



Crl.O.P.(MD)No.2911 of 2024

BEFORE THE MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT

WEB COPY

DATED: 19.08.2024

CORAM

THE HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE K.MURALI SHANKAR

Crl.O.P.(MD)No.2911 of 2024

1.Saravanan

2.Thangaraja

3.Parameswari

... Petitioners/A1 to A3

Vs.

1.State rep by

The Inspector of Police,
Rajapalayam South Police Station,
Virudhunagar District.
Crime No.207 of 2023.

2.Aarthi

... Respondents

PRAYER : Criminal Original Petition filed under Section 528 of BNSS, to call for the records connected to the impugned FIR in Crime No.207 of 2023 pending on the file of the first respondent police and quash the same.

For Petitioners : Mr.R.Karunanidhi

For R1 : Mr.P.Kottai Chamy
Government Advocate (Crl. Side)

For R2 : Mrs.M.Lavanya



Crl.O.P.(MD)No.2911 of 2024

WEB COPY

ORDER

The Criminal Original Petition has been filed, invoking Section 528 B.N.S.S., seeking orders to quash the FIR in Crime No.207 of 2024 dated 18.01.2024 pending on the file of the first respondent.

2. The case of the prosecution is that there existed family disputes between the petitioners and the defacto complainant and due to that, the petitioners assaulted the defacto complainant and threatened with dire consequences. Hence, the complaint.

3. When the matter is taken up for hearing today, the learned counsel appearing for the petitioners would submit that the second respondent has lodged a complaint before the first respondent and on that basis, FIR came to be registered in Crime No.207 of 2023 dated 29.05.2023 for the offences under Sections 324 and 506(2) IPC and Section 4 of TNPHW Act 2002, against the petitioners.

4. The case is still under the investigation. By passage of time, the parties have decided to bury their hatchet and compromise the dispute amicably among themselves.



Crl.O.P.(MD)No.2911 of 2024

WEB COPY

5. A Joint Memo of Compromise dated 30.01.2024 has been filed before this Court which have been signed by the petitioners and the second respondent and also by their respective counsel. The petitioners and the second respondent were also present in person before this Court and they were identified by Mr.U.Devadoss, SSI of Police, Rajapalayam South Police Station, Virudhunagar District as well as by the learned counsels appearing for the parties. This Court also enquired both the parties and was satisfied that the parties have come to an amicable settlement between themselves.

6. In the instant case, the dispute is of personal in nature and now the parties had compromised. Where the parties have compromised the matter, the High Court has power to quash the complaint for the offences under Sections 324 and 506(2) IPC and Section 4 of TNPHW Act 2002.

7. The legal position expressed by the Hon'ble Apex Court in the case of *Gian Singh vs. State of Panjab and another* reported in (2012)10 SCC 303 and *Parbathbhai Aahir @ Parbathbhai Vs. State of Gujrath* reported in (2017)9 SCC 641 were taken into consideration.



Crl.O.P.(MD)No.2911 of 2024

WEB COPY

8. In the light of the guidelines issued in the above said Judgments of the Hon'ble Apex Court, no useful purpose will be served in keeping the proceedings in Crime No.207 of 2023 pending before the first respondent police, even though, the offences involved are not compoundable in nature.

9. Accordingly, this Criminal Original Petition stands allowed and as a sequel, the proceedings in Crime No.207 of 2023 on the file of the first respondent police, is quashed and the terms of joint compromise memo dated 30.01.2024 shall form part and parcel of this order.

19.08.2024

NCC : Yes / No
Index : Yes / No
Internet : Yes / No
das

To

- 1.The Inspector of Police,
Rajapalayam South Police Station,
Virudhunagar District.
- 2.The Additional Public Prosecutor,
Madurai Bench of Madras High Court,
Madurai.



WEB COPY



Crl.O.P.(MD)No.2911 of 2024

K.MURALI SHANKAR,J.

das

Order made in
Crl.O.P.(MD)No.2911 of 2024

Dated: 19.08.2024