



REV.APLW.(MD)No.17 of 2024

BEFORE THE MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT

DATED: 21.02.2024

WEB COPY

CORAM:

THE HONOURABLE MR.JUSTICE D.KRISHNAKUMAR

and

THE HONOURABLE MR.JUSTICE R.VIJAYAKUMAR

REV.APLW.(MD)No.17 of 2024

in

W.P.(MD)No.9294 of 2023

and

W.M.P.(MD)No.3188 of 2024

Gandhi Nagar Residents Welfare Association,

Regn. No.SRG/Trichy/39/2023,

Rep. by its Secretary,

Manickam,

Gandhi Nagar,

Gundur, Tiruchirappalli – 620 007.

: Petitioner

Vs.

1.The District Collector,

Tiruchirappalli,

Tiruchirappalli District.

2.The Tahsildar,

Thiruverumbur Taluk,

Tiruchirappalli – 13.

3.The Block Development Officer [Village Panchayat],

Thiruverumbur Panchayat Union,

Thiruverumbur,

Tiruchurappalli – 13.



REV.APLW.(MD)No.17 of 2024

WEB COPY

4.The President,
Gundur Panchayat,
Gundur,
Tiruchirappalli – 7.

5.The Chairman,
MIET Group of Institutions,
Tiruchirappalli – Pudukkottai Road,
Gundur,
Tiruchirappalli – 7.

6.B.Shanthi : Respondents

PRAYER: Review Application filed under Order 47 r/w. Section 114 of C.P.C., praying to review the order in W.P.(MD)No.9294 of 2023 dated 02.01.2024, on the file of this Court.

For Petitioner : Mr.S.Krishnan
For Respondents 1 & 2 : Mr.N.Satheesh Kumar
Additional Government Pleader

O R D E R

[Order of the Court was made by **D.KRISHNAKUMAR, J.**]

The present Review Application has been filed seeking to review the order made in W.P.(MD)No.9294 of 2023 dated 02.01.2024.



REV.APLW.(MD)No.17 of 2024

2. Heard Mr.S.Krishnan, learned Counsel appearing for the petitioner and Mr.N.Satheesh Kumar, learned Additional Government Pleader appearing for the respondents 1 & 2.

3. One Poornalingam, Secretary of Sri Vinai Theerkkum Vinayakar Alayam was arrayed as sixth respondent in W.P.(MD) No.25969 of 2022. The said Poornalingam has not challenged the order passed in the said writ petition.

4. Now, it is the case of the petitioner that the aforesaid person is a fictitious person representing the temple. On that ground, the present review application has been filed. If there is any objection for the petitioner, the same has to be made before the authorities concerned. Therefore, there is no *locus standi* for the petitioner and we are not inclined to entertain this application.

5. Accordingly, this Review Application stands dismissed. There shall be no order as to costs. Consequently, the connected miscellaneous petition is closed.

[D.K.K.,J.] & [R.V.,J.]
21.02.2024

Neutral Citation : Yes/No
Index : Yes/No
Internet : Yes/No
MR



WEB COPY



REV.APLW.(MD)No.17 of 2024

To

1.The District Collector,
Tiruchirappalli,
Tiruchirappalli District.

2.The Tahsildar,
Thiruverumbur Taluk,
Tiruchirappalli – 13.

3.The Block Development Officer [Village Panchayat],
Thiruverumbur Panchayat Union,
Thiruverumbur,
Tiruchurappalli – 13.

4.The President,
Gundur Panchayat,
Gundur,
Tiruchirappalli – 7.



WEB COPY



REV.APLW.(MD)No.17 of 2024

D.KRISHNAKUMAR, J.

and

R.VIJAYAKUMAR, J.

MR

ORDER MADE IN
REV.APLW.(MD)No.17 of 2024

21.02.2024