



CRL OP(MD). No.399 of 2024

WEB COPY

BEFORE THE MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT
(Criminal Jurisdiction)

Date : 10/01/2024

PRESENT

The Hon`ble Mr.Justice M.DHANDAPANI

CRL OP(MD). No.399 of 2024

Sathishkumar

... Petitioner/ Accused No.1

Vs

The Inspector of Police,
Pappanadu Police Station,
Thanjavur District.
Crime No.851/2023..

... Respondent/ Complainant

For Petitioner : Mr.K.Arunraj,
Advocate.

For Respondent : Mr.B.Nambiselvan,
Additional Public Prosecutor

PETITION FOR BAIL Under Sec.439 of Cr.P.C.

PRAYER :-

For Bail in Crime No.851/2023 on the file of the respondent police.

ORDER : The Court made the following order :-

The petitioner / Accused No.1, who was arrested and remanded to judicial custody on 26.12.2023 for the offence punishable under Sections 379 of IPC r/w 21(1) of Mines & Minerals (Development & Regulation Act), 1957, in Crime No.851 of 2023 on the file of the respondent Police, seeks bail.



CRL OP(MD). No.399 of 2024

WEB COPY

2. It is the case of the prosecution that one unit of river sand has been illegally transported by the petitioner in his tractor.

3. The learned counsel appearing for the petitioner would submit that the petitioner is an innocent person and he has not committed any offence as alleged by the prosecution. However, on instruction, he would further submit that the petitioner is ready to deposit any amount to the District Mineral Foundation Trust without prejudice to his rights.

4. The learned Additional Public Prosecutor would submit that if the person is caught with illegal sand in the mining area, he has to be directed to deposit the conditional amount to the credit of Crime number. However, in view of formation of District Mineral Foundation Trust in each district, the amount may be deposited to the credit of the said Trust for rehabilitation in the areas affected by illegal sand mining.

5. In view of the rehabilitation undertaken by the State Government under the Tamil Nadu District Mineral Foundation Rules, 2017, and in order to curb the illegal sand mining activities and also considering the submission made by the learned counsel for the petitioner, this Court is of the opinion that the petitioner is directed to make a non refundable deposit of Rs.20,000/- (Rupees Twenty Thousand only) to the credit of the concerned District Mineral Foundation Trust, without prejudice to



his rights and contentions. This amount so deposited shall be utilised by the Trust for rehabilitation works.

WEB COPY

6.It is made clear that the deposit of the amount by the petitioner to the Trust would not amount to admission of guilt. The trial Court shall deal with the case independently on merits without reference to the amount deposited at the stage of bail.

7.Considering the facts and circumstances of the case and also considering the submission made by the learned Additional Public Prosecutor, I am inclined to grant bail to the petitioner.

8.Accordingly, the petitioner is ordered to be released on bail on his executing a bond for a sum of Rs.10,000/- (Rupees Ten Thousand Only) with two sureties, each for a like sum to the satisfaction of the learned Judicial Magistrate Court, Orathanadu, and on further condition that:

(a)the sureties shall affix their photographs and left thumb impression in the surety bond and the Magistrate may obtain a copy of their Aadhar card or Bank pass Book to ensure their identity;

(b)the petitioner shall make a non refundable deposit of Rs.20,000/- (Rupees Twenty Thousand only) through demand draft to the credit of the Chairman/District Collector, District Mineral Foundation Trust of the concerned



CRL OP(MD). No.399 of 2024

District, without prejudice to his defence before the trial Court and the learned Judicial Magistrate, after perusing the challan/ receipt, shall accept the sureties furnished by the petitioner;

(c)the petitioner shall report before the respondent police daily at 10.30 a.m, for a period of two weeks and thereafter, as and when required;

(d)the petitioner shall not tamper with evidence or witness either during investigation or trial;

(e)the petitioner shall not abscond either during investigation or trial;

(f)on breach of any of the aforesaid conditions, the learned Magistrate/ Trial Court is entitled to take appropriate action against the petitioner in accordance with law as if the conditions have been imposed and the petitioner released on bail by the learned Magistrate/Trial Court himself as laid down by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in *P.K.Shaji Vs. State of Kerala [(2005) AIR SCW 5560]*; and;

(g)if the accused thereafter absconds, a fresh FIR can be registered under Section 229-A IPC.

sd/-
10/01/2024

/ TRUE COPY /

/01/2024
Sub-Assistant Registrar ()
Madurai Bench of Madras High Court,
Madurai - 625 023.



CRL OP(MD). No.399 of 2024

SSB
WEB COPY

TO

- 1 THE JUDICIAL MAGISTRATE,
ORATHANADU
- 2 DO-THROUGH :
THE CHIEF JUDICIAL MAGISTRATE,
THANJORE DISTRICT AT KUMBAKONAM.
- 3 THE OFFICER INCHARGE,
SUB JAIL, THANJAVUR.
- 4 THE INSPECTOR OF POLICE
PAPPANADU POLICE STATION,
THANJAVUR DISTRICT.
- 5 THE ADDITIONAL PUBLIC PROSECUTOR,
MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT,
MADURAI.

COPY TO:
THE CHAIRMAN/DISTRICT COLLECTOR,
DISTRICT MINERAL FOUNDATION TRUST,
THANJAVUR.

+1 CC to M/s.K.ARUNRAJ, Advocate (SR-464[I] dated 10/01/2024)

ORDER
IN
CRL OP(MD) No.399 of 2024
Date :10/01/2024

SS/SAR- /10/01/2024/5P/8C

Madurai Bench of Madras High Court is issuing certified copies in this format from 17/07/2023