



W.A.(MD) No.756 of 2021

WEB COPY

BEFORE THE MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT

Date of Reserving the Judgment	Date of Pronouncing the Judgment
15.03.2024	15.04.2024

CORAM:

**THE HONOURABLE MR.JUSTICE D.KRISHNAKUMAR
and
THE HONOURABLE MR.JUSTICE R.VIJAYAKUMAR**

**W.A.(MD) No.756 of 2021
and
C.M.P.(MD) No.3402 of 2021**

- 1.The State of Tamil Nadu
rep.by its Principal Secretary to Government
Rural Development and Panchayat Raj Department
Secretariat, Chennai-600 009
- 2.The Director
Rural Development and Panchayat Raj Department
Panagal Building
Saidapet, Chennai-600 015
- 3.The District Collector
Sivagangai District, Sivagangai
- 4.The Block Development Officer
Kallal Panchayat Union
Kallal, Sivagangai District

... Appellants

-VS-

M.Balamurugan

... Respondent



W.A.(MD) No.756 of 2021

WEB COPY

Writ Appeal filed under Clause 15 of Letters Patent to set aside the order, dated 16.02.2021, passed in W.P.(MD) No.25006 of 2019, on the file of this Court.

For Appellants : Mr.N.Satheesh Kumar
Additional Government Pleader

For Respondent : Mr.Pooventhera Rajan

J U D G M E N T

D.KRISHNAKUMAR, J.

This writ appeal is directed against the order of the learned Single Judge, dated 16.02.2021, allowing the writ petition filed by the respondent in W.P.(MD) No.25006 of 2019, on the ground that the appellants had not deputed him for the training programme in Civil Service Training Institute at Bhavanisagar, during his two years probation period.

2. According to the appellants, the respondent / writ petitioner was initially appointed as Junior Assistant on 05.01.2009 on compassionate grounds, *vide* proceedings of the third appellant dated 05.01.2009 and he joined duty on 07.01.2009 in Thiruppuvanam Panchayat Union. When an



W.A.(MD) No.756 of 2021

WEB COPY

individual is appointed on compassionate grounds in the Ministerial Service, after regularization service alone, his probation will be declared. Whereas, when an individual is appointed in Ministerial Service through direct recruitment, his probation will be first declared and thereafter only, his service will be regularized. However, in the case on hand, since the respondent had not produced the relevant certificates in due time, his service was belatedly regularized *vide* G.O.(3D) No.70, Rural Development and Panchayat Raj (E3) Department, dated 28.09.2015. Thereafter, he was deputed to undergo the foundation training programme in the Civil Service Training Institute, Bhavanisagar, *vide* proceedings dated 17.11.2015 and he underwent the training programme from 08.12.2015 to 29.01.2016. however, he did not complete the training programme, as he failed in the Accounts Test conducted on 29.01.2016. Subsequently, he passed in the said test on 21.05.2016. Hence, on completion of training programme at the Bhavanisagar Training Institute in the second attempt, the respondent's probation was declared with effect from the afternoon of 21.05.2016 *vide* G.O.(1D) No.426, Rural Development and Panchayat Raj (E7) Department, dated 07.10.2016.



W.A.(MD) No.756 of 2021

WEB COPY

3. As per FR 26(a)(2) of the Fundamental Rules of the Government of Tamil Nadu, in cases where the passing of an examination or test confers on a Government servant, the title to any right, benefit or concession, such title should be deemed to have accrued on the day following the last day of the examination or test which he passed. In cases where the examination or test can be passed in installments, the title to the right, benefit or concession will be deemed to have accrued on the day following the last day of the examination in the subject or subjects in which he has passed. Therefore, the probation of the respondent was declared on the date of passing the exams conducted in the foundation training in his second attempt. Hence, according to the appellants, the order passed by the learned Single Judge is totally misconceived and there is no delay on the part of the appellants in deputing the respondent for training programme. Hence, there is no error or illegality in the decision taken by the appellants.

4. Learned counsel appearing for the respondent made an attempt to justify his stand that the respondent is entitled for declaration of probation on completion of two years period from the date of his initial appointment and hence, the respondent is entitled for declaration of probation with effect from



W.A.(MD) No.756 of 2021

WEB COPY

05.01.2011. According to the respondent, he was deputed for the training programme only in the month of December, 2015 and the delay in deputing him for the training programme is only on the part of the appellants and not on the part of the respondent. Therefore, there is no error or illegality in the impugned order passed by the learned Single Judge.

