



Crl.O.P.(MD) No.2047 of 2024

BEFORE THE MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT

DATED: 09.02.2024

CORAM

THE HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE SATHI KUMAR SUKUMARA KURUP

CRL.O.P (MD) No.2047 of 2024

K.Murugesh

...Petitioner

VS

**1.The Superintendent of Police,
Dindigul District,
Dindigul.**

**2.The Deputy Superintendent of Police,
Ottanchathram,
Dindigul District.**

**3.The Inspector of Police,
Kallimanthayam Police Station,
Ottanchathram Taluk,
Dindigul District.**

...Respondents

PRAYER: Criminal Original Petition filed under Section 482 of Cr.P.C, praying, to direct the third Respondent not to harass the Petitioner under the guise of enquiry on the basis of the Petitioner's representation dated 06.02.2024.

For Petitioner : Mr.A.B.Jeeva

For Respondents : Mr.M.Veeranthiran

Government Advocate (Crl.side)



WEB COPY



CrI.O.P.(MD) No.2047 of 2024

ORDER

The learned Counsel for the Petitioner submits that the Petitioner is running lorry business. One Praveen and Karthi are employed as lorry Drivers. The said Praveen is alleged to have borrowed Rs.3,50,000/-, which the Petitioner had given him through Bank transactions. While so, after some time, the said Praveen asked further Rs.3,50,000/-, which was refused by the Petitioner. Enraged by the same, the said Praveen and other person, Karthi, are alleged to have taken away the lorry parked in front of the house of the Petitioner using duplicate key. Therefore, the Petitioner had given a complaint with the third Respondent. The third Respondent had summoned the said Praveen and Karthi. Thereafter, lorry was handed over to the Petitioner, after enquiry. Subsequently, the said Praveen and Karthi had made a false claim by giving a complaint to the third Respondent stating that the Petitioner had borrowed loan from them. Based on the said complaint of Praveen and Karthi, the third Respondent had been summoning the Petitioner, which is purely a civil dispute. Only to wreak vengeance on the Petitioner, the said Drivers, Praveen and Karthi had



Crl.O.P.(MD) No.2047 of 2024

preferred the complaint.

WEB COPY

2.The learned Government Advocate (Crl.side), on instructions of the third Respondent, would submit that CSR.No.27 of 2024 was registered. He undertakes that the Police will close the petition after holding enquiry in the light of the circular of the Director General of Police, Head of State Police Force, Tamil Nadu State, Chennai -4 issued in Rc.No.892914/X1/2020 dated 12.03.2021 and the circular of the Additional Director General of Police, Chennai, issued in C.No.18/ADGP/L & O/Camp/2024 dated 09.01.2024.

Recording the submission of the learned Government Advocate (Crl.side), this Criminal Original Petition is closed.

Internet:Yes./No
Index:Yes/No
mm

09.02.2024

To

1.The Superintendent of Police,

3/5



Crl.O.P.(MD) No.2047 of 2024

Dindigul District,
Dindigul.

- 2.The Deputy Superintendent of Police,
Ottanchathram,
Dindigul District.
- 3.The Inspector of Police,
Kallimanthayam Police Station,
Ottanchathram Taluk,
Dindigul District.
- 4.The Additional Public Prosecutor,
Madurai Bench of Madras High Court,
Madurai.



WEB COPY



Crl.O.P.(MD) No.2047 of 2024

SATHI KUMAR SUKUMARA KURUP, J.

mm

CRL.O.P (MD) No.2047 of 2024

09.02.2024