



CRL OP(MD) No.1313 of 2024

WEB COPY

BEFORE THE MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT
(Criminal Jurisdiction)

Thursday, the First day of February Two Thousand and Twenty Four

PRESENT

The Hon`ble Mr.Justice M.DHANDAPANI

CRL OP(MD) No.1313 of 2024

HARIHARASUDHAN

... Petitioner / Accused Rank not known

Vs

THE INSPECTOR OF POLICE
PATTIVEERANPATTI POLICE STATION,
DINDIGUL DISTRICT.
(CRIME NO.61/2023)

... Respondent / Complainant

For Petitioner : M/s.S.Vikaram, Advocate

For Respondent : Mr.S.Manikandan,
Government Advocate (Crl. Side)

PETITION FOR ANTICIPATORY BAIL Under Sec. 438 Cr.P.C.

PRAYER : FOR ANTICIPATORY BAIL IN CRIME NO.61/2023 ON THE FILE OF
THE RESPONDENT POLICE

ORDER : The Court Made the following order :-

The petitioner/Accused Rank Not Known, who apprehends arrest at the
hands of the respondent police for the alleged offences under Sections 174 Cr.P.C.,
(Suspicious Death) altered into Section 304(2) of IPC, in Cr.No.61 of 2023, on the file



CRL OP(MD) No.1313 of 2024

of the respondent police, seeks anticipatory bail.

WEB COPY

2.The case of the prosecution is that the defacto complainant and her deceased husband were working as coolie in a coconut grove. A1 and his wife informed the owner of the coconut grove that earlier the defacto complainant's husband was in jail. Hence, the owner of the coconut grove stopped them from the job. On 31.03.2023, at about 12.30 p.m, when the defacto complainant and her husband questioned about the same to A1 and his wife, they abused them in filthy language and pushed down the defacto complainant's husband. From that occurrence, the defacto complainant's husband got ill-health. Hence, he was taken to hospital. However, he died. Hence, the case.

3.The learned counsel appearing for the petitioner would submit that the petitioner has not committed any offence as alleged by the prosecution. He would further submit that the name of the petitioner was not mentioned in the FIR. At the time of occurrence, there was only wordy altercation between them and the defacto complainant's family. In that occurrence, no one has sustained injury. Only due to the ill-health of the deceased, he died. Hence, he prays for grant anticipatory bail to the petitioner.

4.The learned Government Advocate (Crl.Side) for the State would submit that the anticipatory bail petition filed by the co-accused in Crl.OP(MD)No.21341 of 2023



CRL OP(MD) No.1313 of 2024

was dismissed by this Court, on 27.11.2023. Hence, he vehemently opposed to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioner.

5.Heard the learned counsel on either side and perused the material records of the case.

6.Considering the above facts and circumstances of the case and also considering the earlier anticipatory bail petition filed by the co-accused was dismissed by this Court, this Court is not inclined to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioner.

7.Accordingly, this Criminal Original Petition is dismissed.

sd/-
01/02/2024

/ TRUE COPY /

/02/2024
Sub-Assistant Registrar
(C.S. I / II / III /IV)
Madurai Bench of Madras High Court,
Madurai - 625 023.

DSS

To

1.The Inspector of Police,
Pattiveeranpatti Police Station,
Dindigul District.

2.The Additional Public Prosecutor,
Madurai Bench of Madras High Court, Madurai.



WEB COPY



CRL OP(MD) No.1313 of 2024

ORDER
IN
CRL OP(MD) No.1313 of 2024
Date :01/02/2024

ED/ GS /SAR- (06/02/2024) 4P / 3C

Madurai Bench of Madras High Court is issuing certified copies in this format from
17/07/2023