



Crl.O.P.(MD) No.3270 of 2024

BEFORE THE MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT

DATED: 22.03.2024

CORAM

THE HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE SATHI KUMAR SUKUMARA KURUP

**CRL.O.P (MD) No.3270 of 2024
and
Crl.M.P.(MD)Nos.2584 and 2585 of 2024**

1.Raja Pandi
2.Ramu

...Petitioners

VS

1.The Inspector of Police,
All Women Police Station,
Karaikudi, Sivagangai District.

2.Revathi

...Respondents

PRAYER: Criminal Original Petition filed under Section 482 of Cr.P.C, praying, to call for the records pertaining to the impugned charge sheet in P.R.C.No.105 of 2022 on the file of the learned Judicial Magistrate Court, Karaikudi against these Petitioners and quash the same.



Crl.O.P.(MD) No.3270 of 2024

For Petitioners : Ms.A.S.Rajeswari
For R1 : Mr.M.Veeranthiran
Government Advocate (crl.side)
For R2 : Mr.S.Sundharapandian

ORDER

The Criminal Original Petition had been filed seeking to quash the charge sheet in P.R.C.No.105 of 2022 on the file of the learned Judicial Magistrate, Karaikudi against these Petitioners.

2.Ms.A.S.Rajeswari, learned Counsel [Enrl.No.4188/2012/Mob.No.9786895726], who had filed vakalat on behalf of the Petitioners/Accused, Mr.M.Veeranthiran, learned Government Advocate (Crl.side) appearing on behalf of the first Respondent and Mr.S.Sundharapandian, learned Counsel (Enrl.No.3456/2019, Mob.No.8015131368], who had filed vakalat on behalf of the second Respondent/*de-facto* Complainant are present in Court.

3.The learned Counsel for the Petitioners submitted that the Petitioners are arrayed as Accused in P.R.C.No.105 of 2022 on the file of



CrI.O.P.(MD) No.3270 of 2024

the learned Judicial Magistrate, Karaikudi. During trial, the Accused and the *de-facto* Complainant had arrived at a compromise. The first Accused and the *de-facto* Complainant had married after the *de-facto* Complainant attained age of majority. The marriage was also registered with the competent authority. Now they are living as husband wife peacefully. Therefore, they seek to quash the charge sheet. A joint compromise memo had also been filed before this Court by the learned Counsel for the Petitioners and the learned Counsel for the second Respondent/*de-facto* Complainant.

4.The learned Government Advocate (crl.side), on instructions from the first Respondent, submitted that the compromise arrived at between the parties are *bona fide*.

5.The first Respondent had also filed a status report, in which, it is stated that during the pendency of the investigation, the accused and the *de-facto* Complainant had arrived at an amicable settlement and they are also identified with their Aadhar card.



Crl.O.P.(MD) No.3270 of 2024

In the light of the status report filed by the first Respondent, the joint compromise memo filed by the parties concerned is recorded. Accordingly, the ***Criminal Original Petition*** is allowed and the charge sheet in P.R.C.No. 105 of 2022 on the file of the learned Judicial Magistrate Court, Karaikudi is quashed against these Petitioners.. Consequently, connected Miscellaneous Petitions are closed.

Internet :Yes/No
Index :Yes/No
NCC :Yes/No

22.03.2024

cmr

To

1.The Judicial Magistrate Court, Karaikudi.

2.The Inspector of Police,
All Women Police Station,
Karaikudi, Sivagangai District.



WEB COPY



Crl.O.P.(MD) No.3270 of 2024

SATHI KUMAR SUKUMARA KURUP, J.

cmr

CRL.O.P(MD)No.3270 of 2024

22.03.2024