



W.P.(MD).No.823 of 2024

BEFORE THE MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT

WEB COPY

DATED: 18.01.2024

CORAM:

THE HONOURABLE Mrs. JUSTICE R. HEMALATHA

W.P.(MD).No.823 of 2024

S.Asai Alangaram

... Petitioner

Vs.

1.The Superintendent of Police,
Dindigul District.

2.The Inspector of Police,
Natham Police Station,
Dindigul District.

...Respondents

PRAYER: Writ Petition filed under Article 226 of the Constitution of India for issuance of Writ of Mandamus, directing the second respondent herein to take appropriate action on the basis of the petitioner's representation dated 03.11.2023.

For Petitioner : Mr.A.Shajahan

For Respondents : Mr.T.Senthil Kumar
Additional Public Prosecutor



W.P.(MD).No.823 of 2024

ORDER

WEB COPY

This Writ Petition is filed for a direction to the second respondent to take appropriate action on the basis of the petitioner's representation dated 03.11.2023.

2. The grievance of the petitioner is that the accused had fabricated documents to get the electricity service connection transferred in his name which actually stood in the name of the petitioner and in this regard, a complaint was given by the petitioner to the second respondent Police. Though the second respondent Police issued summons under Section 160 Cr.P.C., the accused did not appear before him. He therefore prayed for a direction to the second respondent to take proper action on the basis of his representation dated 03.11.2023 given by the present petitioner.

3. The learned Additional Public Prosecutor on instructions would contend that a circular has been issued by the Additional Director General of Police, Law and Order stating that the Police officers should refrain from entertaining any civil complaint. He also filed a copy of the said circular.



W.P.(MD).No.823 of 2024

WEB COPY

4. In the instant case, the main contention of the petitioner is that the accused has forged the signature and got the electricity service connection transferred in his name. In such circumstances, he can very well approach proper forum for redressing his grievance and this Court cannot conduct roving enquiry as to whether the accused actually had forged the signature of the petitioner.

5. In view of the same, this Writ Petition is dismissed. No costs.

18.01.2024

NCC:yes/no
Index:yes/no
Internet:yes/no
Nsr

To

- 1.The Superintendent of Police,
Dindigul District.
- 2.The Inspector of Police,
Natham Police Station,
Dindigul District.



WEB COPY



W.P.(MD).No.823 of 2024

R. HEMALATHA, J.

Nsr

W.P.(MD).No.823 of 2024

18.01.2024