



W.P(MD)Nos.11533, 11535 & 11538 of 2024

BEFORE THE MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT

DATED : 25.06.2024

CORAM:

THE HONOURABLE MS.JUSTICE R.N.MANJULA

W.P(MD)Nos.11533, 11535 & 11538 of 2024

1.W.P(MD)No.11533 of 2024:

S.Ganapathy

... Petitioner

Vs.

1.The Managing Director,
Tamil Nadu State Transport Corporation
(Madurai) Limited,
Bye-Pass Road,
Madurai – 16.

2.The General Manager,
Tamil Nadu State Transport Corporation
(Madurai) Limited,
Virudhunagar Region,
Virudhunagar.

3.The Administrator,
Tamil Nadu State Transport Corporation Employees
Pension Fund Trust,
Thiruvalluvar Illam,
Pallavan Salai,
Chennai – 2.

... Respondents

PRAYER: Writ Petition filed under Article 226 of the Constitution of India for issuance of a Writ of Mandamus, directing the respondents to settle the difference in Pay, Pension and Arrears of Pension,



W.P(MD)Nos.11533, 11535 & 11538 of 2024

Commutation, Gratuity and Leave Salary as per the 14th 12(3) Settlement dated 24.08.2022 and in the light of the Judgment of this Court in W.P(MD)No.1060 of 2024 dated 04.03.2024 as per the revision of wages as on the date of retirement on 31.05.2022 along with belated payment interest at the rate of 6% p.a.

For Petitioner : Mr.S.Govindan

For Respondents : Mr.K.Ramaiah
Standing Counsel
for R.1 & R.2

: Mr.S.C.Herold Singh
for R.3

2.W.P(MD)No.11535 of 2024:

N.Vasudevan

... Petitioner

Vs.

1.The Tamil Nadu State Transport Corporation
(Kumbakonam) Limited,
Represented by its Managing Director,
Kumbakonam.

2.The General Manager,
The Tamil Nadu State Transport Corporation
(Kumbakonam) Limited,
Pudukottai Region,
Pudukottai.

3.The Administrator,
The Tamil Nadu Transport Corporation
Employees Pension Fund Trust,
Thiruvalluvar Illam,
Pallavan Salai,
Chennai – 02.

... Respondents



W.P(MD)Nos.11533, 11535 & 11538 of 2024

PRAYER: Writ Petition filed under Article 226 of the Constitution of India for issuance of a Writ of Mandamus, directing the respondents to pay the petitioner, difference amounts in gratuity and leave salary based on such revised wages payable to him as on the date of his retirement that is 31.03.2017 in terms of the wage revision settlement under Section 12(3) of the Industrial Disputes Act, dated 04.01.2018 along with interest at the rate of 6% per annum payable from the date of his retirement to till the date of disbursement within a time frame fixed by this Court.

For Petitioner	: Mr.A.Rahul
For Respondents	: Mr.K.Jagadeesbalan Standing Counsel for R.1 & R.2
	: Mr.S.C.Herold Singh for R.3

3.W.P(MD)No.11538 of 2024:

M.Baskaran ... Petitioner

Vs.

- 1.The Tamil Nadu State Transport Corporation
(Madurai) Limited,
Represented by its Managing Director,
Madurai.
- 2.The General Manager,
The Tamil Nadu State Transport Corporation
(Madurai) Limited,
Dindigul Region,
Dindigul.



W.P(MD)Nos.11533, 11535 & 11538 of 2024

3.The Administrator,
The Tamil Nadu Transport Corporation
Employees Pension Fund Trust,
Thiruvalluvar Illam,
Pallavan Salai,
Chennai – 02.

... Respondents

PRAYER: Writ Petition filed under Article 226 of the Constitution of India for issuance of a Writ of Mandamus, directing the respondents to pay the petitioner's difference amounts in gratuity, leave salary and difference in pension with arrears along with Dearness Allowance on par with serving employees of Transport Corporation based on such revised wages payable to the petitioner as on the date of his retirement that is 31.07.2017 in terms of the wage revision settlement under Section 12(3) of the Industrial Disputes Act, dated 04.01.2018 along with interest at the rate of 6% per annum within a time frame fixed by this Court.

For Petitioner : Mr.A.Rahul

For Respondents : Mr.S.C.Herold Singh
for R.3

COMMON ORDER

Since the issue raised in these writ petitions is one and the same, these writ petitions are disposed of by way of this common order.



W.P(MD)Nos.11533, 11535 & 11538 of 2024

WEB COPY

2. Heard the learned counsel appearing on either side.

3. The case of the petitioners is that after having rendered an unblemished service in the Transport Corporation, the petitioners/employees retired from service on 31.05.2022, 31.03.2017 and 31.07.2017 respectively.

4. After their retirement, settlements were entered into between the Union and the Management on 24.08.2022, 04.01.2018 and 04.01.2018 respectively. But their terminal benefits were settled without reference to the terms of the settlement. Therefore, the petitioners are entitled not only to the difference in benefits but also to the interest accrued thereon.

