



C.R.P.(MD)No.458 of 2024

BEFORE THE MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT

Dated: 07/03/2024

CORAM

The Hon'ble Mr.Justice **G.ILANGOVAN**

C.R.P(MD)No.458 of 2024

and

CMP(MD)Nos.2302 and 2430 of 2024

1.Angusamy
2.Indrani Ammal : Petitioners/Respondents 2 and 3

Vs.

1.Divya : 1st Respondent/Petitioner
2.Ragubalan : 2nd Respondent/1st Respondent

PRAYER:-Civil Revision Petition has been filed under Article 227 of the Constitution of India, to strike off the petition in DVC No.9 of 2023 pending on the file of the Judicial Magistrate, Thiruppathur, Sivgangai District and pass such further or other orders.

For Petitioners : Mr.B.Santhaam Rajesh Kumar

O R D E R

This civil revision petition has been filed seeking to strike off the proceedings in DVC No.9 of 2023 on the file of the Judicial Magistrate Court, Thiruppathur, Sivagangai District.



C.R.P.(MD)No.458 of 2024

2. Heard the learned counsel appearing for the petitioners.

WEB COPY

3. Let me extract the relevant portion of the judgment reported in the case of **Arul Danial Vs. Suganya** (2022 (3) MWN (CR.) 539 (FB)) :-

"7. The Division Bench, in *P. Ganesan*, supra, has categorically and correctly restated the legal position, in two places that the proceedings under the *D.V. Act* are civil in nature. For the sake of convenience, we are extracting those portions from *P. Ganesan*, supra.

"15 (o) To sum up:

(i) As we have already held that the proceedings under the *Domestic Violence Act* are civil in nature.....

16 (c) We have already held that the proceedings under *Chapter IV of the Domestic Violence Act* are civil in nature....."

8. We concur with the above view of Anand Venkatesh, J., as affirmed by the Division Bench in *P. Ganesan*, supra.



WEB COPY



C.R.P.(MD)No.458 of 2024

However, after saying so, the Division Bench, in *P.Ganesan*, supra, found itself in disagreement with the opinion of Anand Venkatesh, J. that a petition under *Section 482 Cr.P.C.* to quash a D.V. proceedings is not maintainable and has given a contrary opinion which is as under:

"N.Anand Venkatesh, J. held that the Magistrate while adjudicating Civil rights cannot be called Criminal Court. We do not agree with this view of the learned Judge, firstly because the Parliament intended to deliberately confer Jurisdiction on the Criminal Court. An appeal is also provided to the Court of Sessions and not to the District Judge. Secondly, the learned Judge relied upon a number of cases to hold that where the Magistrate is conferred power to grant reliefs of Civil nature he cannot be called to a 'Criminal Court'. We find that in all the Judgments referred by the learned Judge, the Courts have held that the Magistrate was not a Court when he was exercising Ministerial/Administrative functions and not a criminal Court when he was following the procedure stipulated under the *Special Act* which gave his power



WEB COPY



C.R.P.(MD)No.458 of 2024

and not under *Cr.P.C.*. Therefore, in our view those Judgments cannot be relied upon to hold that the Magistrate is not a criminal Court while dealing with an Application under 12 of the *Domestic Violence Act*. Just as we found that the nature of reliefs would determine the character of the proceedings we find that the nature of the procedure adopted would determine the character of the Tribunal. There is no doubt that the Magistrate dealing with proceedings under *Domestic Violence Act* is a Criminal Court who has to follow the procedure under *Cr.P.C.*, exception being provided under *Section 28 (2)* of the Act." (emphasis supplied)

9.From a reading of the aforesaid, we are able to infer that albeit the fact that D.V. proceedings initiated on an application under *Section 12* are civil proceedings, the Magistrate is nonetheless a Criminal Court as the procedure he is required to follow is one under the *Code of Criminal Procedure*. To put it more precisely, according to the Division Bench, it is not the substantive law, but the procedural law that determines the



WEB COPY



C.R.P.(MD)No.458 of 2024

character of the Court of the Magistrate. This is where, in our considered opinion, with due respect, the Division Bench appears to have fallen in error."

