



W.P(MD)No.4307 of 2024

BEFORE THE MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT

DATED : 23.02.2024

CORAM

THE HONOURABLE MR.JUSTICE G.R.SWAMINATHAN

W.P(MD)No.4307 of 2024

S.Balamurugan

... Petitioner

Vs.

1.The Revenue Divisional Officer,
Kovilpatti Sub Division,
Thoothukudi District.

2.The Tahsildar,
Ottapidaram Taluk,
Thoothukudi District.

3.The Sub-Registrar,
Ottapidaram Sub Registrar Office,
Ottapidaram,
Thoothukudi District.

... Respondents

Prayer : Writ Petition filed under Article 226 of the Constitution of India, praying this Court to issue a Writ of Certiorarified Mandamus, to call for the records relating to the impugned order passed by the 3rd respondent in his proceedings RFL/Ottapidaram No.80/2023 dated 26.12.2023 and quash the same as illegal and consequently direct the 3rd respondent to register the sale deed presented by the petitioner on 26.12.2023 in respect of punja land to an extent of 0.12.75 hectares Hectares (31, 1/2 Acres) situated of punja land in Resurvey No.383/2, P.Duraisampuram Village comprised in Patta No.814.



W.P(MD)No.4307 of 2024

For Petitioner : Mr.P.Banuprasath

For Respondents : Mr.C.Satheesh
Government Advocate

ORDER

Heard the learned counsel on either side.

2. The petitioner wants to sell the petition mentioned property. When the sale deed was presented for registration, the third respondent issued the impugned refusal check slip. The petitioner had been called upon to obtain No Objection Certificate from the Revenue Divisional Officer, Kovilpatti as the land has been assigned to legal heirs of Veerapandiya Kattabomman. The issue raised in the writ petition is no longer res integra.

3. The learned counsel appearing for the petitioner draws my attention to the order dated 29.05.2019 made in W.P(MD)No.12586 of 2019. In the said case also the issue now raised was involved. It reads as follows:

“2.This Court in a number of writ petitions had quashed such a communication issued by the revenue authority. One such order is dated 29.04.2019 made in W.P. (MD)No.10772 of 2019.

3.This Court had consistently held that the revenue authority cannot restrain the registering authority from discharging their statutory functions. It is not as if the land in question has been included in the prohibitory index. Therefore, the order impugned in the writ petition is quashed. The third respondent is directed to register the petition mentioned document, of course, subject to fulfillment of other formalities.”



W.P(MD)No.4307 of 2024

WEB COPY

4. Adopting the very same approach, the order impugned in this writ petition is quashed. This writ petition is allowed. The petitioner is permitted to re-present the documents in question before the third respondent. The third respondent shall receive the same and register it subject to fulfilment of the other usual formalities. No costs.

23.02.2024

Index : Yes / No
Internet : Yes/ No
rmi

To

- 1.The Revenue Divisional Officer,
Kovilpatti Sub Division,
Thoothukudi District.
- 2.The Tahsildar,
Ottapidaram Taluk,
Thoothukudi District.
- 3.The Sub-Registrar,
Ottapidaram Sub Registrar Office,
Ottapidaram,
Thoothukudi District.



WEB COPY



W.P(MD)No.4307 of 2024

G.R.SWAMINATHAN, J.

rmi

W.P(MD)No.4307 of 2024

23.02.2024