



Crl.O.P.(MD)No.5733 of 2024

WEB COPY

BEFORE THE MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT

(Criminal Jurisdiction)

Date : 25/06/2024

PRESENT

The Hon`ble Mr.Justice B.PUGALENDHI

CRL OP(MD). Nos.5733 and 5734 of 2024

1. A Ranjitham,

2. A Karthik,

... Petitioners/ Accused 2 & A3
in Crl.O.P.(MD)No.5733 of 2024

Pandiyarajan

... Petitioner/ Accused 11
in Crl.O.P.(MD)No.5734 of 2024

Vs

The Inspector of Police,
All Women Police Station,
South Gate, Madurai.
Crime No.9/2024.

... Respondent/Complainant
in both petitions

Raveena

... Petitioner/Intervener
in Crl.MP(MD).4569 & 4570/2024

In both petitions:

For Petitioners : Mr.S. Bageerathan,
Advocate.

For Respondent : Mr.M.Sakthikumar,
Government Advocate (Crl.Side)

For Intervenor : Mr.V.Thirumal, Advocate



Crl.O.P.(MD)No.5733 of 2024

PETITIONS FOR ANTICIPATORY BAIL Under Sec.438 of Cr.P.C

WEB COPY

COMMON PRAYER :- For Anticipatory Bail in Crime No. 9 of 2024 on the file of the respondent Police.

COMMON ORDER : The Court made the following order :-

The petitioners, apprehending arrest at the hands of the respondent Police, in connection with a case in Crime No.9 of 2024, for the offence punishable under Sections 498(A), 406, 323, 506(1) and 109 of IPC, have filed these petitions seeking anticipatory bail.

2.The case of the prosecution is that due to matrimonial dispute, the accused harassed the defacto complainant and also demanded additional dowry from her. Hence the case.

3.The learned counsel appearing for the petitioners submits that the petitioners are innocent persons and they have not committed any offence as alleged by the prosecution. Hence, he prays for grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioners.

4.The learned Government Advocate (Crl.Side) appearing for the respondent Police submits that no previous case is pending against the petitioners.

5.The learned Counsel for the intervenor has raised serious objections and submits that the petitioners had taken away the jewels of the defacto complainant and not returned the same to her.

6.Considering the submission made by the learned Counsel for the intervenor,



Crl.O.P.(MD)No.5733 of 2024

WEB COPY
this Court is of the opinion that the jewels of the defacto complainant can be recovered only by way of custodial interrogation.

7.Considering the conduct of the petitioners, this Court is not inclined to grant any relief to the petitioners. Accordingly, these Criminal Original Petitions are dismissed.

sd/-
25/06/2024

/ TRUE COPY /

/07/2024
Sub-Assistant Registrar
(C.S. I / II / III / IV)
Madurai Bench of Madras High Court,
Madurai - 625 023.

SJI
TO
1 THE INSPECTOR OF POLICE,
ALL WOMEN POLICE STATION,
SOUTH GATE, MADURAI.

2 THE ADDITIONAL PUBLIC PROSECUTOR,
MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT,
MADURAI.

+2. CC to Mr.V.THIRUMAL, Advocate SR.Nos.7000 & 7001 (I) DT.26/06/2024

+2. CC to Mr.J.I.NITHIN KAANTH, Advocate SR.Nos.29774 (F) DT.26/06/2024

ORDER IN
CRL OP(MD). Nos.5733 and 5734 of 2024
Date :25/06/2024

SA/VR/SAR. /02.07.2024/3P/7C

Madurai Bench of Madras High Court is issuing certified copies in this format from 17/07/2023.