



CRL OP(MD) No.4917 of 2024

WEB COPY

BEFORE THE MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT
(Criminal Jurisdiction)

Thursday, the Twenty Eighth day of March Two Thousand and Twenty Four

PRESENT

The Hon`ble Mr.Justice M.DHANDAPANI

CRL OP(MD) No.4917 of 2024

G.SASIKUMAR

... PETITIONER / ACCUSED 2

Vs

THE INSPECTOR OF POLICE
KEELAIYUR POLICE STATION,
NAGAPATTINAM DISTRICT.
CR.NO.275/2023

... RESPONDENT / COMPLAINANT

For Petitioner : M/S.MARI CHELLIAH PRABHU.C.M Advocate

For Respondent : Mr.B.NAMBISELVAN, Additional Public Prosecutor

PETITION FOR BAIL Under Sec. 439 Cr.P.C.

PRAYER:- FOR BAIL IN CRIME NO.275/2023 ON THE FILE OF THE
RESPONDENT POLICE.

ORDER : The Court Made the following order :-

The petitioner, who was arrested and remanded to judicial custody on
08.11.2023 for the offence punishable under Sections 8(c), 20(b)(ii)(c), 29(1) and 25 of
NDPS Act, 1985 in Crime No.275 of 2023 on the file of the respondent police, seeks



CRL OP(MD) No.4917 of 2024

WEB COPY

2.The case of the prosecution is that based on the secrete information received, the respondent police conducted search and found 12 gunny bags each weighing about 25 kgs from the accused persons. Hence, the complaint.

3.The learned counsel appearing for the petitioner would submit that the petitioner is an innocent person and he has not committed any offence as alleged by the prosecution. He would further submit that the petitioner is in judicial custody from 08.11.2023. Hence, he prays for grant of bail to the petitioner.

4. The learned Additional Public Prosecutor appearing for the respondent Police would submit that the quantity involved in this case is a commercial quantity and the petitioner has not satisfied the twin conditions required under Section 37 of the NDPS Act. Hence, he strongly opposed to grant bail to the petitioner.

5. Considering the facts and circumstances of the case and the fact that the quantity involved in this case is commercial quantity and the petitioner has not satisfied the twin conditions as required under Section 37 of the NDPS Act, this Court is not inclined to grant bail to the petitioner. However, after the seizure procedure is over, the concerned Magistrate and the respondent Police are directed to comply with the guidelines enumerated under section 52(A) of NDPS Act and as per the guidelines issued by the Hon'ble Supreme Court of India in the case of Union of



CRL OP(MD) No.4917 of 2024

India Vs. Mohanlal and Another ((2016) 3 SCC 379).

WEB COPY

6. Accordingly, this Criminal Original Petition is dismissed. Liberty is granted to the petitioner to file a Habeas Corpus Petition.

sd/-
28/03/2024

/ TRUE COPY /

/04/2024
Sub-Assistant Registrar
(C.S. I / II / III / IV)
Madurai Bench of Madras High Court,
Madurai - 625 023.

SSB

TO

1 THE ADDITIONAL DISTRICT JUDGE / PRESIDING OFFICER,
SPECIAL COURT UNDER ESSENTIAL COMMODITIES ACT,
THANJAVUR.

2 THE SUPERINTENDENT,
CENTRAL JAIL, TRICHY.

3 THE INSPECTOR OF POLICE
KEELAIYUR POLICE STATION,
NAGAPATTINAM DISTRICT.

4 THE ADDITIONAL PUBLIC PROSECUTOR,
MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT,
MADURAI.

+1 CC to M/s.C.M.MARI CHELLIAH PRABHU, Advocate (SR-3897[I] dated
28/03/2024)

ORDER IN
CRL OP(MD) No.4917 of 2024
Date :28/03/2024

SA/VR/SAR. /12.04.2024/3P/6C

Madurai Bench of Madras High Court is issuing certified copies in this format from 17/07/2023.
<https://www.mhc.tn.gov.in/judis>