



CrI.O.P.(MD) No.3058 and 3059 of 2024

BEFORE THE MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT

DATED: 12.03.2024

CORAM

THE HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE SATHI KUMAR SUKUMARA KURUP

CRL.O.P (MD) Nos.3058 and 3059 of 2024 and
CrI.M.P(MD).No.17260 of 2023

CrI.O.P(MD).No.3058 of 2024

1.Sukumaran

2.Ajith Kumar

3.Tamilselvi

... Petitioners / accused Nos.1 to 3

Vs.

1. The state rep. by

The Inspector of Police,

Mukkudal Police Station,

Tirunelveli District.

1st Respondent / Complainant

2.Sundhari

2nd respondent / defacto complainant

PRAYER: Criminal Original Petition filed under Section 482 of Cr.P.C, praying to call for the records pertaining to the C.C.No.444 of 2022 on the file of the Judicial Magistrate, Cheranmahadevi and quash the same.

1/6



Crl.O.P.(MD) No.3058 and 3059 of 2024

For Petitioners : Mr.D. Venkatesh
For Respondent No.1 : Mr.M.Veerenthiran
Government Advocate (Crl.side)
For 2nd respondent : Mr.t. Leninkumar

Crl.O.P(MD).No.3059 of 2024

1.Parthasarathy

2.Madhan

3.Mahesh

... Petitioners / accused Nos.1 to 3

Vs.

1. The state rep. by

The Inspector of Police,

Mukkudal Police Station,

Tirunelveli District.

1st Respondent / Complainant

2.Sukumaran

2nd respondent / defacto complainant

PRAYER: Criminal Original Petition filed under Section 482 of Cr.P.C, praying to call for the records pertaining to the C.C.No.374 of 2022 on the file of the Judicial Magistrate, Cheranmahadevi and quash the same.

For Petitioners : Mr.T. Leninkumar

For Respondent No.1 : Mr.M.Veerenthiran



WEB COPY



Crl.O.P.(MD) No.3058 and 3059 of 2024

For 2nd respondent : Government Advocate (Crl.side)
: Mr.D. Venkatesh

COMMON ORDER

The learned Government Advocate (Crl. Side) submits that it is a case and counter and both the parties have arrived at compromise. Further, he filed a status report filed by the the Inspector of Police, Mukkudal Police Station, Tirunelveli District identifying the defacto complainant and the accused Nos. 1 to 3 in both the Crl.O.Ps and the report shows that the compromise arrived at between the parties are bonafide.

2. Crl.O.P(MD).No.3058 of 2024 pertains to the quash of C.C.No. 444 of 2022 on the file of the Judicial Magistrate, Cheranmahadevi. Crl.O.P(MD).No.3059 of 2024 pertains to the quash of C.C.No. 374 of 2022 on the file of the Judicial Magistrate, Cheranmahadevi.

3. The defacto complainant in C.C.No.444 of 2022 in Crl.O.P(MD).No.3058 of 2024 is the wife of one Gunasekarn. The accused Nos. 1 to 3 in C.C.No.374 of 2022 in Crl.O.P(MD).No.3059 of 2024 are the sons of said Gunasekaran. The defaco complainant in C.C.No.374 of 2022



Crl.O.P.(MD) No.3058 and 3059 of 2024

in Crl.O.P(MD).No.3059 of 2024 viz., Sukumaran, S/o. Arumugam, is the accused No.1 in C.C.No.444 of 2022 in Crl.O.P(MD).No.3058 of 2024 and the other accused Nos.2 and 3 in Crl.O.P(MD).No.3058 of 2024 are the son and wife of said Sukumaran respectively.

4. Considering the Joint Compromise Memos filed by both parties in both the Petitions independently, these ***Criminal Original Petitions*** are allowed and the C.C.Nos.444 and 374 of 2022 pending on the file of the Judicial Magistrate, Cheranmahadevi, are hereby quashed, in the light of the joint compromise memos. The Joint Compromise Memos shall part and parcel of the order. Consequently, the connected Miscellaneous Petition is closed.

Internet :Yes./No
Index :Yes/No
NCC : Yes/No
trp

12.03.2024

To

1. The Inspector of Police,
Bazaar Police Station,
Ramanathapuram District.



Crl.O.P.(MD) No.3058 and 3059 of 2024

2. The Additional Public Prosecutor,
Madurai Bench of Madras High Court,
Madurai.

WEB COPY



WEB COPY



Crl.O.P.(MD) No.3058 and 3059 of 2024

SATHI KUMAR SUKUMARA KURUP, J.

trp

CRL.O.P (MD) Nos.3058 and 3059 of 2024

12.03.2024