



WEB COPY



H.C.P.(MD) No.761 of 2023

BEFORE THE MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT

DATED : 26.07.2024

CORAM:

**THE HONOURABLE MR.JUSTICE A.D.JAGADISH CHANDIRA
and
THE HONOURABLE MR.JUSTICE K.RAJASEKAR**

H.C.P.(MD) No.761 of 2023

Maheswari

... Petitioner

-VS-

- 1.State of Tamil Nadu,
Rep. by the Principal Secretary to Government,
Home, Prohibition and Excise Department,
Fort St.George, Chennai-600 009.
- 2.The District Collector and District Magistrate,
Perambalur District, Perambalur.
- 3.The Superintendent of Prison,
Central Prison,
Tiruchirappalli.
- 4.The Inspector of Police,
Padalur Police Station,
Perambalur District.

... Respondents

PRAYER: Petition filed under Article 226 of the Constitution of India to issue a writ of Habeas Corpus to call for the records relating to the detention order passed by the second respondent in Cr.M.P.No.04/2024 dated 24.01.2024 and to quash the same and direct the respondents to produce the person or body of the detenu, Suthakar aged 43/2023 S/o.Subramanian before this Court and set him at liberty now detained at Central Prison, Tiruchirappalli.



WEB COPY



H.C.P.(MD) No. 761 of 2024

For Petitioner : Mr.A.Devaki
For Respondents : Mr.A.Thiruvadi Kumar
Additional Public Prosecutor

ORDER

[Order of the Court was made by A.D.JAGADISH CHANDIRA, J.]

The petitioner is the wife of the detenu viz., Suthakar, son of Subramanian, aged about 43 years. The detenu has been detained by the second respondent by his order in Cr.M.P.No.04/2024, dated 24.01.2024 holding him to be a "Drug Offender", as contemplated under Section 2(e) of Tamil Nadu Act 14 of 1982. The said order is under challenge in this habeas corpus petition.

2. We have heard the learned counsel appearing for the petitioner and the learned Additional Public Prosecutor appearing for the respondents. We have also perused the records produced by the Detaining Authority.

3. Though several grounds have been raised in the habeas corpus petition, learned counsel appearing for the petitioner would mainly focus his arguments on the ground that there is an inordinate delay between the arrest of the detenu and passing the impugned detention order. In this case, the detenu was arrested on 11.07.2023, and the impugned detention order came to be passed only on 24.01.2024, i.e., after a lapse of five months. This inordinate delay in passing the detention order would vitiate the same. He further submitted that there is no



live and proximate link between the date of arrest and the date of the detention order. Hence, on this ground, the present impugned detention order is also liable to be set aside.

4. Learned Additional Public Prosecutor appearing for the respondents strongly opposed the habeas corpus petition by filing his counter. He would submit that though there was a delay in passing the impugned detention order, on that score alone, it cannot be quashed. According to the learned Additional Public Prosecutor, no prejudice has been caused to the detenu and therefore prays for dismissal of the habeas corpus petition.

5. The detenu was arrested in the ground case as early as on 11.07.2023 and the detention order was passed on 24.01.2024. This shows an inordinate delay in passing the detention order, which is also unexplained. The live and proximate link between the arrest of the detenu and the need for passing the order of detention has snapped. Thus, on this sole ground alone, the impugned order of detention is liable to be set aside.

6. In the case of *Sushanta Kumar Banik vs. State of Tripura*, reported in *2022 SCC Online (SC) 1333*, when there was an inordinate delay from the date of proposal till passing of the detention order and likewise, between



the date of actual arrest and the date of detention order, the Honourable Supreme Court has held that the live and proximate link, between the grounds and the purpose of detention, stands snapped throwing a considerable doubt on the genuineness of the requisite satisfaction of the detaining authority in passing the detention order unless such delay is satisfactorily explained and consequently making it invalid. The relevant portion is extracted hereunder:-

"20. It is manifestly clear from a conspectus of the above decisions of this Court, that the underlying principle is that if there is unreasonable delay between the date of the order of detention & actual arrest of the detenu and in the same manner from the date of the proposal and passing of the order of detention, such delay unless satisfactorily explained throws a considerable doubt on the genuineness of the requisite subjective satisfaction of the detaining authority in passing the detention order and consequently render the detention order bad and invalid because the "live and proximate link" between the grounds of detention and the purpose of detention is snapped in arresting the detenu. A question whether the delay is unreasonable and stands unexplained depends on the facts and circumstances of each case."

7. In view of the above, as pointed out by the counsel for the petitioner, the delay has not been satisfactorily explained, thereby vitiating and invalidating the detention order.



H.C.P.(MD) No.761 of 2024

WEB COPY

8. In the result, the Habeas Corpus Petition is allowed and the order of detention in Crl.M.P.No.04/2024 dated 24.01.2024, passed by the second respondent is set aside. The detenu, viz., Suthakar, son of Subramanian, aged about 43 years, is directed to be released forthwith unless his detention is required in connection with any other case.

[A.D.J.C., J.] [K.R.S., J.]

26.07.2024

NCC : Yes / No
Index : Yes / No
Internet : Yes / No
ta

To:

- 1.The Principal Secretary to Government,
Home, Prohibition and Excise Department,
Fort St.George, Chennai-600 009.
- 2.The District Collector and District Magistrate,
Perambalur District, Perambalur.
- 3.The Superintendent of Prison,
Central Prison, Tiruchirappalli.
- 4.The Inspector of Police,
Padalur Police Station,
Perambalur District.
- 5.The Additional Public Prosecutor,
Madurai Bench of Madras High Court,
Madurai.



WEB COPY



H.C.P.(MD) No.761 of 2024

**A.D.JAGADISH CHANDIRA, J.
AND
K.RAJASEKAR, J.**
ta

H.C.P.(MD) No.761 of 2024

26.07.2024