



Crl.O.P.(MD)No.4076 of 2024

BEFORE THE MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT

DATED: 20.03.2024

CORAM

THE HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE SATHI KUMAR SUKUMARA KURUP

CRL.O.P(MD)No.4076 of 2024

and

Crl.M.P.(MD)Nos.3216 and 3217 of 2024

Siranjeevi @ Siranjeevi Kannan

...Petitioner

VS

**1.The State represented by its
The Inspector of Police,
Mudhukulathoor Police Station,
Ramanathapuram District.**

2.Murugesan

3.Arumugam

4.Mani

5.Balamurugan

...Respondents

PRAYER: Criminal Original Petition filed under Section 482 of Cr.P.C, praying, to call for the entire records in relating to the impugned charge sheet in S.C.No.276 of 2022 on the file of the learned Principal District Court, Ramanathapuram and to quash the same in so far as the Petitioner is concerned.



Crl.O.P.(MD)No.4076 of 2024

For Petitioner : Mr.M.S.Jeyakarthick
For R1 : Mr.M.Veeranthiran
Government Advocate (crl.side)
For R2 :Mr.S.Vidhyasagar

ORDER

The Criminal Original Petition had been filed seeking to quash the charge sheet in S.C.No.276 of 2022 on the file of the learned Principal District Court, Ramanathapuram, in so far as the Petitioner is concerned.

2.Mr.M.S.Jeyakarthick, learned Counsel [Enrl.No. 2008/2009/Mob.No.7708002681], who filed vakalat on behalf of the Petitioner/Accused, Mr.M.Veeranthiran, learned Government Advocate (Crl.side) appearing on behalf of the first Respondent and Mr.S.Vidhyasagar, learned Counsel (Enrl.No.2186/2018/Mob.No. 7708002681], who filed vakalat on behalf of the second Respondent are present in Court.



Crl.O.P.(MD)No.4076 of 2024

3.The learned Counsel for the Petitioner submitted that the Petitioner is arraigned as 8th Accused in S.C.No.276 of 2022 on the file of the learned Principal District Court, Ramanathapuram. During trial, the Accused and the *de-facto* Complainant had arrived at an amicable settlement. A joint compromise memo had also been filed before this Court by the learned Counsel for the Petitioner and the learned Counsel for the second Respondent/*de-facto* Complainant. It is also stated that the accused had also paid a sum of Rs.9,000/- to the fifth Respondent.

4.The learned Government Advocate (crl.side), on instructions from the first Respondent, submitted that the compromise arrived at between the parties are *bona fide*.

5.The first Respondent had also filed a status report, in which, it is stated that during the pendency of the investigation, the accused and the *de-facto* Complainant had arrived at an amicable settlement and they also identified with their Aadhar card.



Crl.O.P.(MD)No.4076 of 2024

6.In the light of the status report filed by the first Respondent, the

joint compromise memo filed by the parties concerned is recorded.

Accordingly, the ***Criminal Original Petition*** is allowed and the charge sheet in S.C.No.276 of 2022 on the file of the learned Principal District Court, Ramanathapuram, is quashed. Consequently, connected Miscellenous Petitions are closed.

Internet :Yes/No
Index :Yes/No
NCC :Yes/No

20.03.2024

cmr

To

1.The Principal District Court, Ramanathapuram.

2.The Inspector of Police,
Mudhukulathoor Police Station,
Ramanathapuram District.



WEB COPY



Crl.O.P.(MD)No.4076 of 2024

SATHI KUMAR SUKUMARA KURUP, J.

cmr

CRL.O.P(MD)No.4076 of 2024

20.03.2024