



WEB COPY



CrI.O.P.(MD) No.3313 of 2024

BEFORE THE MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT

DATED: 14.03.2024

CORAM

THE HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE SATHI KUMAR SUKUMARA KURUP

CRL.O.P (MD) No.3313 of 2024

1.P.Tamil Sangu
2.T.Sri Hari
3.R.Thirusenthil Murugan @ Thiru
4.R.Thulasi Raman

...Petitioners

VS

1.The Inspector of Police,
Oomatchikulam Police Station,
Madurai District.
(in Cr.No.6 of 2024)

2.V.Mahalingam

...Respondents

PRAYER: Criminal Original Petition filed under Section 482 of Cr.P.C, praying, to call for the records relating to the FIR in Cr.No.6 of 2024 on the file of the first Respondent Police and to quash the same.

For Petitioners	:Mr.K.Anand
For R1	:Mr.M.Veeranthiran Government Advocate (crl.side)
For R2	:Mr.G.Ram Prabhu



CrI.O.P.(MD) No.3313 of 2024

ORDER

WEB COPY

The Criminal Original Petition had been filed seeking to quash the FIR in Cr.No.6 of 2024 on the file of the first Respondent Police.

2.Mr.K.Anand, learned Counsel [Enrl.No.727/2007], who filed vakalat on behalf of the Petitioners/Accused, Mr.M.Veeranthiran, learned Government Advocate (CrI.side) appearing on behalf of the first Respondent and Mr.G.Ram Prabhu, learned Counsel (Enrl.No.1247/2022], who filed vakalat on behalf of the second Respondent are present in Court.

3.The learned Counsel for the Petitioner submitted that the Petitioners are arraigned as Accused Nos.1 to 4 in Cr.No.6 of 2024 on the file of the first Respondent Police. Pending investigation, the Accused and the *de-facto* Complainant had arrived at an amicable settlement. A joint compromise memo had also been filed before this Court by the learned Counsel for the Petitioner and the learned Counsel for the second Respondent/*de-facto* Complainant.



Crl.O.P.(MD) No.3313 of 2024

4.The learned Government Advocate (crl.side), on instructions from the first Respondent, submitted that the compromise arrived at between the parties are *bona fide*.

5.The first Respondent had also filed a status report, in which, it is stated that during the pendency of the investigation, the accused and the *de-facto* Complainant had arrived at an amicable settlement.

6.In the light of the status report filed by the first Respondent, the joint compromise memo filed by the parties concerned is recorded. Accordingly, the ***Criminal Original Petition*** is allowed and the FIR in Cr.No.6 of 2024 pending on the file of the first Respondent Police is quashed.

Internet :Yes/No
Index :Yes/No
NCC :Yes/No
cmr

14.03.2024

To

The Inspector of Police,
Oomatchikulam Police Station,

3/4



Madurai District.

WEB COPY



Crl.O.P.(MD) No.3313 of 2024

SATHI KUMAR SUKUMARA KURUP, J.

cmr

CRL.O.P(MD)No.3313 of 2024

14.03.2024