



W.P(MD)No.6423 of 2024

BEFORE THE MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT

DATED: 19.03.2024

CORAM :

THE HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE SATHI KUMAR SUKUMARA KURUP

W.P(MD)No.6423 of 2024

A.Arockiasamy,

... Petitioner

Vs

1. The Superintendent of Police,
O/o. the Superintendent of Police,
Ramanathapuram District,
Ramanathapuram.

2. The Inspector of Police,
Thiruvadanai Police Station,
Ramanathapuram District,
Ramanathapuram.

... Respondents

PRAYER: Writ Petition filed under Article 226 of the Constitution of India, praying this Court to issue a Writ of Certiorarified Mandamus, to call for records pertaining to the impugned order in Na.Ka. No. 14/D1PS/Ka.Aa/Thiruvadanai/2024 dated 10.03.2024 on the file of the respondent No.2 and quash the same as illegal and consequently direct the respondents to grant permission to conduct a Public Meeting on 15.03.2024 or any other date from 11.00 am to 02.00 pm at near Amman Kovil, South Street, Thiruvadanai, Ramanathapuram, within the time framed by this Court.



WEB COPY



W.P(MD)No.6423 of 2024

For Petitioner : Mr.T.Thirumurugan
For Respondents : Mr.B.Thanga Aravindh
Government Advocate (Crl.Side)

ORDER

The learned Counsel appearing for the Petitioner submit that the Petitioner had filed this petition seeking a direction against the Respondents to permit him to conduct peaceful protest.

2.When the case came up for hearing on earlier occasion, the learned Government Advocate (Crl.Side) on instructions of the Respondents objected to conduct protest near the bus stand as it is inconvenient to the free flow of traffic and to the general public. Therefore, the Respondents had refused permission.

3.When the Court was about to pass orders, the learned Counsel for the Petitioner submitted that even though the date for the protest had expired, the organizers of the protest are ready to adjourn the date and change the site insisted and granted by the Respondent Police. In the light of the above, the case was adjourned from 15.03.2024 to 19.03.2024.



W.P(MD)No.6423 of 2024

WEB COPY

4.Today, when the case came up for hearing, the learned Government Advocate (CrI.Side) on instructions of the Respondents submitted that the Petitioner cannot be permitted to hold protest near the bus stand.

5.Therefore, the query was raised by the Court whether alternate site will be amenable for the Respondents. The Petitioner also agreed to the same. In the light of the above, the Respondents are directed to consider the fresh representation to be filed by the Petitioner granting permission with conditions as per the circular issued by the Director General of Police, Tamil Nadu/Head of State Police Force, Chennai-4, in Rc.No.007301/General I(I)/2019, dated 09.04.2019.

6.With the above directions, this writ petition is disposed of. No costs.

19.03.2024

Index :Yes/No
Internet: Yes/No
LR



W.P(MD)No.6423 of 2024

To

WEB COPY

1. The Superintendent of Police,
O/o. the Superintendent of Police,
Ramanathapuram District,
Ramanathapuram.
2. The Inspector of Police,
Thiruvadanai Police Station,
Ramanathapuram District,
Ramanathapuram.
3. The Additional Public Prosecutor,
Madurai Bench of Madras High Court,
Madurai.



WEB COPY



W.P(MD)No.6423 of 2024

SATHI KUMAR SUKUMARA KURUP, J.

LR

W.P(MD).No.6423 of 2024

19.03.2024