



**Crl.O.P.(MD) No.1406 of 2024**

**BEFORE THE MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT**

**DATED: 28.02.2024**

**CORAM**

**THE HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE SATHI KUMAR SUKUMARA KURUP**

**CRL.O.P(MD)No.1406 of 2024**

1.Kaathar @ Kathar Meeran  
2.Sitthik  
3.Paisal @ Paisal Ahamad  
4.Sahul Hameed

...Petitioners

VS

1.The State represented  
by its Inspector of Police,  
Keelaparalachi Police Station,  
Virudhunagar District.

2.Jiyaul Hak  
3.Bakker Maitheen  
4.Kabeem Nisha  
5.Tameem

...Respondents

PRAYER: Criminal Original Petition filed under Section 482 of Cr.P.C,  
praying, to call for the records in S.C.No.212 of 2022 on the file of the  
learned Sub Court, Aruppukottai and to quash the same.

|                 |                                                          |
|-----------------|----------------------------------------------------------|
| For Petitioners | : Mr.B.Muneeswaran                                       |
| For R1          | : Mr.B.Thanga Aravindh<br>Government Advocate (crl.side) |
| For R2 to R5    | :Mr.D.Saravanan                                          |



**Crl.O.P.(MD) No.1406 of 2024**

## **ORDER**

WEB COPY

The Criminal Original Petition had been filed seeking to quash the charge sheet in S.C.No.212 of 2022 pending on the file of the learned Sub Court, Aruppukottai.

2.Mr.B.Muneeswaran, learned Counsel [Enrl.No.MS745/2005], who filed vakalat on behalf of the Petitioners/Accused, Mr.B.Thanga Aravindh, learned Government Advocate (Crl.side) appearing on behalf of the first Respondent and Mr.D.Saravanan, learned Counsel (Enrl.NoMS1160/2019], who filed vakalat on behalf of the Respondents 2 to 5 are present in Court.

3.The learned Counsel for the Petitioners submitted that the Petitioner is arrayed as Accused 1 to 4 in C.C.No.212 of 2022 S.C.No.212 of 2022 on the file of the learned Sub Court, Aruppukottai. During trial, the Accused and the *de-facto* Complainant had arrived at an amicable settlement. A joint compromise memo had also been filed before this Court by the learned Counsel for the Petitioner and the learned Counsel for the Respondents 2 to 5.

4.The learned Government Advocate (crl.side), on instructions from



**Crl.O.P.(MD) No.1406 of 2024**

the first Respondent, submitted that the compromise arrived at between the parties are *bona fide*.

5.The first Respondent had also filed a status report, in which, it is stated that during trial, the accused and the *de-facto* Complainant had arrived at an amicable settlement.

6.In the light of the status report filed by the first Respondent, the joint compromise memo filed by the parties concerned is recorded. Accordingly, the ***Criminal Original Petition*** is allowed and the charge sheet in S.C.No.212 of 2022 pending on the file of the learned Sub Court, Aruppukottai, is quashed.

Internet :Yes/No  
Index :Yes/No  
NCC :Yes/No

28.02.2024

cmr

To

1.The Sub Court, Aruppukottai.  
2.The Inspector of Police,  
Keelaparalachi Police Station,

3/4



Virudhunagar District.

WEB COPY



***CrI.O.P.(MD) No.1406 of 2024***

**SATHI KUMAR SUKUMARA KURUP, J.**

cmr

CRL.O.P(MD)No.1406 of 2024

28.02.2024