICIPATORY BAIL Under Sec.438 of Cr.P.C

PRAYER :-

For Anticipatory Bail in Crime No.190/2024 on the file of the respondent police



CRL OP(MD). No.8781 of 2024

ORDER : The Court made the following order :-

The petitioners, apprehending arrest at the hands of the respondent Police, in connection with a case in Crime No.190 of 2024, for the offence punishable under Sections 294(b), 323, 324, 307 and 506(ii) IPC, have filed this petition seeking anticipatory bail.

2. The case of the prosecution is that due to previous enmity, the accused went to the defacto complainant's house, abused the defacto complainant and his son using filthy language, assaulted them using deadly weapon and threatened them with dire consequences. Hence, the case.

3. The learned counsel appearing for the petitioners submits that the petitioners are innocent persons and they have not committed any offence as alleged by the prosecution. Hence, he prays for grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioners.

4. The learned Government Advocate (Crl.Side) appearing for the respondent Police submits that it is a case and counter, the defacto complainant's son and the first accused's brother-in-law are friends. Without any reason, the first accused, asked the defacto complainant's son not to have any contact with his brother-in-law and assaulted him. He further submits that the injured is discharged from the hospital.

5. Considering the facts and circumstances of the case and also considering the fact that the injured has been discharged from the hospital, this Court is inclined to



grant anticip

(iv) The petitioners shall not misuse the liberty granted to them by indulging in any further offence and shall not tamper with the prosecution witnesses.



CRL OP(MD). No.8781 of 2024

WEB COPY

(v) On violation of any of the above conditions by the petitioners, the respondent police shall move an application for cancellation of the anticipatory bail.

sd/-
14/06/2024

/ TRUE COPY /

/06/2024
Sub-Assistant Registrar
(C.S.I /II /III /IV)
Madurai Bench of Madras High Court,
Madurai - 625 023.

LR

TO

- 1 THE JUDICIAL MAGISTRATE
CHERANMAHADEVI, TIRUNELVELI DISTRICT.
- 2 DO-THROUGH :
THE CHIEF JUDICIAL MAGISTRATE,
THIRUNELVELI DISTRICT.
- 3 THE INSPECTER OF POLICE
VEERAVANALLUR POLICE STATION,
TIRUNELVELI DISTRICT.
- 4 THE ADDITIONAL PUBLIC PROSECUTOR,
MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT, MADURAI.

+1 CC to M/s.S.SATHYA CHIDAMBARAM, Advocate (SR-6575[I] dated 18/06/2024)



WEB COPY



CRL OP(MD). No.8781 of 2024

ORDER
IN
CRL OP(MD) No.8781 of 2024
Date :14/06/2024

PKP/GS/SAR /21.06.2024/ 5P/ 6C

Madurai Bench of Madras High Court is issuing certified
copies in this format from 17/07/2023