



CRL OP(MD).No.6923 of 2024

WEB COPY BEFORE THE MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT

(Criminal Jurisdiction)

Date : 08.05.2024

PRESENT

The Hon'ble Mr.Justice ABDUL QUDDHOSE

CRL OP(MD).No.6923 of 2024

Paneerselvam

... Petitioner / Accused

Versus

The Inspector of Police,
Kumbakonam East Police Station,
Thanjavur District.
(Crime No.199 of 2024)

... Respondent / Complainant

For Petitioner : Mr.D.Rameshkumar, Advocate

For Respondent : Mr.E.Antony Sahaya Prabahar
Additional Public Prosecutor

PETITION FOR BAIL Under Sec.439 of Cr.P.C.

PRAYER :-

For Bail in Crime No.199 of 2024 on the file of the Respondent Police.

ORDER : The Court made the following order :-

The petitioner, who was arrested and remanded to judicial custody on 12.04.2024, for the offences punishable under Sections 294(b), 341, 354(A) and 506(ii)



CRL OP(MD).No.6923 of 2024

IPC, in Crime No.199 of 2024 on the file of the respondent police, seeks bail.

WEB COPY

2. The case of the prosecution is that on 11.04.2024, the petitioner intercepted the defacto complainant, misbehaved with her and also compelled her to love him. When the defacto complainant has refused the same, the petitioner abused her in filthy language and also threatened her. Hence, the case.

3. The learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner is an innocent person and he has not committed any offence as alleged by the prosecution. He further submits that the defacto complainant borrowed some money from the petitioner and when the petitioner demanded the defacto complainant to repay the same, a wordy quarrel arose between them. Hence, this case has been foisted against the petitioner and he is languishing in jail from 12.04.2024. Therefore, he prays for grant of bail to the petitioner.

4. The learned Additional Public Prosecutor opposes for grant of bail on the ground that the investigation is yet to be completed. However, he fairly submits that the petitioner is not having any previous case.

5. Heard the learned counsel appearing for the petitioner and the learned Additional Public Prosecutor.

6. This Court, while granting bail in this matter, has taken into consideration (a) gravity of the crime, (b) character of the evidence, (c) position and status of the



CRL OP(MD).No.6923 of 2024

WEB COPY

accused with reference to the victim and witnesses, (d) likelihood of the accused fleeing from justice and repeating the offence, (e) possibility of the accused (petitioner) tampering with the witnesses and obstructing the course of justice.

7. It is also settled law that at the time of consideration of bail application, it is neither necessary nor desirable to weigh the evidence meticulously to arrive at a positive finding as to whether or not the accused (petitioner) has committed the alleged offences. What is to be seen is whether there is a reasonable ground for believing that accused (petitioner) is not guilty of the offence he is charged with.

8. The Court has to strike a balance between the rights of the accused (petitioner) to his liberty and the interest of the society. Liberty of an accused (petitioner) cannot be snatched unreasonably for an uncertain time only on the basis of mere allegations.

9. After taking into consideration the aforementioned factors, this Court is inclined to grant bail to the petitioner subject to fulfilment of the following conditions by him.

- i. The petitioner shall execute a bond for a sum of Rs.15,000/- (Rupees Fifteen Thousand only) with two sureties each for a like sum to the satisfaction of the learned Judicial Magistrate No.I, Kumbakonam, Thanjavur District.



CRL OP(MD).No.6923 of 2024

WEB COPY

- ii. The petitioner and the sureties shall affix their photographs and left thumb impression in the surety bond and the learned Magistrate may obtain a copy of their Aadhar card or Bank pass Book to ensure their identity;
- iii. the petitioner shall report before the respondent police daily at 10.30 a.m until further orders.
- iv. The petitioner shall not tamper with evidence or witness either during investigation or trial;
- v. The petitioner shall not abscond either during investigation or trial;
- vi. On breach of any of the aforesaid conditions, the learned Magistrate/ Trial Court is entitled to take appropriate action against the petitioner in accordance with law as if the conditions have been imposed and the petitioner released on bail by the learned Magistrate/Trial Court himself as laid down by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in P.K.Shaji Vs. State of Kerala [(2005) AIR SCW 5560]; and ;
- vii. If the accused/petitioner thereafter absconds, a fresh FIR can be registered under Section 229-A IPC.



CRL OP(MD).No.6923 of 2024

10. Accordingly, this Criminal Original Petition stands ordered.

WEB COPY

sd/-
08/05/2024

/ TRUE COPY /

08/05/2024
Sub-Assistant Registrar (Liasoning)
Madurai Bench of Madras High Court,
Madurai - 625 023.

OGY

To

1. The Judicial Magistrate No.I,
Kumbakonam, Thanjavur District.

2. Do through the Chief Judicial Magistrate,
Thanjavur District at Kumbakonam.

3. The Inspector of Police,
Kumbakonam East Police Station,
Thanjavur District.

4. The Officer Incharge,
Sub Jail,
Kumbakonam.

5. The Additional Public Prosecutor,
Madurai Bench of Madras High Court, Madurai.

ORDER
IN
CRL OP(MD) No.6923 of 2024
Date :08/05/2024

ED/ /SAR- (08/05/2024) 5P / 6C



CRL OP(MD).No.6923 of 2024

Madurai Bench of Madras High Court is issuing certified copies in this format from
17/07/2023