WEB COPY

BEFORE THE MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT
(Criminal Jurisdiction)

Tuesday, the Twenty Sixth day of March Two Thousand and Twenty Four

PRESENT

The Hon`ble Mr.Justice M.DHANDAPANI

CRL OP(MD) No.4687 of 2024
and
CRL MP(MD) No.3787 of 2024

RAJA PUTHIRAN ... PETITIONER/2ND ACCUSED

Vs

THE INSPECTOR OF POLICE
KALIYAKKAVILAI POLICE STATION
KANYAKUMARI DISTRICT.
CRIME NO.64/2024. ... RESPONDENT/COMPLAINANT

For Petitioner : M/S.S.PONSARAVANAN, Advocate
For Respondent : MR.S.MANIKANDAN, Government Advocate (Crl.Side)
For Intervenor : MR.P.F.FERLIN CASTRO, Advocate

PRAYER IN CRL OP(MD).4687/2024 :
FOR ANTICIPATORY BAIL IN CRIME NO.64/2024 ON THE FILE OF THE RESPONDENT POLICE.

PRAYER IN CRL MP(MD). 3787/ 2024 :
TO PERMIT THE PETITIONER TO INTERVENE IN CRL O.P (MD) NO. 4687/2024 ON THE FILE OF THIS HONBLE COURT AND DISMISS THE ABOVE APPLICATION FOR ANTICIPATORY BAIL FILED BY THE 1ST RESPONDENT / 2ND ACCUSED IN THE ABOVE PETITION.

ORDER : The Court Made the following order :-

https://www.mhc.tn.gov.in/judis

WEB COPY

The petitioner/A2, who apprehends arrest at the hands of the respondent police for the offences punishable under Sections 448, 294(b), 506(2) and 427 of IPC and Section 4 of TNPHW Act, in Crime No.64 of 2024, on the file of the respondent police, seeks anticipatory bail.

2.The case of the prosecution is that due to previous enmity between the parties regarding the earlier criminal case, which was registered in Crime No.12 of 2023 against the petitioner and others, on 23.02.2024 at about 03.00 p.m., A1 trespassed into the defacto complainant's house and abused her in filthy language and damaged the household articles worth about Rs.12,000/- and further, all the accused threatened the defacto complainant with dire consequences. Hence, the case.

3.The learned counsel for the petitioner would submit that the petitioner did not commit any offence as alleged by the prosecution and he has been falsely implicated in this case. Hence, he prays for anticipatory bail.

4.The learned Government Advocate (Crl. side) would submit that the petitioner has 27 previous cases, out of which, 20 cases were already ended in acquittal and one case was ended in conviction. Hence, he strongly opposed to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioner.

5.Considering the previous antecedents of the petitioner, this Court is not inclined to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioner. Hence, this Criminal Original

https://www.mhc.tn.gov.in/judis

WEB COPY

Petition is dismissed. Consequently, connected miscellaneous petition is closed.

sd/-
26/03/2024

/ TRUE COPY /

/04/2024

Sub-Assistant Registrar (C.S. I / II / III / IV)
Madurai Bench of Madras High Court,
Madurai - 625 023.

sji

TO

1 THE INSPECTOR OF POLICE, KALIYAKKAVILAI POLICE STATION
KANYAKUMARI DISTRICT.

2 THE ADDITIONAL PUBLIC PROSECUTOR,
MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT, MADURAI.

+1 CC to M/s.S.PONSARAVANAN, Advocate (SR-3777[I] dated 27/03/2024)

ORDER
IN
CRL OP(MD) No.4687 of 2024
and
CRL MP(MD) No.3787 of 2024
Date :26/03/2024

RS/JGB/SAR-(02.04.2024) 3P 4C
Madurai Bench of Madras High Court is issuing
certified copies in this format from 17/07/2023

https://www.mhc.tn.gov.in/judis