



W.P.(MD).No.2999 of 2024

BEFORE THE MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT

DATED: 12.02.2024

CORAM:

THE HONOURABLE MRS.JUSTICE V.BHAVANI SUBBAROYAN

W.P.(MD).No.2999 of 2024

Ponniah Ganesan

... Petitioner

vs.

1.The Regional Passport Officer,
Regional Passport Office Tiruchirapalli,
New Municipal Complex,
Thillainagar 7th Cross,
Tiruchirapalli District.

2.The Inspector of Police,
K.Pudupatti Police Station,
Pudukottai District.

... Respondents

PRAYER: Writ Petition is filed under Article 226 of the Constitution of India, praying this Court to issue a Writ of Mandamus, directing the first respondent to renewal the passport in Application in File No.TR20774172890722, dated 02.05.2022 and thereby renewal the petitioner's passport within the time limit that may be stipulated by this Court, on the basis of the similar order passed by this Court in W.P. (MD).No.1335 of 2024, dated 23.01.2024.



W.P.(MD).No.2999 of 2024

For Petitioner :Mr.R.Udhayakumar
For R1 :Mr.Thalaimutharasu
For R2 : Mr.S.S.Mathavan
Government Advocate (Crl.side)

ORDER

This writ petition has been filed for issuance of a Writ of Mandamus, directing the first respondent to renew the passport in File No.TR20774172890722, dated 02.05.2022, within the time limit that may be stipulated by this Court, based on the similar order passed in W.P. (MD).No.1335 of 2024, dated 23.01.2024.

2. When the matter is taken up for hearing today, the learned Government Advocate (Crl.side) appearing for the second respondent would submit that only F.I.R. has been registered against the petitioner in Crime No.34 of 2022 and no other case is pending against him.

3. The learned counsel appearing for the petitioner has produced the decision of this Court in the case of *W.Jaihar William and others .vs. The State of Tamil Nadu and others reported in 2014(2)*



W.P.(MD).No.2999 of 2024

CWC 684, wherein, it has been observed as follows:

WEB COPY

"8.....the applications submitted by the Petitioners for passport were not considered by the third respondent for the reason that FIRs are pending against them. The Superintendent of Police , Tirunelveli, has filed counter affidavits stating that First Information Reports have been filed against the Petitioners for the alleged offences, stated supra, since they are involved in the agitation against the Koodankulam Nuclear Project. So far as the Petitioner in W.P(MD)NO.8349 of 2014 is concerned, the criminal case has been registered under Sections 147, 148, 294(b), 353 and 307 IPC. Since the FIRs are pending against the Petitioners, the third respondent has not considered the applications of the Petitioners, by placing reliance on section 6(2)(f) of the Passports Act, 1967. Section 6(2)(f) reads as follows:

6.Refusal of passports,travel documents, etc---(i)....

(2)subject to the other provisions of this Act, the Passport Authority shall refuse to issue a passport or travel document for visiting any foreign country under Clause © of sub section (2) of Section 5 on any one or more of the following grounds and on no other ground namely:

(a) and (b).....

(f)that proceedings in respect of an offence alleged to have been committed by the Applicant are pending



W.P.(MD).No.2999 of 2024

before the Criminal Court in India"

WEB COPY

It is well settled legal principle that mere pendency of FIR cannot be construed as pendency of Criminal Proceedings in respect of the offences alleged to have been committed by the Applicant before the Criminal Court. Only after the Court takes cognizance of the offence alleged to have been committed by the Applicant, as stipulated under Section 190 of Cr.P.C, it can be construed as "proceedings pending before the Court".

4. This Court and various High Courts had allowed the writ petition of this nature on the ground that unless and until the Judicial Magistrate takes cognizance of the offence, the respondents/Officials cannot mechanically refuse to issue passport to the parties, stating that F.I.R., is pending and that mere pendency of F.I.R., cannot be construed as pendency of criminal proceedings and the same cannot be a bar for issuance of passport to the parties concerned.

5. In view of the above settled position of law, this Court directs the first respondent to consider the petitioner's application No.TR20774172890722, dated 02.05.2022, if it is otherwise in order and



W.P.(MD).No.2999 of 2024

issue appropriate order regarding renewal of passport of the petitioner, within a period of six weeks from the date of receipt of a copy of this order.

6. With the above direction, this Writ Petition is disposed of. No costs.

12.02.2024

akv

To

1. The Regional Passport Officer,
Regional Passport Office Tiruchirapalli,
New Municipal Complex,
Thillainagar 7th Cross,
Tiruchirapalli District.

2. The Inspector of Police,
K.Pudupatti Police Station,
Pudukottai District.



WEB COPY



W.P.(MD).No.2999 of 2024

V.BHAVANI SUBBAROYAN,J.

akv

W.P.(MD).No.2999 of 2024

12.02.2024