



CrI.O.P.(MD) No.1989 of 2024

BEFORE THE MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT

WEB COPY

DATED: 09.02.2024

CORAM

THE HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE SATHI KUMAR SUKUMARA KURUP

CRL.O.P (MD) No.1989 of 2024

A.Kalantar Ali

...Petitioner

VS

1.The Superintendent of Police,
Office of the Superintendent of Police,
Ramanathapuram.

2.The Deputy Superintendent of Police,
Ramanathapuram Taluk,
Ramanathapuram District.

3.The Inspector of Police,
Thondi Police Station,
Thiruvadanai Taluk,
Ramanathapuram District.

4.A.Bawusal Rahman

...Respondents

PRAYER: Criminal Original Petition filed under Section 482 of Cr.P.C, praying, to direct the 1st Respondent to give suitable direction to the 3rd Respondent not to harass the Petitioner at the investigation of the Respondent No.4 by considering the Petitioner representation dated 03.02.2024 within the period as stipulated by this Court.



WEB COPY



Crl.O.P.(MD) No.1989 of 2024

For Petitioner : Mr.I.Kalantar Aasik Ahamedu
For R1 to R3 : Mr.M.Veeranthiran
Government Advocate (Crl.side)

ORDER

The learned Counsel for the Petitioner submits that the Petitioner is a tenant under the fourth Respondent and there had been dispute regarding tenancy. While so, the fourth Respondent had approached the third Respondent and the third Respondent had summoned the Petitioner. Therefore, the Petitioner had approached this Court seeking directions against the Respondents 1 to 3 not to harass the Petitioner.

2.The learned Government Advocate (Crl.side), on instructions of the Respondents 1 to 3, would submit that based on the complaint of the tenant, CSR No.38 of 2024 was registered against the Petitioner. On the complaint of the landlord, no CSR was registered. In CSR.No.38 of 2024, the fourth Respondent was summoned, heard and enquired. At that time, both the parties gave an undertaking that they will sort out the dispute through Civil Court. Therefore, both the complaints were closed. He would further submit



Crl.O.P.(MD) No.1989 of 2024

that the Police are abide by the circular of the Director General of Police, Head of Police Force, Tamil Nadu State, Chennai -4 issued in Rc.No. 892914/X1/2020 dated 12.03.2021 and the circular of the Additional Director General of Police, Chennai, issued in C.No.18/ADGP/L & O/Camp/2024 dated 09.01.2024.

3.Since it is made clear in the circular of the Director General of Police, Head of State Police Force, Tamil Nadu State, Chennai -4 issued in Rc.No.892914/X1/2020 dated 12.03.2021 that the Field Officers shall not entertain any dispute regarding property and money, the undertaking given by the learned Government Advocate (Crl.side), is recorded. Accordingly, this Criminal Original Petition is closed.

Internet:Yes./No

09.02.2024

Index:Yes/No

mm

To

1.The Superintendent of Police,
Office of the Superintendent of Police,
Ramanathapuram.

3/5



Crl.O.P.(MD) No.1989 of 2024

- 2.The Deputy Superintendent of Police,
Ramanathapuram Taluk,
Ramanathapuram District.
- 3.The Inspector of Police,
Thondi Police Station,
Thiruvadanai Taluk,
Ramanathapuram District.
- 4.The Additional Public Prosecutor,
Madurai Bench of Madras High Court,
Madurai.



WEB COPY



Crl.O.P.(MD) No.1989 of 2024

SATHI KUMAR SUKUMARA KURUP, J.

mm

CRL.O.P (MD) No.1989 of 2024

09.02.2024