5. However, the learned counsel appearing for the Administrator has seriously objected to extend the same benefit to the allowance of dearness allowance and pension arrears.

6. So far as the dearness allowance and pension arrears are concerned, by virtue of G.O.(Ms)No.142, dated 26.08.2019, the grant of dearness allowance was freezed. So far as pension is



W.P(MD)Nos.11533, 11535 & 11538 of 2024

concerned, it is given with the notional effect from 01.01.2006 and monetary benefit prospectively. For better appreciation, Rules 15, 16 and 20(A) read as follows:

'15.DETERMINATION OF PENSIONABLE SALARY

a) Pensionable salary shall be the last drawn basic pay.

b) The actual Basic Pay includes Personal Pay.

16.MONTHLY MEMBER'S PENSION

a) A Member shall be entitled to -

i) Superannuation Pension, if he has rendered a qualifying service of 10 years or more and retires on attaining the age of 58 years or the retirement age that may be fixed by the employer.

ii) Voluntary Retirement: Pension, if has rendered a qualifying service of 20 years or more and attained the age of 50 years.

b) In the case of exit of an employee, the amount of monthly superannuation pension or retiring pension, as the case may be, shall be computed in accordance with the following formula namely:

Monthly Member' s Pension - Pensionable salary (50 % of last drawn basic pay)X Pensionable Service**/30*

** Basic Pay includes Personal Pay*

***The Pensionable service shall be restricted to*



WEB COPY



W.P(MD)Nos.11533, 11535 & 11538 of 2024

30 years.

c) Except as otherwise expressly provided hereinafter the monthly members pension under sub~paragraph (b) mentioned above shall be payable from the date immediately following the date of completion of 58 years of age notwithstanding that the member has retired or ceased to be in the employment. The application for pension shall be submitted in the format prescribed by the Trust.'

7. These writ petitioners also got retired from service between the period pertaining to the settlement and as mentioned in the above Government Order. But a batch of writ petitions have been filed by various persons, who are affected by the above Government Order by challenging the said Government Order, in which, this Court has passed an order in W.P.(MD)Nos.1147 of 2023 and batch, dated 12.03.2023 by modifying the said Government Order. The following relief has been given by modifying the Government Order :

"19. Further, a Government Order cannot overrule the Rules, when the Rules says that the employees entitled to the benefits immediately after retirement, the employees worked in the respondent Transport Corporation, who have retired between 01.09.2016 and 31.12.2017, are entitled to receive



WEB COPY



W.P(MD)Nos.11533, 11535 & 11538 of 2024

revised monetary benefits from the date on which the revised monetary benefits were given to the working employees under the 13th Wage Settlement. Further, the employees who have retired between 01.01.2016 and 31.03.2018 are entitled to receive the revised monetary benefits from the date on which the revised monetary benefits were given to the working employees as per 7th Pay Commission. Hence, to that extent, the impugned G.O., is modified. Further, with regard to dearness allowance, the retired employees are entitled to the percentage of dearness allowances as fixed by the State Government to the in-service employees. The respondents are directed to calculate the arrears of pension payable to the petitioners and settle the entire benefit, within a period of twelve weeks from the date of receipt of a copy of this order."

8. The Transport Corporation has filed an appeal challenging the above order in W.A.(MD)No.1240 of 2023 and the same was also dismissed by confirming the order of the learned Single Judge. It is also appropriate to refer to the observations made in the Writ Appeal as under:

"5.3. Thus it can be clear that even though it was within the realm of the Board of Directors to have



WEB COPY



W.P(MD)Nos.11533, 11535 & 11538 of 2024

postponed the actual financial benefits, they thought it otherwise and consequently even the pension fund trust ordered implementation. When that being so, without even referring to G.O.Ms.No.134, the impugned Government order in G.O.Ms.No.142, dated 26.08.2019 is issued by restricting the monetary benefit prospectively. In this regard, it is the Government which decided to extend subject to conditions and it is the corporations which expected to extend with or without any modification of the Government Scheme. Once a particular decision of implementing the Revised Pay Rules, is implemented as such granting the benefits retrospectively, then at the time of carrying out of the same, it cannot proclaim one and restrict thereafter. In this regard, useful reference can be made to the Judgment of the Division Bench of this Court in Tamil Nadu Electricity Board and Another Vs. G.Sethuraman¹ and Paragraph Nos.13 and 14 of the said Judgment are extracted hereunder:

"13. In an oft quoted passage in East End Dwelling Co. Ltd v. Finsbury Borough Council, (1951) 2 All.E.R 587, Lord Asquith observed : -

"If you are bidden to treat an imaginary state of affairs as real, you must surely, unless prohibited from doing so, also imagine as real the consequence and incidents which, if the putative state of affairs had in fact existed, must inevitably have flowed from or accompanied it. The statute says that you must imagine a certain



WEB COPY



W.P(MD)Nos.11533, 11535 & 11538 of 2024

state of affairs; it does not say that having done so, you must cause or permit your imagination to boggle when it comes to the inevitable corollaries of that state of affairs"

The above observation has been quoted with approval by the Supreme Court in several decisions e.g. Bhavnagar University v. Palitana Sugar Mills Pvt. Ltd., AIR 2003 SC 511 (para-33), C.W.T v. Trustees of H. E.H., (2003) 5 SCC 122 (para~20), Dipak Chandra Ruhidas v. Chandan Kumar Sarkar, (2003) 7 SCC 66 (para-12), etc.

