



CrI.O.P.(MD) No.3669 of 2024

BEFORE THE MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT

WEB COPY

DATED: 07.03.2024

CORAM

THE HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE SATHI KUMAR SUKUMARA KURUP

CRL.O.P (MD) No.3669 of 2024

1. Velayutham,
2. Gopi Krishna,

... Petitioners

Vs

1. The Superintendent of Police,
Sivagangai District.
2. The Superintendent of Police,
Madurai District.
3. The Inspector of Police,
All Women Police Station,
Manamadurai,
Sivagangai District.
4. The Inspector of Police,
Oomachikulam All Women Police Station,
Y.Othakadai,
Madurai District.
5. Rajathi

... Respondents



CrI.O.P.(MD) No.3669 of 2024

PRAYER: Criminal Original Petition filed under Section 482 of Cr.P.C, praying to direct the the 3rd and 4th respondent police not to interfere the civil dispute.

For Petitioners : Mr.R.Udhayakumar

For R1 to R3 : Mr.M.Veeranthiran
Government Advocate (Crl. side)

ORDER

The learned Counsel appearing for the Petitioners submitted that the Petitioners have some civil dispute regarding temple property with the fifth Respondent. The fifth Respondent is already married and having two children and her husband is also alive. While so, she had given a complaint with the All Women Police Station, Manamadurai against the Petitioners herein. The Petitioners appeared before the third Respondent for enquiry. The fifth Respondent also appeared before the third Respondent. At that time, the third Respondent had advised that if there is any valid proof for marriage between the second Petitioner and the fifth Respondent, the fifth Respondent shall approach the Family Court concerned or any other civil Court concerned.



Crl.O.P.(MD) No.3669 of 2024

WEB COPY

2.The learned Government Advocate (Crl. side) submits that after conducting enquiry, further enquiry was adjourned to 11.03.2024. Instead of appearing before the third Respondent, the Petitioners had approached this Court by filing this petition.

3.The learned Counsel appearing for the Petitioners submitted that after giving such a petition, the fifth Respondent again approached the Oomachikulam All Women Police Station, Madurai District. Therefore, the Petitioners were forced to seek a direction against the Respondents 1 to 4 not to harass the Petitioners.

4.The learned Government Advocate (Crl. side) submits that as far as the Oomachikulam Police Station is concerned, the complaint received from the fifth Respondent is closed based on the undertaking given by the fifth Respondent that she will approach the Civil Court concerned.



Crl.O.P.(MD) No.3669 of 2024

WEB COPY

5.Recording the submissions of the learned Government Advocate

(Crl. side), this criminal original petition is closed.

07.03.2024

NCC : Yes/No

Internet: Yes/No

Index: Yes/No

LR

To

1. The Superintendent of Police,
Sivagangai District.

2. The Superintendent of Police,
Madurai District.

3. The Inspector of Police,
All Women Police Station,
Manamadurai,
Sivagangai District.

4. The Inspector of Police,
Oomachikulam All Women Police Station,
Y.Othakadai,
Madurai District.

5. The Additional Public Prosecutor,
Madurai Bench of Madras High Court,
Madurai.

4/5



WEB COPY



Crl.O.P.(MD) No.3669 of 2024

SATHI KUMAR SUKUMARA KURUP, J.

LR

CRL.O.P (MD) No.3669 of 2024

07.03.2024