



H.C.P(MD)No.534 of 2024

WEB COPY

BEFORE THE MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT

DATED : 15.10.2024

CORAM:

THE HONOURABLE MR.JUSTICE C.V.KARTHIKEYAN

and

THE HON'BLE Ms.JUSTICE R.POORNIMA

H.C.P.(MD) No.534 of 2024

Lakshmi

... Petitioner

Vs

1. The Principal Secretary to Government,
Home, Prohibition and Excise (XIV) Department,
Secretariat, Chennai - 600 009.

2. The District Collector and District Magistrate,
Tenkasi District,
Tenkasi - 11.

3. The Superintendent of Prison,
Palayamkottai Central Prison,
Tirunelveli District.

... Respondents

PRAYER: Petition filed under Article 226 of the Constitution of India, to issue a Writ of Habeas Corpus, calling for the records, connected with the detention order of the respondent no.2 M.H.S.Confdl No.24/2024, dated 10.04.2024 and quash the same and direct the respondents to produce the detenu by name Suresh, S/o. Nagaraj, aged about 24 years, now detained in Palayamkottai Central Prison before this Court and set him at liberty.



WEB COPY



H.C.P(MD)No.534 of 2024

For Petitioner : Mr. R.Vinoth Bharathi

For Respondents : Mr.S.Ravi

Additional Public Prosecutor.

ORDER

The petitioner is the mother of the detenu viz., Suresh, S/o. Nagaraj, aged about 24 years. The detenu has been detained by the second respondent by his order in Detention Order in M.H.S.Confdl No. 24/2024, dated 10.04.2024 holding him to be a "Goonda", as contemplated under Section 2(f) of Tamil Nadu Act 14 of 1982. The said order is under challenge in this habeas corpus petition.

2. We have heard the learned counsel appearing for the petitioner and the learned Additional Public Prosecutor appearing for the respondents. We have also perused the records produced by the Detaining Authority.

3. Though several points have been raised by the learned counsel for the petitioner, it is stated that the detention order is liable to be quashed on the ground that in the English version of the remand order (Page No.73), it is stated that "...The Accused possessed and used Explosive substances...'", however, in the Tamil version, which is at Page



H.C.P(MD)No.534 of 2024

WEB COPY

No.75 it is improperly translated as the accused manufactured and used the explosive substances. Hence, it is submitted that the detenu was deprived of making effective representation.

4. On a perusal of the detention order, it is seen that the remand order has been improperly translated in vernacular language. This would deprive the detenu of making effective representation to the authorities against the order of detention.

5. In this context, it is useful to refer to the Judgment of the Honourable Supreme Court in the case of *Powanammal vs. State of Tamil Nadu*, reported in (1999) 2 SCC 413, wherein the Apex Court, after discussing the safeguards embodied in Article 22(5) of the Constitution of India, observed that the detenu should be afforded an opportunity of making a representation effectively against the detention order and that, the failure to supply every material in the language which can be understood by the detenu, is imperative. The relevant portion of the said decision is extracted hereunder:



H.C.P(MD)No.534 of 2024

WEB COPY

"9. However, this Court has maintained a distinction between a document which has been relied upon by the detaining authority in the grounds of detention and a document which finds a mere reference in the grounds of detention. Whereas the non-supply of a copy of the document relied upon in the grounds of detention has been held to be fatal to continued detention, the detenu need not show that any prejudice is caused to him. This is because the non-supply of such a document would amount to denial of the right of being communicated the grounds and of being afforded the opportunity of making an effective representation against the order. But it would not be so where the document merely finds a reference in the order of detention or among the grounds thereof. In such a case, the detenu's complaint of non-supply of document has to be supported by prejudice caused to him in making an effective representation. What applies to a document would equally apply to furnishing a translated copy of the document in the language known to and understood by the detenu, should the document be in a different language.

...

...



WEB COPY



H.C.P(MD)No.534 of 2024

16. For the above reasons, in our view, the nonsupply of the Tamil version of the English document, on the facts and in the circumstances, renders her continued detention illegal. We, therefore, direct that the detenue be set free forthwith unless she is required to be detained in any other case. The appeal is accordingly allowed."

6. We find that the above cited **Powanammal's** case applies in all force to the case on hand. The furnishing of improper translated copy to the detenu, has impaired his constitutional right to make an effective representation against the impugned preventive detention order. To be noted, this constitutional right is ingrained in the form of a safeguard in Clause (5) of Article 22 of the Constitution of India. We, therefore, have no hesitation in quashing the impugned detention order.

7. In the result, the Habeas Corpus Petition is allowed and the order of detention in Detention Order in M.H.S.Confdl No.24/2024, dated 10.04.2024, passed by the second respondent is set aside. The detenu, viz., Suresh, S/o. Nagaraj, aged about 24 years, is directed to be



H.C.P(MD)No.534 of 2024

released forthwith unless his detention is required in connection with any other case.

(C.V.K., J.) (R.P, J.)
15.10.2024

Index : Yes / No
Internet : Yes / No
NCC : Yes / No

PNM

To

1. The Principal Secretary to Government,
Home, Prohibition and Excise (XIV) Department,
Secretariat, Chennai - 600 009.

2. The District Collector and District Magistrate,
Tenkasi District,
Tenkasi - 11.

3. The Superintendent of Prison,
Palayamkottai Central Prison,
Tirunelveli District.

4. The Additional Public Prosecutor,
Madurai Bench of Madras High Court,
Madurai.



WEB COPY



H.C.P(MD)No.534 of 2024

C.V.KARTHIKEYAN, J.
and
R.POORNIMA, J.

PNM

ORDER MADE IN
H.C.P.(MD) No.534 of 2024

15.10.2024