



WEB COPY



W.P.(MD) No.544 of 2024

BEFORE THE MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT

DATED : 10.01.2024

CORAM:

THE HONOURABLE MR.JUSTICE D.KRISHNAKUMAR

AND

THE HONOURABLE MR.JUSTICE R.VIJAYAKUMAR

W.P.(MD)No.544 of 2024

Singarajan

... Petitioner

-Vs-

1.The District Collector,
Office of the District Collector,
Madurai District.

2.The Revenue Divisional Officer,
Office of the Revenue Divisional Officer,
Madurai District.

3.The Thasildar,
Office of the Thasildar,
Vadipatti, Madurai District.

4.The President,
Balamedu Pothu Mahalinga Samy Madathu Committee,
Balamedu,
Madurai District.

... Respondents

PRAYER: Petition filed under Article 226 of the Constitution of India, to issue a Writ of Mandamus, to direct the Respondents to permit the petitioners Paraikaruppasamy temple Bull, which was maintained by Ambedkar Paraiyar Uravinmurai at Balamedu Jallikattu on 16.01.2024 as “Karai Bull” by



W.P.(MD) No.544 of 2024

considering the petitioner's representation dated 11.12.2023 in accordance with law within time stipulated by this Court.

For Petitioner : Mr.Ezilarasu
for Mr.R.Bharath

For R1 to R3 : Mr.T.Amjadkhan
Government Advocate

ORDER

[Order of the Court was made by D.KRISHNAKUMAR, J.]

This writ petition was filed seeking issuance of writ of mandamus directing the respondents to consider the representation made by the petitioner dated 11.12.2023 seeking to allow the Bull of the petitioner to participate in the Balamedu Jallikattu scheduled to be held on 16.01.2024.

2.By consent of both parties, this writ petition is taken up for final disposal at the admission stage itself.

3.According to the petitioner, he has made a representation dated 11.12.2023 to the respondents seeking a direction to the respondents to permit the Bull of the petitioner in the Balamedu Jallikattu, which is scheduled to be held on 16.01.2024. Since the same has not been considered till date, the petitioner has approached this Court with the aforesaid prayer.



W.P.(MD) No.544 of 2024

4.Mr.T.Amjadkhan, learned Government Advocate, who takes notice for the respondents 1 to 3, on instructions, submits that the representation of the petitioner dated 11.12.2023 made by the petitioner was considered by the second respondent/Revenue Divisional Officer and orders have been passed vide proceedings in Na.Ka.No.8159/2023/C dated 09.01.2024. He has also produced a copy of the said proceedings.

5.In view of the fact that the representation of the petitioner dated 11.02.2023 has already been considered and necessary orders have been passed by the Revenue Divisional Officer on 09.01.2024, no further adjudication is required to be undertaken in this writ petition. Accordingly, this writ petition stands dismissed. The petitioner is at liberty to challenge the proceedings of the Revenue Divisional Officer before the competent civil Court, if he is so advised, in the manner known to law. No costs.

[D.K.K., J.] & [R.V., J.]
10.01.2024

NCC : Yes / No
Index : Yes / No
Internet : Yes / No

ta



WEB COPY



W.P.(MD) No.544 of 2024

D.KRISHNAKUMAR, J.

AND

R.VIJAYAKUMAR, J.

ta

To

- 1.The District Collector,
Office of the District Collector,
Madurai District.
- 2.The Revenue Divisional Officer,
Office of the Revenue Divisional Officer,
Madurai District.
- 3.The Thasildar,
Office of the Thasildar,
Vadipatti, Madurai District.

W.P.(MD)No.544 of 2024

10.01.2024