



W.P.(MD)No.1610 of 2024

BEFORE THE MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT

WEB COPY

DATED 31.01.2024

CORAM

THE HONOURABLE **MRS.JUSTICE V.BHAVANI SUBBAROYAN**

W.P(MD)No.1610 of 2024

Pavithran Murugesan

...Petitioner

/Vs./

1.The Regional Passport Officer,
Regional Passport Office,
New Municipal Complex,
Thillai Nagar 7th Cross Street,
Trichirapalli-620 018.

2.The Inspector of Police,
Orathanadu Police Station,
Thanjavur District.

...Respondents

Prayer: Writ Petition - filed under Article 226 of the Constitution of India, praying this Court to issue a Writ of Mandamus, directing the 1st respondent to re-issue the passport to the petitioner in pursuant to the petitioner's application in File No.TR1067595198714, dated 20.10.2023 (Passport Application No. TR1075899381323).

For Petitioner : Mr.S.Deenadhayalan

For Respondents : Mr.K.Govindarajan (R1)

Deputy Solicitor General of India

Ms.M.Aasha (R2)

Government Advocate



W.P.(MD)No.1610 of 2024

ORDER

WEB COPY This writ petition has been filed for issuance of writ of mandamus directing the first respondent to re-issue the passport to the petitioner in pursuant to the petitioner's application in File No.TR1067595198714, dated 20.10.2023 (Passport Application No. TR1075899381323).

2. Heard the learned counsel appearing on either side and perused the materials placed before this Court.

3. The case of the petitioner is that the petitioner applied for the issuance of passport through his application File No.TR1067595198714, dated 20.10.2023 (Passport Application No. TR1075899381323). On receipt of the said application, the first respondent issued a communication on 03.11.2023 calling the petitioner for enquiry and give an explanation about the Crime No. 734 of 2021 pending on the file of the second respondent police station. The petitioner's application for grant of passport was not considered by the Passport Authority, on the ground that an FIR is pending as against this petitioner. Hence the petitioner has filed this Writ Petition for the relief stated supra.

4. The learned Deputy Solicitor General of India would submit that the Writ Petition is premature, as the petitioner's application was neither rejected



W.P.(MD)No.1610 of 2024

nor closed, as on date. The petitioner was asked to appear before the first respondent for clarification about the pendency of the criminal case registered against him and the petitioner did not appear before the authorities, but had filed the present Writ Petition. Further, the first respondent could not proceed with the issuance of passport on the ground that FIR has been registered against the petitioner in Crime No.734 of 2021.

5. The learned Government Advocate appearing for the second respondent Police would submit that the petitioner has involved in a criminal case and that the crime being a heinous crime and hence, the authorities cannot issue passport to him. The petitioner is under criminal investigation and only after the completion of trial, the first respondent can decide the issue and pass appropriate orders. She would further submits that charge-sheet has been filed before the concerned Court in the year 2021 itself and the same was not taken on file.

6. The learned counsel appearing for the petitioner produced a decision of this Court in the case of *W.Jaihar William and others .vs. The State of Tamil Nadu and others reported in 2014(2) CWC 684*, wherein, it has been observed as follows:



W.P.(MD)No.1610 of 2024

"8.....the applications submitted by the Petitioners for passport were not considered by the third respondent for the reason that FIRs are pending against them. The Superintendent of Police , Tirunelveli, has filed counter affidavits stating that First Information Reports have been filed against the Petitioners for the alleged offences, stated supra, since they are involved in the agitation against the Koodankulam Nuclear Project. So far as the Petitioner in W.P(MD)NO.8349 of 2014 is concerned, the criminal case has been registered under Sections 147, 148, 294(b), 353 and 307 IPC. Since the FIRs are pending against the Petitioners, the third respondent has not considered the applications of the Petitioners, by placing reliance on section 6(2)(f) of the Passports Act, 1967. Section 6(2)(f) reads as follows:

6.Refusal of passports,travel documents, etc---(i)....
(2)subject to the other provisions of this Act, the Passport Authority shall refuse to issue a passport or travel document for visiting any foreign country under Clause (c) of sub section (2) of Section 5 on any one or more of the following grounds and on no other ground namely:
(a) and (b).....
(f)that proceedings in respect of an offence alleged to have been committed by the Applicant are pending before the Criminal Court in India"

It is well settled legal principle that mere pendency of FIR cannot be construed as pendency of Criminal Proceedings in respect of the offences alleged to have been committed by the Applicant before the Criminal Court. Only after the Court takes cognizance of the offence alleged to have been committed by the Applicant, as stipulated under Section 190 of Cr.P.C, it can be construed as "proceedings pending before the Court".



W.P.(MD)No.1610 of 2024

7. This Court and various High Courts had allowed the Writ Petition of this nature, on the ground that unless and until the Judicial Magistrate takes cognizance of the offence, the respondents/Officials cannot mechanically refuse to issue passport to the parties, stating that FIR is pending and that mere pendency of FIR cannot be construed as pendency of criminal proceedings and the same cannot be a bar for issuance of passport to the parties concerned.

8. In view of the above settled position of law, this Court directs the first respondent to consider the application submitted by the petitioner in File No.TR1067595198714, dated 20.10.2023 (Passport Application No. TR1075899381323), if it is otherwise in order and issue appropriate orders regarding re-issuance of passport to the petitioner, within a period of six weeks from the date of receipt of a copy of this order.

9. With the above direction, the Writ Petition stands disposed of. No costs.

31.01.2024

NCC : Yes/No
Index : Yes/No
Internet: Yes/No
sm



WEB COPY



W.P.(MD)No.1610 of 2024

V.BHAVANI SUBBAROYAN, J.

sm

TO:-

- 1.The Regional Passport Officer,
Regional Passport Office,
New Municipal Complex,
Thillai Nagar 7th Cross Street,
Trichirapalli-620 018.
- 2.The Inspector of Police,
Orathanadu Police Station,
Thanjavur District.

Order made in
W.P(MD)No.1610 of 2024

Dated:
31.01.2024