5. Heard the learned Additional Government Pleader for appellants and the learned counsel for the respondent and carefully perused the materials available on record.

6. It is an admitted fact that the respondent was appointed on 05.01.2009 on compassionate grounds and he joined duty on 07.01.2009 as Junior Assistant in Thiruppuvanam Panchayat Union. The claim of the respondent is that as per Rule 32(a)(i) of the Tamil Nadu Ministerial Service Rules, every person appointed shall be on probation for a total period of two years on duty within a continuous period of three years. This was not done in his case. However, according to the learned Additional Government Pleader appearing for the appellants, when the candidate is appointed in the Ministerial Service by direct recruitment, their service will be regularized after



W.A.(MD) No.756 of 2021

WEB COPY

his probation is declared. Whereas, when the candidate is appointed in the Ministerial Service on compassionate grounds, their service will be regularized first and thereafter, their probation will be declared. Therefore, Rule 32(a)(i) of the Tamil Nadu Ministerial Service Rules is applicable only to the direct recruitments and since the respondent was appointed on compassionate grounds, FR 26(a)(2) of the Fundamental Rules of the Government of Tamil Nadu alone will apply to the respondent's case.

7. At this juncture, it would be relevant to refer Rule 32(a)(i) of the Tamil Nadu Ministerial Service Rules, which reads as follows:

“32.Probation:-

(a)(i)Every person appointed to a category by direct recruitment, shall be on probation for a total period of two years on duty within a continuous period of three years.” (Emphasis supplied)

8. FR 26(a)(2) of the Fundamental Rules of the Government of Tamil Nadu reads as follows:

“In cases where the passing of an examination or test confers on a Government servant, the title to any right, benefit or concession, such title should be deemed to have accrued on the



WEB COPY

W.A.(MD) No.756 of 2021

day following the last day of the examination or test which he passed. In cases where the examination or test can be passed in installments, the title to the right, benefit or concession will be deemed to have accrued on the day following the last day of the examination in the subject or subjects in which he has passed”

9. A careful perusal of the above fundamental rules shows that for the Government servants, who have passed the foundation training test in the second attempt, probation should be declared on the date of passing the test conducted in the foundation training in installments. In other words, the date of declaration of probation will be on the date of passing the tests conducted in the foundation training programme during the second or third attempt as the case may be. In the case on hand, the respondent passed the accounts test only on 21.05.2016 and therefore, his probation was declared from the said date. Furthermore, since the respondent was appointed on compassionate grounds, after regularization of service, he was deputed to Bhavanisagar Training Programme and after completion of the training programme, his probation was declared. Therefore, the claim of the respondent that as per Rule 32(a)(i) of the Tamil Nadu Ministerial Service Rules, his probation must be declared within two years from the date of his



W.A.(MD) No.756 of 2021

WEB COPY

initial appointment is unacceptable. Therefore, we are of the view that the said rule will not apply to the case of the respondent, who has been appointed on compassionate grounds, which is only a concession and it cannot be treated as a direct recruitment. Furthermore, the respondent has not placed any other provision in support of his contention that his probation is to be declared within two years from the date of his initial appointment. Hence, for these reasons, we are not inclined to accept the reasons given by the learned Single Judge in the impugned order and the writ appeal is, therefore, liable to be set aside.

10. Accordingly, this writ appeal stands allowed and the order dated 10.12.2021, passed in W.P.(MD) No.2610 of 2018, is set aside. No costs. Consequently, connected miscellaneous petition is closed.

[D.K.K., J.]

[R.V., J.]

15.04.2024

(1/2)

NCC : Yes / No

Index : Yes / No

Internet : Yes / No

krk



W.A.(MD) No.756 of 2021

WEB COPY

To:

- 1.The Principal Secretary to Government,
Rural Development and Panchayat Raj Department,
State of Tamil Nadu,
Secretariat, Chennai-600 009.
- 2.The Director,
Rural Development and Panchayat Raj Department,
Panagal Building, Saidapet,
Chennai-600 015.
- 3.The District Collector,
Sivagangai District,
Sivagangai.
- 4.The Block Development Officer,
Kallal Panchayat Union,
Kallal, Sivagangai District.



WEB COPY



W.A.(MD) No.756 of 2021

D.KRISHNAKUMAR, J.
and
R.VIJAYAKUMAR, J.

krk

JUDGMENT
IN
W.A.(MD) No.756 of 2021
and
C.M.P.(MD) No.3402 of 2021

15.04.2024

(1/2)