4. Similarly regarding the appearance of the parties before the trial court, para (iv) may be extracted herein:-

"iv. Personal appearance of the respondent(s) shall not be ordinarily insisted upon, if the parties are effectively represented through a counsel. Form VII of the D.V. Rules, 2006, makes it clear that the parties can appear before the Magistrate either in person or through a duly authorized counsel. In all cases, the personal appearance of relatives and other third parties to the domestic relationship shall be insisted only upon compelling reasons being shown. (See [Siladitya Basak v. State of West Bengal](#) (2009 SCC OnLine Cal 1903))"



C.R.P.(MD)No.458 of 2024

WEB COPY

5. In view of the above said directions, the parties are at liberty to approach the concerned trial court itself for dispensing their appearance, of course with the above said limitation.

6. In the light of the above said statement of law, now we will go to the another aspect.

7. As stated in the Full Court Judgement, even though, petition under section 482 of Cr.P.C will not lie, Article 227 of the Constitution of India can be invoked on a specific plea and circumstances.

8. Let me extract the relevant portion:-

"xiv. A petition under Article 227 of the Constitution may still be maintainable if it is shown that the proceedings before the Magistrate suffer from a patent lack of jurisdiction. The jurisdiction under Article 227 is one of superintendence and is visitorial in nature and will not be exercised unless there exists a clear jurisdictional error and that manifest or substantial injustice would be caused if the power



WEB COPY



C.R.P.(MD)No.458 of 2024

is not exercised in favour of the petitioner. (See [Abdul Razak v Mangesh Rajaram Wagle \(2010\) 2 SCC 432, Virudhunagar Hindu Nadargal Dharma Paribalana Sabai v Tuticorin Educational Society \(2019\) 9 SCC 538](#)). In normal circumstances, the power under [Article 227](#) will not be exercised, as a measure of self-imposed restriction, in view of the corrective mechanism available to the aggrieved parties before the Magistrate, and then by way of an appeal under [Section 29](#) of the Act."

9.In the light of the statement of law, it is submitted that now this civil revision petition has been filed by the petitioners.

10.Now we shall examine as to whether the petitioners satisfied the requirement of law namely;-

(i)whether the cognizance taken by the Judicial Magistrate is illegal, because of the patent lack of jurisdiction;



C.R.P.(MD)No.458 of 2024

(ii) Whether there is any error in jurisdiction;

and

(iii) Whether there is any manifest or substantial injustice.

11. Now what is meant by jurisdictional error has also been elaborately discussed in the judgment. Let me extract the relevant portions:-

"25. At this juncture, it is necessary to notice that the word "jurisdiction" relates to the power of the Court to decide a class or classes of cases. The import of the expression has been considered by the Supreme Court in **Nusli Neville Wadia Vs. Ivory Properties, 2020(6)SCC 557**, wherein, it was observed as under:-

"The word "jurisdiction" is derived from Latin words "juris" and "dico", meaning "I speak by the law" and does not relate to rights of parties as between each other but to the power of the court. Jurisdiction relates to a



WEB COPY



C.R.P.(MD)No.458 of 2024

class of cases to which a particular case belongs. Jurisdiction is the authority by which a judicial officer takes cognizance and decides the cases. It only presupposes the existence of a duly constituted court having control over subject-matter which comes within classification limits of the law under which court has been established. It should have control over the parties' litigant, control over the parties' territory, it may also relate to pecuniary as well as the nature of the class of cases. Jurisdiction is generally understood as the authority to decide, render a judgment, inquire into the facts, to apply the law, and to pronounce a judgment. When there is the want of general power to act, the court has no jurisdiction. When the court has the power to inquire into the facts, apply the law, render binding judgment, and enforce it, the court has jurisdiction. Judgment within a jurisdiction has to be immune from



WEB COPY



C.R.P.(MD)No.458 of 2024

collateral attack on the ground of nullity. It has co-relation with the constitutional and statutory power of tribunal or court to hear and determine. It means the power or capacity fundamentally to entertain, hear, and determine.” (emphasis supplied)

26.In view of the above, the power of the Magistrate to entertain and decide an Application under Section 12 and grant one or more reliefs under the D.V. Act is an aspect of his jurisdiction. It is settled law that jurisdiction is an issue that belongs to the realm of substantive law. Procedural law, on the other hand, prescribes the mode and manner in which such jurisdiction is to be exercised. A character of the Court is an essential aspect of its substantive jurisdiction, and would depend on the nature or subject matter of the case before it.



C.R.P.(MD)No.458 of 2024

WEB COPY

12. So absolutely, the petitioner cannot say that there is inherent lack of jurisdiction in view of the above said statement of law.

