



CRL OP(MD) No.3101 of 2024

WEB COPY

BEFORE THE MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT
(Criminal Jurisdiction)

Wednesday, the Twenty Eighth day of February Two Thousand and Twenty Four

PRESENT

The Hon`ble Mr.Justice M.DHANDAPANI

CRL OP(MD) No.3101 of 2024

DHANALAKSHMI

... PETITIONER/ ACCUSED NO.5

Vs

THE INSPECTOR OF POLICE
KADUPATTI POLICE STATION,
MADURAI DISTRICT.
CR.NO.263/2020

... RESPONDENT/COMPLAINANT

For Petitioner : MR.BALARKISHNAN.T Advocate

For Respondent : MR.S.MANIKANDAN, Govt. Advocate (Crl. Side)

PETITION FOR ANTICIPATORY BAIL Under Sec. 438 Cr.P.C.

PRAYER: FOR ANTICIPATORY BAIL IN CRIME NO. 263/2020 ON THE FILE OF
THE RESPONDENT POLICE.

ORDER : The Court Made the following order :-

The petitioner, who apprehends arrest at the hands of the respondent Police for
the alleged offence under Sections 379 and 430 IPC, r/w Section 21(5) of Tamil Nadu



CRL OP(MD) No.3101 of 2024

Mines and Minerals (Development and Regulation) Act, 1957, in Crime No.263 of 2020, seeks anticipatory bail.

WEB COPY

2. The case of the prosecution is that the petitioner and the other accused persons have illegally transported 30 bags of river sand. Hence, the complaint.

3.The learned counsel appearing for the petitioner would submit that the petitioner has not committed any offence as alleged by the prosecution. However, on instruction, he would further submit that the petitioner, without prejudice to her rights, is ready to pay a sum of Rs.20,000/- to the learned Judicial Magistrate, Kamuthi, Ramanathapuram District, for constructing a toilet to the Advocates without prejudice to her defence before the trial Court, and hence, he prays for anticipatory bail.

4.The learned Government Advocate (Crl.Side) appearing for the respondent Police strongly opposed to grant anticipatory bail stating that three previous cases are pending against the petitioner.

5.Considering the facts and circumstances of the case and also considering the

<https://www.mhc.tn.gov.in/judis>



CRL OP(MD) No.3101 of 2024

quantity of the sand transported by the petitioner, this Court is inclined to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioner with certain conditions.

6. Accordingly, the petitioner is ordered to be released on bail in the event of arrest or on her appearance, within a period of fifteen days from the date of receipt of a copy of this order, before the learned Special District Judge to deal with the cases of offences in contravention of the provisions of the Mines and Minerals (D & R), Act, 1957, Madurai, on condition that the petitioner shall execute a bond for a sum of Rs.10,000/- (Rupees Ten Thousand Only), with two sureties each for a like sum to the satisfaction of the respondent police or the police officer who intends to arrest or to the satisfaction of the learned Magistrate concerned and on further condition that:

(a) if the petitioner failed to surrender before the concerned Magistrate within a period of 15 days from the date of receipt of a copy of this order, this order shall stand automatically cancelled;

(b) as per the undertaking given by the petitioner, the petitioner shall make a Demand Draft for a sum of Rs.20,000/- (Rupees Twenty Thousand only) in favour of the learned Judicial Magistrate, Kamuthi, Ramanathapuram District, without prejudice to her rights and contentions before the trial Court and the petitioner is



CRL OP(MD) No.3101 of 2024

WEB COPY

further directed to produce a copy of the Demand Draft before the trial Court, while executing the sureties; Thereafter, the learned Judicial Magistrate shall spend the amount for constructing a toilet to the Advocates;

(c)the sureties shall affix their photographs and left thumb impression in the surety bond and the Court concerned may obtain a copy of their Aadhar card or Bank pass Book to ensure their identity;

(d)the petitioner shall report before the respondent police daily at 10.30 a.m, for a period of two weeks and thereafter, as and when required for interrogation;

(e)the petitioner shall not tamper with evidence or witness either during investigation or trial;

(f)the petitioner shall not abscond either during investigation or trial;

(g)on breach of any of the aforesaid conditions, the learned Magistrate/ Trial Court is entitled to take appropriate action against the petitioner in accordance with law as if the conditions have been imposed and the petitioner released on bail by the



CRL OP(MD) No.3101 of 2024

learned Magistrate/Trial Court himself as laid down by the Hon'ble Supreme Court
WEB COPY
in P.K.Shaji Vs. State of Kerala [(2005) AIR SCW 5560]; and;

(h)if the accused thereafter absconds, a fresh FIR can be registered under
Section 229-A IPC.

sd/-
28/02/2024

/ TRUE COPY /

/03/2024
Sub-Assistant Registrar (CS- I / II / III / IV)
Madurai Bench of Madras High Court,
Madurai - 625 023.

SSB

TO

1.THE SPECIAL DISTRICT JUDGE TO DEAL WITH THE CASES OF OFFENCES IN
CONTRAVENTION OF THE PROVISIONS OF THE MINES AND MINERALS
(D & R), ACT, 1957, MADURAI,

2.THE INSPECTOR OF POLICE
KADUPATTI POLICE STATION,
MADURAI DISTRICT.

3 THE ADDITIONAL PUBLIC PROSECUTOR,
MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT, MADURAI.

COPY TO

1.THE JUDICIAL MAGISTRATE, KAMUTHI, RAMANATHAPURAM DISTRICT,



CRL OP(MD) No.3101 of 2024

2 DO THROUGH THE CHIEF JUDICIAL MAGISTRATE,
RAMANATHAPURAM

+1 CC to M/s.T.BALAKRISHNAN, Advocate (SR-2538[I] dated 29/02/2024)

ORDER
IN
CRL OP(MD) No.3101 of 2024
Date :28/02/2024

RK/GS (04/03/2024) 6P / 7C

Madurai Bench of Madras High Court is issuing certified copies in this format from
17/07/2023