



CRL OP(MD). No.6053 of 2024

WEB COPY BEFORE THE MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT

( Criminal Jurisdiction )

Date : 25/04/2024

PRESENT

The Hon`ble Mr.Justice B.PUGALENDHI

CRL OP(MD). No.6053 of 2024

R.Suseela Devi

... Petitioner/ Accused-1

Vs

The Inspector of Police,  
Tallakulam Police Station (Crime),  
Madurai.  
in Crime No.284/2024.

... Respondent/Complainant

For Petitioner : Mr.K.K.Kannan,  
Advocate.

For Respondent : Mr.E.Antony Sahaya Prabahar,  
Additional Public Prosecutor

PETITION FOR BAIL Under Sec.439 of Cr.P.C.

PRAYER :-

For Bail in Crime No.284/2024 on the file of the Respondent Police.

ORDER : The Court made the following order :-

The petitioner, who was arrested on 12.03.2024 for the alleged offence under



CRL OP(MD). No.6053 of 2024

Sections 406 and 420 of IPC in Crime No.284 of 2024 on the file of the respondent police, seeks bail.

WEB COPY

2.The case of the prosecution is that the defacto complainant's husband was working as a Sweeper and he died in the year 2015. The defacto complainant borrowed a sum of Rs.1,00,000/- from the petitioner. The petitioner introduced A3 to the defacto complainant and that A3 being a broker, has arranged to get the service benefits of the defacto complainant's husband and finally a sum of Rs.20,58,038/- was credited to the defacto complainant's bank account. Thereafter, the petitioner along with others forcibly took the defacto complainant to the bank and withdrew the entire death benefit from her account.

3.The learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner is an innocent and due to money dispute, the defacto complainant made a false complaint against the petitioner and he is languishing in jail from 12.03.2024.

4.The learned Additional Public Prosecutor strongly objected for grant of bail on the ground that the petitioners along with others had forcibly taken the defacto complainant to the bank and withdrawn the entire death benefit from her account



CRL OP(MD). No.6053 of 2024

and the Bank Manager appears to have objected for the same and the entire episode has also been captured in the CCTV footage.

WEB COPY

5.Considering the nature of the offence, the manner in which the offence has been committed and the entire episode has already been captured in the CCTV footage, this Court is not inclined to grant bail to the petitioner.

6.Accordingly, this Criminal Original Petition is dismissed with a direction to the respondent police to collect the CCTV footage from the Bank authorities and to conduct a proper investigation. The investigation agency is also expected to conduct investigation as to the nature of the job of this petitioner and there are any other victims at the hands of the petitioner.

sd/-  
25/04/2024

/ TRUE COPY /

/05/2024  
Sub-Assistant Registrar  
( C.S. I / II / III / IV )  
Madurai Bench of Madras High Court,  
Madurai - 625 023.

SJI



CRL OP(MD). No.6053 of 2024

TO  
WEB COPY

- 1 THE INSPECTOR OF POLICE  
TALLAKULAM POLICE STATION (CRIME), MADURAI.
- 2 THE SUPERINTENDENT,  
CENTRAL PRISON, MADURAI.
- 3 THE ADDITIONAL PUBLIC PROSECUTOR,  
MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT,  
MADURAI.

+ 1 CC TO Mr.K.K.KANNAN, ADVOCATE IN SR No.22467(F) DATED 25/04/2024

ORDER  
IN  
CRL OP(MD) No.6053 of 2024  
Date :25/04/2024

SS/VR/SAR- /03/05/2024/4P/5C

Madurai Bench of Madras High Court is issuing certified copies in this format from 17/07/2023