



CRL OP(MD) No.981 of 2024

WEB COPY

**BEFORE THE MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT
(Criminal Jurisdiction)**

Tuesday, the Thirtieth day of January Two Thousand and Twenty Four

PRESENT

The Hon`ble Mr.Justice M.DHANDAPANI

CRL OP(MD) No.981 of 2024

1 S.SELVAM

2 KARTHI @ ADAIKKAPPAN

**3 SEKKAPPAN @ KARUPPU
S/O. ADAIKKAPPAN**

4 DHANASEKARA PANDIAN

**5 SEKKAPPAN
S/o. SEKKAPPAN**

**6 SEKKAPPAN
S/O. ADAIKKAPPAN**

7 AMMAKANNU

8 ALAGU

... PETITIONER / ACCUSED NOS. 1 TO 8

Vs

**THE INSPECTOR OF POLICE
NERKUPPAI POLICE STATION,
SIVAGANGAI DISTRICT,
CRIME NO.4/2024**

... RESPONDENT / COMPLAINANT



CRL OP(MD) No.981 of 2024

WEB COPY

For Petitioner : M/S.THANGAPANDI.P Advocate

For Respondent : MR.S.MANIKANDAN, Govt. Advocate (CrI. Side)

PETITION FOR ANTICIPATORY BAIL Under Sec. 438 Cr.P.C.

PRAYER:

FOR ANTICIPATORY BAIL IN CRIME NO.4/2024 ON THE FILE OF THE RESPONDENT POLICE

ORDER : The Court Made the following order :-

The petitioners, who apprehend arrest at the hands of the respondent Police for the alleged offence under Sections 147, 148, 294(b), 324, 506(ii) and 379(N.H) of IPC r/w. Section 4 of TNPWH Act, in Crime No.4 of 2024, seek anticipatory bail.

2.The case of the prosecution is that when the petitioners and his family members and the rival parties were worshipping in the same native place, there was a wordy quarrel between the both parties and attack made by both of them. Hence, the complaint.

3. The learned counsel appearing for the petitioners would submit that that the petitioners have not committed any offence as alleged by the prosecution and they have been falsely implicated in this case. Further, it is a case and counter case and the



CRL OP(MD) No.981 of 2024

injured are discharged from the hospital. So, he prays for granting anticipatory bail to the petitioners.

4. The learned Government Advocate (Crl.Side) would submit that it is the case and counter case, the counter case has been registered against the defacto complainant and others in crime No.3 of 2024 before the respondent Police and the injured are discharged from the hospital. Hence, he has no objection to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioners.

5. Considering the facts and circumstances of the case and also considering the fact that it is the case and counter case and the injured are discharged from the hospital, I am inclined to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioners.

6. Accordingly, the petitioners are granted anticipatory bail and they are is ordered to be released on bail in the event of arrest or on their appearance, within a period of fifteen days from the date of receipt of a copy of this order, before the learned District Munsif cum Judicial Magistrate, Singampunari, on condition that the petitioners shall execute a bond for a sum of Rs.10,000/- (Rupees Ten Thousand Only) each, with two sureties, each for a like sum to the satisfaction of the respondent



CRL OP(MD) No.981 of 2024

police or the police officer who intends to arrest or to the satisfaction of the learned Magistrate concerned and on further condition that:

(a)if the petitioners failed to surrender before the concerned Magistrate within a period of 15 days from the date of receipt of a copy of this order, this order shall stand automatically cancelled;

(b)the sureties shall affix their photographs and left thumb impression in the surety bond and the Court concerned may obtain a copy of their Aadhar card or Bank pass Book to ensure their identity;

(c)the petitioners No.1 to 6 shall report before the respondent Police daily at 10.30 a.m., for a period of two weeks and thereafter, he shall report before the respondent Police as and when required and the petitioner Nos.7 and 8 shall appear before the respondent Police as and when required;

(d)the petitioners shall not tamper with evidence or witness either during investigation or trial;

(e)the petitioners shall not abscond either during investigation or trial;

(f)on breach of any of the aforesaid conditions, the learned Magistrate/ Trial Court is entitled to take appropriate action against the petitioners in accordance with

law as if the conditions have been imposed and the petitioners released on bail by the

<https://www.mhc.tn.gov.in/judis>



CRL OP(MD) No.981 of 2024

learned Magistrate/Trial Court himself as laid down by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in P.K.Shaji Vs. State of Kerala [(2005) AIR SCW 5560]; and;

(g)if the accused thereafter absconds, a fresh FIR can be registered under Section 229-A IPC.

sd/-
30/01/2024

/ TRUE COPY /

/02/2024
Sub-Assistant Registrar
(C.S.I /II /III /IV)
Madurai Bench of Madras High Court,
Madurai - 625 023.

INDU

TO

- 1 THE DISTRICT MUNSIF CUM
JUDICIAL MAGISTRATE,
SINGAMPUNARI.
- 2 DO THROUGH:
THE CHIEF JUDICIAL MAGISTRATE,
SIVAGANGAI DISTRICT.
- 3 THE INSPECTOR OF POLICE
NERKUPPAI POLICE STATION,
SIVAGANGAI DISTRICT,
- 4 THE ADDITIONAL PUBLIC PROSECUTOR,
MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT, MADURAI.



CRL OP(MD) No.981 of 2024

+1 CC to M/s.A.VADIVEL, Advocate (SR-1131[I] dated 30/01/2024)

ORDER

IN

CRL OP(MD) No.981 of 2024

Date :30/01/2024

PKP/GS/SAR /01.02.2024/ 6P/ 6C

Madurai Bench of Madras High Court is issuing certified
copies in this format from 17/07/2023