



**W.P.(MD).No.11323 of 2024**

**BEFORE THE MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT**

WEB COPY

**DATED: 22.05.2024**

**CORAM**

**THE HON'BLE MRS.JUSTICE S.SRIMATHY**

**W.P.(MD).No.11323 of 2024**

Balakrishnan

... Petitioner

Vs

1.The Thasildar / Assistant Returning Officer,  
Papanasam Sub Division,  
Thanjavur District.

2.The Deputy Superintendent of Police,  
Thanjavur Sub Division,  
Thanjavur District.

3.The Inspector of Police,  
Kabisthalam Police Station,  
Thanjavur District.

... Respondents

**PRAYER:** Writ Petition filed under Article 226 of the Constitution of India, praying this Court to issue a Writ of Certiorarified Mandamus, to call for the records pertaining to the impugned order passed by the 3rd Respondent in his proceedings Nil dated 18.05.2024 and quash the same as illegal and consequently, direct the Respondents to permit the petitioner to conducting Karakattam on 27.05.2024 at about 07.30 pm to 12.00 AM during the Conducting festival of Arulmigu Sri Veerakaliyamman Aalaiyam



**W.P.(MD).No.11323 of 2024**

situated at Umaiyalpuram, Papanasam taluk, Thanjavur District.

WEB COPY

For Petitioner : Mr.K.M.Karunakaran

For Respondents : Mr.M.Muthumanikkam  
Government Advocate(Crl.Side)

### **ORDER**

This Writ Petition is filed challenging the impugned order, dated 18.05.2024 passed by the third respondent and to grant necessary permission to conduct Karakattam on 27.05.2024 at about 07.30 pm to 12.00 AM during the Conducting festival of Arulmigu Sri Veerakaliyamman Aalaiyam situated at Umaiyalpuram, Papanasam taluk, Thanjavur District.

2. The learned counsel appearing for the petitioner submitted that the impugned order has been passed stating that in view of the Government Order passed by the Government of Tamil Nadu, the representation made by the petitioner for conducting Karakattam has been rejected. It is not specifically stated about who had objected for conducting Karakattam.



**W.P.(MD).No.11323 of 2024**

3. The learned Government Advocate (CrI.Side) appearing for the respondents submitted that there are two communities in the village and there are clashes between them. In the previous year, during the festival, clashes arose between two communities, for which, an F.I.R has also been registered. Hence, he objected to grant permission for conducting Karakattam.

4. In view of the facts and circumstances of the case, this Court directs the first respondent to conduct Peace Committee meeting among the rival parties. The rival parties are directed to co-operate with the Peace Committee meeting. Based on the outcome of the meeting, the first respondent shall pass appropriate orders.

5. In view of the above, this Writ Petition is disposed of. No costs.

**22.05.2024**

NCC : Yes/No  
Internet: Yes/No  
Index: Yes/No  
GBG

**Note: Issue order copy on 23.05.2024.**

3/5



**W.P.(MD).No.11323 of 2024**

To

1. The Thasildar / Assistant Returning Officer,  
Papanasam Sub Division,  
Thanjavur District.
2. The Deputy Superintendent of Police,  
Thanjavur Sub Division,  
Thanjavur District.
3. The Inspector of Police,  
Kabistharam Police Station,  
Thanjavur District.
4. The Additional Public Prosecutor,  
Madurai Bench of Madras High Court,  
Madurai.



WEB COPY



**W.P.(MD).No.11323 of 2024**

**S.SRIMATHY, J.**

GBG

ORDER IN  
**W.P.(MD).No.11323 of 2024**

22.05.2024