



Crl.O.P.(MD)No.2627 of 2024

WEB COPY

BEFORE THE MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT

DATED: 19.12.2024

CORAM

THE HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE M.NIRMAL KUMAR

Crl.O.P.(MD)No.2627 of 2024

Rajadurai

... Petitioner

Vs

1. The Inspector of Police,
Madukkur Police Station,
Thanjavur District. Crime No. 546 of 2021.

2. Asarudeen

... Respondents

PRAYER : Criminal Original Petition filed under Section 528 of BNSS, to call for the records relating to the FIR in Crime No.546 of 2021 on the file of the 1st respondent police and quash the same.

For Petitioner : Mr.H.Ilango

For R1 : Mr.K.Sanjai Gandhi
Government Advocate (Crl.Side)

For R2 : Mr.S.Vinayak



Crl.O.P.(MD)No.2627 of 2024

WEB COPY

ORDER

The Criminal Original Petition has been filed to quash the First Information Report in Crime No.546 of 2021 on the file of the first respondent Police.

2. The case of the prosecution is that due to money dispute the accused person demanded exorbitant interest from the defacto complainant and threatened him with dire consequences. Hence this present case.

3. When the matter is taken up for hearing today, the learned counsel appearing for the petitioner would submit that the second respondent has lodged a complaint before the first respondent Police and on that basis, F.I.R. came to be registered in Crime No.546 of 2021, for the offences under Sections 294(b), 506(ii) IPC and Section 4 of TN Prohibition of Charging Exorbitant Interest Act, 2003 against the petitioner.



Crl.O.P.(MD)No.2627 of 2024

WEB COPY

4. The case is still under the investigation. By passage of time, the parties have decided to bury their hatchet and compromise the dispute amicably among themselves.

5. A Joint Memo of Compromise, dated 03.12.2024, has been filed before this Court, which has been signed by the petitioner and the second respondent and also by their respective counsel. The petitioner and the second respondent were also present in person before this Court and they were identified by Mr.Arul Kumar, Gr.I 2259, Madukkur Police Station, as well as by the learned counsels appearing for the parties. This Court also enquired both the parties and was satisfied that the parties have come to an amicable settlement between themselves.

6. In the instant case, the parties had compromised their dispute. Where the parties have compromised the matter, the High Court has power to quash the complaint for the offences under Sections 294(b), 506(ii) IPC and Section 4 of TN Prohibition of Charging Exorbitant Interest Act, 2003.



Crl.O.P.(MD)No.2627 of 2024

WEB COPY

7. The legal position expressed by the Hon'ble Apex Court in the case of *Gian Singh vs. State of Punjab and another* reported in *(2012)10 SCC 303* and *Parbatbhai Aahir @ Parbatbhai Vs. State of Gujarat* reported in *(2017) 9 SCC 641* were taken into consideration.

8. In the light of the guidelines issued in the above said Judgments of the Hon'ble Apex Court, no useful purpose will be served in keeping the proceedings in Crime No.546 of 2021, pending before the first respondent Police, even though, the offence involved is not compoundable in nature.

9. Accordingly, this Criminal Original Petition stands allowed and as a sequel, the proceedings in Crime No.546 of 2021, on the file of the first respondent Police, is quashed and the terms of joint compromise memo, shall form part and parcel of this order.

19.12.2024

NCC : Yes / No
Index : Yes / No
PNM



Crl.O.P.(MD)No.2627 of 2024

To

1. The Inspector of Police,
Madukkur Police Station,
Thanjavur District. Crime No. 546 of 2021.

2. The Additional Public Prosecutor,
Madurai Bench of Madras High Court,
Madurai.



WEB COPY



Crl.O.P.(MD)No.2627 of 2024

M.NIRMAL KUMAR, J.

PNM

ORDER IN
Crl.O.P.(MD)No.2627 of 2024

19.12.2024