



CRL OP(MD) No.3198 of 2024

WEB COPY

BEFORE THE MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT
(Criminal Jurisdiction)

Thursday, the Twenty Ninth day of February Two Thousand and Twenty Four

PRESENT

The Hon`ble Mr.Justice M.DHANDAPANI

CRL OP(MD) No.3198 of 2024

RAMALAKSHMI

... PETITIONER / ACCUSED 2

Vs

THE INSPECTOR OF POLICE
THIRUTHANGAL POLICE STATION,
VIRUDHUNAGAR DISTRICT.
CRIME NO.3 OF 2024.

... RESPONDENT/ COMPLAINANT

For Petitioner : Mr.S.ANANDHA RAJAGOPAL Advocate

For Respondent : Mr.P.KOTTAICHAMY, Govt. Advocate (Crl. Side)

For Intervenor : Mr.M.KARUNANITHI, Advocate

PETITION FOR ANTICIPATORY BAIL Under Sec. 438 Cr.P.C.

PRAYER:- FOR ANTICIPATORY BAIL IN CRIME NO.3 OF 2024 ON THE FILE OF
THE RESPONDENT POLICE.

ORDER : The Court Made the following order :-

The petitioner/A2, who apprehends arrest at the hands of the respondent
police for the alleged offence under Sections 406, 409, 420, 294(b), 506(ii) of IPC and



CRL OP(MD) No.3198 of 2024

WEB COPY

Section 4 of TNPHW Act, in Crime No.3 of 2024, on the file of the respondent police, seeks anticipatory bail.

2.The case of the prosecution is that the accused persons obtained a sum of Rs.29,00,00/- from the defacto complainant for securing a Government Job to the defacto complainant's daughter. After getting the amount, the accused persons neither secured the job nor repaid the said amount and thereby, cheated the defacto complainant. Hence, the case.

3.The learned counsel appearing for the petitioner would submit that the petitioner is the wife of first accused and she has not committed any offence as alleged by the prosecution. On earlier occasion, the petitioner made a complaint against the defacto complainant and the police officials and in order to wreck vengeance, the present case has been registered against the petitioner. Hence, he prays for grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioner.

4.The learned Government Advocate (Crl.Side) appearing for the State submitted that the petitioner is the wife of A1. The petitioner and her husband collected a sum of Rs.29,00,000/- from the defacto complainant for securing a government job and thereafter, they neither secured a job nor repaid the said amount and they had purchased a new car in their names. He further submitted that the first accused was already arrested and enlarged on bail by the Lower Court and the entire



CRL OP(MD) No.3198 of 2024

WEB COPY
petitioner.

amount is with the petitioner. Hence, he opposed to grant anticipatory bail to the

5.The learned counsel appearing for the intervener did not dispute the facts submitted by the learned Government Advocate (crl.side) and vehemently opposed to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioner.

6. It appears that this is the second application for anticipatory bail and the earlier anticipatory bail application filed by the petitioner in CrI.OP(MD)No.1137 of 2024 was dismissed by this Court on 29.01.2024, considering the seriousness and gravity of the offence alleged against the petitioner and the quantum of amount involved in the offence. Now, this application is filed by the petitioner without referring the change of circumstances to consider the case. Hence, this Court is not inclined to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioner.

7.In the result, this Criminal Original Petition is dismissed.

sd/-
29/02/2024

/ TRUE COPY /

/03/2024
Sub-Assistant Registrar
(C.S. I / II / III / IV)
Madurai Bench of Madras High Court,
Madurai - 625 023.



CRL OP(MD) No.3198 of 2024

SSB

TO

1 THE INSPECTOR OF POLICE
THIRUTHANGAL POLICE STATION,
VIRUDHUNAGAR DISTRICT.

2 THE ADDITIONAL PUBLIC PROSECUTOR,
MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT,
MADURAI.

ORDER IN
CRL OP(MD) No.3198 of 2024
Date :29/02/2024

SA/VR/SAR. /14.03.2024/4P/3C

Madurai Bench of Madras High Court is issuing certified copies in this format from 17/07/2023.