



Review Application (MD) No.33 of 2024

BEFORE THE MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT

WEB COPY

DATED : 30.08.2024

CORAM

THE HONOURABLE MR.JUSTICE S.M.SUBRAMANIAM

AND

THE HONOURABLE MR.JUSTICE V.LAKSHMINARAYANAN

Review Application (MD) No.33 of 2024

against

W.A.(MD).No.1811 of 2021

P.Karuppanan

... Applicant

Vs.

1.Chief Educational Officer,
Virudhunagar District,
Virudhunagar.

2.District Educational Officer,
Aruppukottai,
Virudhunagar District.

3.Correspondent,
MNMSPS Higher Secrondary School,
Mallankinar – 626 109,
Virudhunagar District.

... Respondents

Prayer: Review Application filed under Order XLVII, Rule 1 read with Section 114 of Civil Procedure Code, pleased to review the order passed in W.A.(MD).No.1811 of 2021 dated 23.11.2023 on the file of this Court and



Review Application (MD) No.33 of 2024

set aside the same with costs.

WEB COPY

For Applicant

: Mr.P.Karuppanan
Party-in-Person

ORDER

[Order of the Court was made by **S.M.SUBRAMANIAM, J.**]

The scheme of incentive increment has been implemented by the Government by way of concession in order to increase the teachers to possess additional educational qualification to impart better education to the students. Such incentive increments are to be granted scrupulously by following the terms stipulated in the Government order.

2. Scheme being a concession cannot be claimed as an absolute right, more so, beyond the scope of the Government scheme. Admittedly, the review petitioner has already received three set of incentive increments for acquiring additional educational qualifications.

3. The petitioner submitted an application seeking four incentive increments, which were not considered and the writ petition came to be



Review Application (MD) No.33 of 2024

instituted.

WEB COPY

4. We have considered the issues and rejected the claim by confirming the order of learned Single Judge in W.A.(MD).No.1811 of 2021 dated 23.11.2023. The Review petitioner appearing in person would submit that as per the Government he is eligible. However, we do not find any merit in the contention, since the scope of scheme of incentive increments cannot be expanded by the Courts.

5. That being so, the petitioner has not made out any case for entertaining the review petition and consequently stands dismissed. No costs.

[S.M.S., J.] [V.L.N., J.]
30.08.2024

Jeni
Index : Yes
Neutral Citation : Yes
Speaking order / Non-speaking order



Review Application (MD) No.33 of 2024

To

WEB COPY

- 1.The Chief Educational Officer,
Virudhunagar District,
Virudhunagar.

- 2.The District Educational Officer,
Aruppukottai,
Virudhunagar District.



WEB COPY



Review Application (MD) No.33 of 2024

S.M.SUBRAMANIAM, J.
and
V.LAKSHMINARAYANAN, J.

Jeni

Review Application (MD) No.33 of 2024

30.08.2024