



Crl.O.P.(MD) No.3461 of 2024

BEFORE THE MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT

DATED: 05.03.2024

CORAM

THE HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE SATHI KUMAR SUKUMARA KURUP

CRL.O.P (MD) No.3461 of 2024

K.Kosalai

...Petitioner

VS

**1.The Superintendent of Police,
Thoothukudi District, Thoothukudi.**

**2.The Inspector of Police,
Kadambur Police Station,
Thoothukudi District.**

**3.S.Palanisamy
4.Amarnath**

...Respondents

**PRAYER: Criminal Original Petition filed under Section 482 of Cr.P.C,
praying, to direct the second Respondent not to interfering the civil dispute
between the Petitioner and the Respondents 3 and 4.**

**For Petitioner : Mr.N.Marimuthu
For R1 and R2 : Mr.M.Veeranthiran
Government Advocate (crl.side)**



Crl.O.P.(MD) No.3461 of 2024

ORDER

WEB COPY

The Criminal Original Petition had been filed seeking a direction against the second Respondent not to interfere with the civil dispute between the Petitioner and the Respondents 3 and 4.

2.The learned Counsel for the Petitioner submitted that the Petitioner is a senior citizen. The second Respondent had entered the agricultural field of the Petitioner and evicted him by force. Hence, the Petitioner has given a complaint to the Superintendent of Police, Thoothukudi. It is the contention of the learned Counsel for the Petitioner that there had been a civil suit between the Petitioner and the third and fourth Respondents before the learned District Munsif, Kovilpatti in O.S.No.92 of 2023.

3.The learned Government Advocate (crl.side), on instructions from the Respondent Police, submitted that two CSR had been registered based on the complaint of the third and fourth Respondents and on the complaint of the Petitioner. Subsequently, both CSR had been closed by the Respondent Police.



Crl.O.P.(MD) No.3461 of 2024

WEB COPY

4.Considering the submission of the learned Counsel for the Petitioner and the learned Government Advocate (crl.side), the second Respondent is directed not to involve himself in civil dispute particularly, when the civil dispute is pending before the learned District Munsif, Kovilpatti. The conduct of the second Respondent is objectionable in the light of the specific circular issued by the Director General of Police, Tamil Nadu/Head of State Police Force, Chennai-4, in C.No. 18/ADGP/L&O/Camp/2024, directing the Field Officers across the State not to involve themselves in civil dispute, particularly, property dispute and money dispute. Therefore, the second Respondent is warned.

With the above directions, this ***Criminal Original Petition*** is disposed.

Internet :Yes/No
Index :Yes/No
NCC :Yes/No

05.03.2024

cmr

3/6



WEB COPY



Crl.O.P.(MD) No.3461 of 2024



Crl.O.P.(MD) No.3461 of 2024

To

WEB COPY

1.The Superintendent of Police,
Thoothukudi District, Thoothukudi.

2.The Inspector of Police,
Kadambur Police Station,
Thoothukudi District.



WEB COPY



Crl.O.P.(MD) No.3461 of 2024

SATHI KUMAR SUKUMARA KURUP, J.

cmr

CRL.O.P(MD)No.3461 of 2024

05.03.2024