14. In the present case, the legal fiction which has been created by order dated 7.6.1996 is that the writ petitioner is deemed to have been retrospectively promoted as Executive Engineer from 9.6.1988. Hence full effect must be given to this legal fiction, and for all purposes we have to treat it as if the writ petitioner had in fact been promoted as Class I officer from 9.6.1988, and our eyes should not boggle half way. For these reasons, we fully agree with the view taken by the learned single Judge in the impugned judgment."

(emphasis supplied)

Thus it can be seen that in the instant case also, after making a conscious decision implementing the order partly by way of Statutory Rule and partly by way of extending the benefit, at



W.P(MD)Nos.11533, 11535 & 11538 of 2024

the final lap, the eyes of the Government had boggled. Therefore the same is impermissible.

F. The Result:

6. In that view of the matter, no exception can be taken for the findings and conclusions reached by the learned Single Judge and accordingly, finding no merits, the Writ Appeal is dismissed. No costs. Consequently, connected miscellaneous petition is closed."

9. The claim of the petitioners in respect of dearness allowance and pension arrears have already been settled and the said claims are no more *res integra*. As on today, the orders passed in the writ appeals have not been stayed and it is still in force. In fact, the respondent Corporation has filed a Special Leave Petition No.27785 of 2023, challenging the judgment passed in the writ appeals, before the Supreme Court and the same was also dismissed on 06.02.2024. Hence, the matter has attained its finality now.

10. However, the learned Standing Counsel for the respondents submitted that the Transport Corporation has filed a Review Petition before the Writ Appeal Court in Rev.Aplc.(MD).No.36 of 2024 and the Government has also filed W.A.(MD)No.246 of 2024 challenging the order passed in W.P.(MD)No.1147 of 2023. But, so far



W.P(MD)Nos.11533, 11535 & 11538 of 2024

no interim order has been passed by staying the operation of the orders passed in the Writ Appeals and in fact, none of the respondents seems to have filed any review petition before the Supreme Court, where the matter has already attained its finality. Since the similar relief has been granted to the other similarly placed persons, I do not find any reason to withhold the relief as claimed by these petitioners as pleaded by the petitioners.

11. Accordingly, this Court directs the respondent Corporation to pay the difference in benefits in respect of Gratuity and Encashment of Leave salary, commuted value of pension and monthly pension with arrears from the date of their retirement as per wage settlements, dated 24.08.2022, 04.01.2018 and 24.08.2022 along with dearness allowance. This amount shall be disbursed to them within a period of six (6) months from the date of receipt of a copy of this order. The respondent Corporation has to make the differential payment with interest at the rate of 6% per annum to be computed from the date of the petitioners' original date of retirement till the date of payment.



W.P(MD)Nos.11533, 11535 & 11538 of 2024

WEB COPY

12. With the above directions, these Writ Petitions are
disposed of. No costs.

25.06.2024
(2/2)

NCC : Yes / No
Index : Yes / No
ps

To

- 1.The Additional Chief Secretary to Government of Tamil Nadu,
Transport Department,
Secretariat, Chennai – 09.
- 2.The Tamil Nadu State Transport Corporation
(Madurai) Limited,
Represented by its Managing Director, Madurai.
- 3.The General Manager,
The Tamil Nadu State Transport Corporation
(Madurai) Limited,
Madurai Region, Madurai.
- 4.The Administrator,
The Tamil Nadu Transport Corporation
Employees Pension Fund Trust,
Thiruvalluvar Illam, Pallavan Salai,
Chennai – 02.
- 5.The Managing Director,
The Tamil Nadu State Transport Corporation
(Kumbakonam) Limited,
Kumbakonam.

13/15



W.P(MD)Nos.11533, 11535 & 11538 of 2024

WEB COPY

- 6.The General Manager,
The Tamil Nadu State Transport Corporation
(Kumbakonam) Limited,
Trichy Region, Trichy.
- 7.The General Manager,
Tamil Nadu State Transport Corporation
(Madurai) Limited,
Virudhunagar Region,
Virudhunagar.
- 8.The General Manager,
The Tamil Nadu State Transport Corporation
(Kumbakonam) Limited,
Pudukottai Region,
Pudukottai.
- 9.The General Manager,
The Tamil Nadu State Transport Corporation
(Madurai) Limited,
Dindigul Region,
Dindigul.
- 10.The General Manager,
The Tamil Nadu State Transport Corporation
(Kumbakonam) Limited,
Karaikudi Region,
Karaikudi.



WEB COPY



W.P(MD)Nos.11533, 11535 & 11538 of 2024

R.N.MANJULA,J.

ps

W.P(MD)Nos.11533, 11535 & 11538 of 2024

**25.06.2024
(2/2)**