



CRL OP(MD) No.1783 of 2024

WEB COPY

BEFORE THE MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT
(Criminal Jurisdiction)

Thursday, the Eighth day of February Two Thousand and Twenty Four

PRESENT

The Hon`ble Mr.Justice M.DHANDAPANI

CRL OP(MD) No.1783 of 2024

1 S.SANKAR GANESH

2 K.DIVYA

... Petitioners / Accused Rank not known

Vs

THE INSPECTOR OF POLICE
PARAMATHI POLICE STATION,
KARUR-639111,
(CRIME NO.30/2024)

... Respondent / Complainant

For Petitioners : M/s.G.V.Sridharan, Advocate

For Respondent : Mr.P.Kottaichamy,
Government Advocate (CrI. Side)

PETITION FOR ANTICIPATORY BAIL Under Sec. 438 Cr.P.C.

PRAYER : FOR ANTICIPATORY BAIL IN CRIME NO.30/2024 ON THE FILE OF
THE RESPONDENT POLICE

ORDER : The Court Made the following order :-

The petitioners/ Accused Rank not known, who apprehend arrest at the hands
of the respondent police for the offences punishable under Sections 364(A), 323, 452



CRL OP(MD) No.1783 of 2024

and 506(2) of IPC in Crime No.30 of 2024, on the file of the respondent police, seek anticipatory bail.

WEB COPY

2.The case of the prosecution is that the first petitioner borrowed a sum Rs.18,00,000/- from the defacto complainant and subsequently, the defacto complainant demanded the said amount and the same was refused by the first petitioner. Thereafter, the petitioners kidnapped the defacto complainant for committing murder. However, he narrowly escaped and lodged a complaint before the respondent police. Hence the case.

3.The learned counsel for the petitioners would submit that all the Spas were closed before six months and the first petitioner is residing in Chennai along with the second petitioner and they did not commit any offence as alleged by the prosecution. He would further submit that the alleged kidnap was happened only on 23.01.2024 in Paramathi, Karur District. During such time, the first petitioner is in Chennai and it is impossible to kidnap the defacto complainant from Paramathi and hence, he prays for grant anticipatory bail to the petitioners.

4.The Government Advocate (Crl. side) would submit that there are 14 accused involved in this case and the petitioners are arrayed as A1 and A2 and if the petitioners are released on anticipatory bail, there will be no progress in the investigation. Hence, he strongly opposed to grant anticipatory bail to the



CRL OP(MD) No.1783 of 2024

petitioners.

WEB COPY

6.Considering the serious allegation made against the petitioners and also considering the fact that if the petitioners are released on anticipatory bail, there will be no progress in the investigation ,this Court is not inclined to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioners.

7.In the result, this petition is dismissed.

sd/-
08/02/2024

/ TRUE COPY /

/02/2024
Sub-Assistant Registrar
(C.S. I / II / III /IV)
Madurai Bench of Madras High Court,
Madurai - 625 023.

SJI

To

1.The Inspector of Police,
K.Paramathi Police Station,
Karur-639111.

2.The Additional Public Prosecutor,
Madurai Bench of Madras High Court, Madurai.

ORDER
IN
CRL OP(MD) No.1783 of 2024
Date :08/02/2024



CRL OP(MD) No.1783 of 2024

ED/ GS /SAR- (13/02/2024) 4P / 3C

WEB COPY

Madurai Bench of Madras High Court is issuing certified copies in this format from 17/07/2023