



CrI.O.P.(MD) No.3654 of 2024

BEFORE THE MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT

DATED: 07.03.2024

CORAM

THE HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE SATHI KUMAR SUKUMARA KURUP

CRL.O.P (MD) No.3654 of 2024

Palaniyammal,

... Petitioner

Vs

1. The Commissioner of Police,
Madurai City, Madurai.

2. The Inspector of Police,
Keeraithurai Police Station,
Madurai City.

3. Mahalakshmi,

... Respondents

PRAYER: Criminal Original Petition filed under Section 482 of Cr.P.C, praying to direct the second respondent not to harass the petitioner and his family members under the guise of enquiry without following the due process of law.

For Petitioner : Mr.K.Sathish Kumar

For R1 & R2 : Mr.M.Veeranthiran
Government Advocate (Crl. side)



WEB COPY



CrI.O.P.(MD) No.3654 of 2024

ORDER

The learned Counsel appearing for the Petitioner submitted that the third Respondent is the daughter of the Petitioner's sister-in-law. She insisted the petitioner to marry her son to the daughter of the third Respondent. The Petitioner refused stating that the daughter of the third Respondent is minor. The third Respondent is alleged to have represented the Petitioner that now betrothal ceremony can be conducted and marriage may be fixed after the daughter of the third Respondent attain the age of majority. Still the Petitioner refused. At that time, the third Respondent is alleged to have threatened that she will initiate cases against the Petitioner's son for the offences attracting Protection of Children from Sexual Offences as her brothers are serving in the Police Department. Therefore, the Petitioner had filed this petition seeking direction not to harass her.

2.It is the further submission of the learned Counsel appearing for the Petitioner that the second Respondent had contacted the petitioner on mobile and directed her to attend enquiry. Therefore, scared of appearing



Crl.O.P.(MD) No.3654 of 2024

before the Respondent Police, the Petitioner had approached this Court seeking direction against the Respondents 1 and 2.

3.The learned Government Advocate (Crl.Side) on instructions of the Respondents 1 and 2 submitted that the third Respondent when approached the second Respondent, the second Respondent refused to entertain the complaint stating that it is beyond their jurisdiction and directed her to approach All Women Police Station, Madurai South. The learned Government Advocate (Crl.Side) submits that till now, the Respondents 1 and 2 does not have any information whether the third Respondent approached the All Women Police Station.

4.Recording the said submission, this Criminal Original Petition is closed.

07.03.2024

NCC : Yes/No
Internet:Yes/No
Index:Yes/No

LR

3/5



Crl.O.P.(MD) No.3654 of 2024

To

1. The Commissioner of Police,
Madurai City, Madurai.
2. The Inspector of Police,
Keeraithurai Police Station,
Madurai City.
3. The Additional Public Prosecutor,
Madurai Bench of Madras High Court,
Madurai.



WEB COPY



Crl.O.P.(MD) No.3654 of 2024

SATHI KUMAR SUKUMARA KURUP, J.

LR

CRL.O.P (MD) No.3654 of 2024

07.03.2024