



Crl.O.P.(MD)No.7367 of 2024

WEB COPY

BEFORE THE MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT

(Criminal Jurisdiction)

Date : 22.05.2024

PRESENT

The Hon`ble Mrs.Justice S.SRIMATHY

CRL OP(MD). Nos.7367 and 7443 of 2024

Crl.O.P.(MD)No.7367 of 2024

Ranjith @ Ranjith Singh

... Petitioner/ Accused No.2

versus

The State,
Rep. by the Inspector of Police,
Perumalpuram Police Station,
Tirunelveli District.
(Crime No. 218 OF 2024)

... Respondent/ Complainant

For Petitioner : Mr.G.Thalaimutharasu, Advocate
For Respondent : Mr.A.Thiruvadi Kumar,
Additional Public Prosecutor

PETITION FOR BAIL Under Sec.439 of Cr.P.C.

PRAYER :-

For Bail in Crime No.218/2024 on the file of the Respondent Police.

Crl.O.P.(MD)No.7443 of 2024:

1. Parthasarathi @ Sarathi

2. Sudalai

... Petitioners/ Accused Nos.3 and 4

<https://www.mhc.tn.gov.in/judis>



Crl.O.P.(MD)No.7367 of 2024

WEB COPY

versus

The State,
Rep. by the Inspector of Police,
Perumalpuram Police Station,
Tirunelveli District.
(Crime No. 218 OF 2024)

... Respondent/ Complainant

For Petitioner : Mr.T.Lenin Kumar, Advocate
For Respondent : Mr.A.Thiruvadi Kumar,
Additional Public Prosecutor

PETITION FOR BAIL Under Sec.439 of Cr.P.C.

PRAYER :-

For Bail in Crime No.218/2024 on the file of the Respondent Police.

COMMON ORDER : The Court made the following order :-

The petitioners /A2 to A4, who were arrested and remanded to judicial custody on 09.05.2024 for the offences under Sections 294(b), 323, 387, 364(A), 342, 506(ii) IPC, in Crime No.218 of 2024 on the file of the respondent police, seek bail.

2. The case of the prosecution is that the defacto complainant is a retired Professor and he is the friend of A5 through Facebook and he has also sent a sum of Rs.3,000/- to her. Subsequently, she asked the defacto complainant to come to Tirunelveli to meet her in person. On 21.03.2024, the defacto complainant went to



Crl.O.P.(MD)No.7367 of 2024

Tiruneveli and when he went to the house of A5, at that time, other accused came there and threatened him by showing knife and snatched 25 grams of gold from him and sent Rs.34,000/- and Rs.26,000/- and Rs.50,000/- through G.Pay, by using his ATM Card and Debit Card, to the accused of A5. They got signatures in a cheque, promissory notes and non-judicial papers from the defacto complainant. Subsequently, they kidnapped him in a car to a house near Rosemary College and withdrawn Rs.1,74,000/- from the Bank and then, they withdrawn Rs.27,500/- by using ATM Card and left him at Junction.

3. The learned counsel appearing for the petitioners submits that the petitioners are innocent persons and they have not committed any offence as alleged by the prosecution. However, they are languishing in jail from 09.05.2024. Hence, he prays for grant of bail to the petitioners.

4. The learned Additional Public Prosecutor opposes for grant of bail on the ground that the investigation is yet to be completed. He further submits that the respondent Police has recovered a sum of Rs.50,000/- and 25 grams of gold from the accused.

5. Considering the facts and circumstances of the case and also taking note of the period of incarceration and also considering the fact that 25 grams of gold and

Rs.50,000/- have been recovered from the accused, this court is inclined to grant bail



Crl.O.P.(MD)No.7367 of 2024

to the petitioners.

6. Accordingly, these Criminal Original Petitions are ordered and the petitioners are ordered to be released on bail

a) on the petitioners executing a bond for a sum of Rs.10,000/-(Rupees Ten Thousand)each with two sureties each for a like sum to the satisfaction of the learned Judicial Magistrate No.I, Tirunelveli, and also on payment of Rs.50,000/- (Rupees fifty thousand)each to the credit of Crime No.218 of 2024 on the file the learned Judicial Magistrate No.I, Tirunelveli, without prejudice to their rights and contentions before the trial Court.

[b] the sureties shall affix their photographs and Left Thumb Impression in the surety bond and the Magistrate may obtain a copy of their Aadhar card or Bank pass Book to ensure their identity.

[c] the petitioners shall report before the respondent Police daily at 10.30 a.m. until further orders.

[c] the petitioners shall not abscond either during investigation or trial.

[d] the petitioners shall not tamper with evidence or witness either during investigation or trial.

[e] On breach of any of the aforesaid conditions, the learned Magistrate/Trial

Court is entitled to take appropriate action against the petitioners in accordance with

<https://www.mhc.tn.gov.in/judis>



Crl.O.P.(MD)No.7367 of 2024

law as if the conditions have been imposed and the petitioners are released on bail by the learned Magistrate/Trial Court himself as laid down by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in P.K.Shaji vs. State of Kerala [(2005)AIR SCW 5560].

[f] If the accused thereafter abscond, a fresh FIR can be registered under Section 229A IPC.

sd/-
22/05/2024

/ TRUE COPY /

22/05/2024
Sub-Assistant Registrar
(C.S.I /II /III /IV)
Madurai Bench of Madras High Court,
Madurai - 625 023.

OGY

TO

- 1 THE JUDICIAL MAGISTRATE NO.I
TIRUNELVELI.
- 2 DO THROUGH:
THE CHIEF JUDICIAL MAGISTRATE,
TIRUNELVELI DISTRICT.
- 3 THE INSPECTOR OF POLICE
PERUMALPURAM POLICE STATION,
TIRUNELVELI DISTRICT.



Crl.O.P.(MD)No.7367 of 2024

4 THE SUPERINTENDENT
PALAYAMKOTTAI CENTRAL PRISON,
TIRUNELVELI DISTRICT.

5 THE ADDITIONAL PUBLIC PROSECUTOR,
MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT, MADURAI.

+1 CC to M/s.A.S.VAIGUNTH, Advocate (SR-5640[I] dated 22/05/2024)

+1. CC to M/S.T.LENIN KUMAR, Advocate SR.No.5581 (I) DT:22/05/2024

ORDER
IN
CRL OP(MD). Nos.7367 and 7443 of 2024
Date :22/05/2024

PKP/22.05.2024/ 6P/ 8C

Madurai Bench of Madras High Court is issuing certified
copies in this format from 17/07/2023