13. Now we will examine as to whether the other ingredients are attracted namely whether there is any manifest or substantial injustice occasioned to the petitioners.

14. In the light of the above said ingredients, we will examine the factual aspects to answer this point.

15. **The complaint is filed by the 1st respondent with the following allegations:-**

The marriage between the parties took place on 01/06/2014 as per their customary rites. At the time of marriage, the parents of the wife gifted 16 sovereigns of gold jewels and sridhana properties worth about 3,00,000/- as dowry. Out of the wedlock, there are no issues. At the time of marriage, it is stated that the husband is an Engineering Graduate, but after the marriage, it came to know that he has completed only 10th Standard. The husband has not shown any interest in conjugal relationship. Even the in-laws prevented her from the conjugal rights. She was harassed, ill-treated



C.R.P.(MD)No.458 of 2024

WEB COPY

and insulted by the husband and the in-laws. On 14/01/2015, the wife was driven out of the matrimonial home. After three months, a complaint was lodged before the All Women Police Station, Thiruppathur. In the police enquiry, there was a compromise between the parties and they agreed to live together. Thereafter, the wife was taken back to the matrimonial home. In the month of August 2018, the husband went abroad for job. Thereafter, he returned to India. Thereafter, misunderstanding arose between the husband and wife. The wife voluntarily went away from the matrimonial home. On 98/08/2022, she lodged a complaint before the All Women Police Station, Tirupathur. Without proper care, she is suffering to maintain herself.

16. Seeking quashment of the same, this petition is filed by the original respondents 2 and 3 in the main petition stating that they are only in-laws, noway connected with the issue between the husband and wife; they have wrongly roped in and the ingredients mentioned in the complaint are also not attracted against them.

17. The question, which arises for consideration is whether the grievance expressed by the petitioners will cause or have occasioned manifest injustice.



WEB COPY



C.R.P.(MD)No.458 of 2024

18.What is meant by manifest injustice is a term, which is not defined in terms anywhere. We will fall back the definition '**manifest injustice**' made in Black's Law Dictionary runs like this:-

*"**manifest injustice**.A direct, obvious, and observable error in a trial court, such as a defendant's guilty plea that is involuntary or is based on a plea agreement that the prosecution has rescinded."*

19.In the light of the above said definition, when we examine the factual aspects, as mentioned above, now again, it is a clear answer and remedy suggested to the people like the petitioners in the light of the decision rendered in **Dr.P.Pathmanathan Vs. Monica [(2021(2)CTC 57)]**. So the petitioners can very well redress their grievance before the trial court itself by filing appropriate application for deletion as the case may be.

20.Similarly for the request of dispensing with the personal appearance, the Co-ordinate Bench of this court in **Velumani and another Vs. Pavithra and another (CRP (MD)No.2568 of 2023, dated 10/10/2023)** has observed like this.



WEB COPY



C.R.P.(MD)No.458 of 2024

"12.Regarding the petitioner's prayer for dispensing with their personal appearance, it is necessary to refer the following direction in **Arul Daniel's** case above referred.

"76....

iv.Personal appearance of the respondent(s) shall not be ordinarily insisted upon, if the parties are effectively represented through a counsel. From VII of the D.V Rules, 2006, makes it clear that the parties can appear before the Magistrate either in person or through a duly authorized counsel. In all cases, the personal appearance of relatives and other third parties to the domestic relationship shall be insisted only upon compelling reasons being shown. (See *Siladitya Basak V. State of West Bengal* (2009 SCC OnLine Cal 1903)".

21.The same may be followed by the petitioners as well as the trial court.

22.So this civil revision petition deserves no consideration at all, of course with the above said liberty.



C.R.P.(MD)No.458 of 2024

23. With the above said liberty, this civil revision petition stands **disposed of** without touching upon the merits of the matter. No costs. Consequently connected Miscellaneous Petitions are closed.

07/03/2024

Index: Yes/No
Internet: Yes/No
er

To,

1. The Judicial Magistrate,
Thiruppathur,
Sivagangai District.
2. The Section Officer,
ER/VR Section,
Madurai Bench of Madras High Court,
Madurai.



WEB COPY



C.R.P.(MD)No.458 of 2024

G.ILANGOVAN, J

er

C.R.P (MD) No.458 of 2024

07/03/2024