



BEFORE THE MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT

(Criminal Jurisdiction)

Date : 19/01/2024

PRESENT

The Hon`ble Mr.Justice M.DHANDAPANI

CRL OP(MD). No.817 of 2024

Satheesh

... Petitioner/ A1

Vs

The State rep by
The Inspector of Police,
Panagudi Police Station,
Panagudi, Tirunelveli District.
(Crime No. 3 of 2024)

... Respondent/ Complainant

For Petitioner : M/s.N.Pragalathan
Advocate.

For Respondent : Mr.B.Nambiselvan,
Additional Public Prosecutor

PETITION FOR BAIL Under Sec.439 of Cr.P.C.

PRAYER :-

For Bail in Crime No. 3 of 2024 on the file of the Respondent Police.

ORDER : The Court made the following order :-

The petitioner / A1, who was arrested and remanded to judicial custody on
<https://www.mhc.tn.gov.in/judis>

03.01.2023 for the offence punishable under Sections 379 of IPC, in Crime No.3 of



2024 on the file of the respondent Police, seeks bail.

WEB COPY

2.It is the case of the prosecution that 4 units of red sand has been illegally transported by the petitioner.

3.The learned counsel appearing for the petitioner would submit petitioner is an innocent person and he has not committed any offence as alleged by the prosecution. He would further submit that the co-accused was already released on bail. However, on instruction, he would further submit that the petitioner is ready to deposit any amount to the District Mineral Foundation Trust without prejudice to his rights.

4.The learned Additional Public Prosecutor would submit that the vehicle was seized by the respondent police and there is no previous case pending against the petitioner. He further submitted that if the person is caught with illegal sand in the mining area, he has to be directed to deposit the conditional amount to the credit of Crime number. However, in view of formation of District Mineral Foundation Trust in each district, the amount may be deposited to the credit of the said Trust for rehabilitation in the areas affected by illegal sand mining.

5.In view of the rehabilitation undertaken by the State Government under the



Tamil Nadu District Mineral Foundation Rules, 2017, and in order to curb the illegal sand mining activities and also considering the submission made by the learned counsel for the petitioner, this Court is of the opinion that the petitioner is directed to make a non refundable deposit of Rs.15,000/- (Rupees Fifteen Thousand only) to the credit of the concerned District Mineral Foundation Trust, without prejudice to his rights and contentions. This amount so deposited shall be utilised by the Trust for rehabilitation works.

6.It is made clear that the deposit of the amount by the petitioner to the Trust would not amount to admission of guilt. The trial Court shall deal with the case independently on merits without reference to the amount deposited at the stage of bail.

7.Considering the facts and circumstances of the case and also considering the submission made by the learned Additional Public Prosecutor, this Court is inclined to grant bail to the petitioner.

8.Accordingly, the petitioner is ordered to be released on bail on his executing a bond for a sum of Rs.10,000/- (Rupees Ten Thousand Only) with two sureties, each for a like sum to the satisfaction of the learned Judicial Magistrate Court,

<https://www.mhc.tn.gov.in/judis>

Valliyoar, Tirunelveli District, and on further condition that:



(a)the sureties shall affix their photographs and left thumb impression in the surety bond and the Magistrate may obtain a copy of their Aadhar card or Bank pass Book to ensure their identity;

(b)the petitioner shall make a non refundable deposit of Rs.15,000/- (Rupees Fifteen Thousand only) through demand draft to the credit of the Chairman/District Collector, District Mineral Foundation Trust of the concerned District, without prejudice to his defence before the trial Court and the learned Judicial Magistrate, after perusing the challan/ receipt, shall accept the sureties furnished by the petitioner;

(c)the petitioner shall report before the respondent police daily at 10.30 a.m, for a period of two weeks and thereafter, as and when required;

(d)the petitioner shall not tamper with evidence or witness either during investigation or trial;

(e)the petitioner shall not abscond either during investigation or trial;

(f)on breach of any of the aforesaid conditions, the learned Magistrate/ Trial Court is entitled to take appropriate action against the petitioner in accordance with law as if the conditions have been



imposed and the petitioner released on bail by the learned Magistrate/Trial Court himself as laid down by the Hon'ble Supreme

Court in P.K.Shaji Vs. State of Kerala [(2005) AIR SCW 5560]; and;

(g)if the accused thereafter absconds, a fresh FIR can be registered under Section 229-A IPC.

sd/-
19/01/2024

/ TRUE COPY /

19/01/2024
Sub-Assistant Registrar (C.S.)
Madurai Bench of Madras High Court,
Madurai - 625 023.

dss
To

1. The Judicial Magistrate, Valliyoor,
Tirunelveli District.
2. Do through the Chief Judicial Magistrate,
Tirunelveli District.
3. The Officer Incharge,
Sub Jail, Nanguneri, Tirunelveli District.
4. The Inspector of Police,
Panagudi Police Station,
Panagudi, Tirunelveli District.
5. The Additional Public Prosecutor,
Madurai Bench of Madras High Court, Madurai.



Copy To

The Chairman/District Collector,
District Mineral Foundation Trust,
Tirunelveli District.

+1. CC to N.PRAGALATHAN Advocate SR.No.839

ORDER
IN

CRL OP(MD) No.817 of 2024

Date :19/01/2024

SSA/19.01.2024 6P 8C

Madurai Bench of Madras High Court is issuing certified copies in this format from 17/07/2023