



W.P(MD)No.1245 of 2024

BEFORE THE MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT

DATED: 24.01.2024

CORAM

THE HONOURABLE MRS.JUSTICE V.BHAVANI SUBBAROYAN

W.P(MD)No.1245 of 2024

Mujiburahuman

..Petitioner

Vs

1.The Regional Passport Officer,
Regional Passport Office,
Plot No.A5, Salai Road and Shastri Road Junction,
Thillai Nagar,
Trichirappalli District.

2.The Inspector of Police,
Aranthangi Police Station,
Pudukottai District,
In Crime No.20/2021.

..Respondents

Prayer: Writ Petition filed under Article 226 of the Constitution of India, praying this Court to issue a Writ of Mandamus, directing the first respondent herein to re-issue the petitioner's passport in Application No.OMNMPP2507413, dated 13.01.2024.

For Petitioner	:Mr.J.Vishnu
For R1	:Mr.K.Govindarajan Deputy Solicitor General of India
For R2	:Mrs.M.Aasha Government Advocate (Crl.side)



W.P(MD)No.1245 of 2024

ORDER

WEB COPY

The Petitioner has filed this Writ Petition seeking issuance of a Writ of Mandamus directing the first respondent to re-issue the petitioner's passport in Application No.OMNMPP2507413, dated 13.01.2024.

2.Heard the learned counsel appearing on either side and perused the materials placed before this Court.

3.The case of the Petitioner is that in order to improve his financial status of the family, he decided to go abroad for better employment and he applied for re-issuance of passport through online application No.OMNMPP2507413 TR1065974656123. On receipt of the said application, the first respondent has sent a communication to the jurisdictional Law Enforcing Authority requested them to furnish the details regarding the pendency of the criminal cases. The petitioner was involved in Cr.No.20 of 2021, on the file of the Inspector of Police, Aranthangi Police Station, Pudukottai District under Section 12 of Tamil Nadu Gaming Act, 1930. The petitioner's application for grant of passport was not considered by the Passport Authority, on the ground that an FIR is pending against this petitioner. Hence the Petitioner has filed this Writ



W.P(MD)No.1245 of 2024

Petition for the relief stated supra.

WEB COPY

4. The learned counsel appearing for the petitioner would submit that the case registered against the petitioner in Cr.No.20/2021 on the file of the Inspector of Police, Aranthangi Police Station, Pudukottai District under Section 12 of Tamil Nadu Gaming Act, 1930 was quashed by the learned Judicial Magistrate, Aranthangi.

5.The learned Deputy Solicitor General of India appearing for the first respondent would submit that the Writ Petition is premature, as the Petitioner's application was neither rejected nor closed, as on date. The Petitioner was asked to appear before the first respondent for clarification about the pendency of the criminal case registered against him and the Petitioner did not appear before the authorities, but had filed the present Writ Petition. Further, the first respondent could not proceed with the issuance of passport on the ground that FIR has been registered against the Petitioner in Crime No.20 of 2021.

6.The learned Government Advocate appearing for the second respondent Police would submit that the Petitioner has involved in a criminal case and that the crime being a heinous crime and hence, the authorities cannot



W.P(MD)No.1245 of 2024

issue passport to him. The case is in preliminary stage. The Petitioner is under criminal investigation and only after the completion of trial, the first respondent can decide the issue and pass appropriate orders. She would further submits that the case in Cr.No.20/2021 has been quashed by the concerned Court.

7.The learned counsel for the Petitioner produced a decision of this Court in the case of ***W.Jaihar William and others .vs. The State of Tamil Nadu and others reported in 2014(2) CWC 684***, wherein, it has been observed as follows:

"8.....the applications submitted by the Petitioners for passport were not considered by the third respondent for the reason that FIRs are pending against them. The Superintendent of Police , Tirunelveli, has filed counter affidavits stating that First Information Reports have been filed against the Petitioners for the alleged offences, stated supra, since they are involved in the agitation against the Koodankulam Nuclear Project. So far as the Petitioner in W.P(MD)NO.8349 of 2014 is concerned, the criminal case has been registered under Sections 147, 148, 294(b), 353 and 307 IPC. Since the FIRs are pending against the Petitioners, the third respondent has not considered the applications of the Petitioners, by placing reliance on section 6(2)(f) of the Passports Act, 1967.Section 6(2)(f) reads as follows:

6.Refusal of passports,travel documents, etc---(i)....



W.P(MD)No.1245 of 2024

(2)subject to the other provisions of this Act, the Passport Authority shall refuse to issue a passport or travel document for visiting any foreign country under Clause © of sub section (2) of Section 5 on any one or more of the following grounds and on no other ground namely:

(a) and (b).....

(f)that proceedings in respect of an offence alleged to have been committed by the Applicant are pending before the Criminal Court in India"

It is well settled legal principle that mere pendency of FIR cannot be construed as pendency of Criminal Proceedings in respect of the offences alleged to have been committed by the Applicant before the Criminal Court. Only after the Court takes cognizance of the offence alleged to have been committed by the Applicant, as stipulated under Section 190 of Cr.P.C, it an be construed as "proceedings pending before the Court".

8. This Court and various High Courts had allowed the Writ Petition of this nature, on the ground that unless and until the Judicial Magistrate takes cognizance of the offence, the respondents/Officials cannot mechanically refuse to issue passport to the parties, stating that FIR is pending and that mere pendency of FIR cannot be construed as pendency of criminal proceedings and the same cannot be a bar for issuance of passport to the parties concerned.



W.P(MD)No.1245 of 2024

9.In view of the above settled position of law, this Court directs the first respondent to consider the application submitted by the Petitioner in Application No.OMNMPP2507413, dated 13.01.2024, if it is otherwise in order and issue appropriate orders regarding re-issuance of passport to the Petitioner, within a period of six weeks from the date of receipt of a copy of this order.

10.With the above direction, the Writ Petition stands disposed of. No costs.

24.01.2024

Index : Yes/No
Internet:Yes/No
am

To

1.The Regional Passport Officer,
Regional Passport Office,
Plot No.A5, Salai Road and Shastri Road Junction,
Thillai Nagar,
Trichirappalli District.

2.The Inspector of Police,
Aranthangi Police Station,
Pudukottai District.



WEB COPY



W.P(MD)No.1245 of 2024

V.BHAVANI SUBBAROYAN,J
am

W.P(MD)No.1245 of 2024

24.01.2024