



CRL OP(MD) No.42 of 2024

WEB COPY

BEFORE THE MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT
(Criminal Jurisdiction)

Thursday, the Fourth day of January Two Thousand and Twenty Four

PRESENT

The Hon`ble Mr.Justice M.DHANDAPANI

CRL OP(MD) No.42 of 2024

1 NAVEEN

2 SAKTHI @ SAKTHIVEL

3 UDHAYAKUMAR

... PETITIONERS / ACCUSED Nos. 1 to 3

Vs

THE INSPECTOR OF POLICE
PASUPATHIPALAYAM POLICE STATION,
KARUR DISTRICT.
(CRIME NO.461 OF 2023)

... RESPONDENT / COMPLAINANT

For Petitioner : M/S.VINOTHKUMAR.B Advocate

For Respondent : MR.P.KOTTAICHAMY, Govt. Advocate (CrI. Side)

PETITION FOR ANTICIPATORY BAIL Under Sec. 438 Cr.P.C.

PRAYER:

FOR ANTICIPATORY BAIL IN CRIME NO.461 OF 2023 ON THE FILE OF THE
RESPONDENT POLICE.

ORDER : The Court Made the following order :-

The petitioners/ A1 to A3, who apprehend arrest at the hands of the respondent



CRL OP(MD) No.42 of 2024

police for the alleged offence under Sections 294(b), 324, 427 and 506(2) of IPC in Crime No.461 of 2023, seeks anticipatory bail.

2.The case of the prosecution is that due to previous enmity between the defacto complainant and the petitioners, on 24.12.2023, at about 09.30 p.m, when the defacto complainant was sitting on the office steps of Ward Councillor namely, Boopathy, the petitioners said to have abused the defacto complainant in filthy language and attacked him with plastic chair and caused injuries to him. Hence, the defacto complainant lodged a complaint before the Law Enforcing Authority.

3.The learned counsel appearing for the petitioners submitted that the petitioners have not committed any offence as alleged by the prosecution and they have been falsely implicated in this case. He further submitted that the injured was discharged from the hospital. Hence, he prays for grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioners.

4.The learned Government Advocate (Crl.Side) appearing for the State submitted that on 24.12.2023, when the father of the defacto complainant was proceeding towards his house in his two wheeler, the petitioners suddenly crossed his bike. When the same was questioned by the father of the defacto complainant, there was wordy quarrel arouse between them. Due to that enmity, on the same day itself, the said occurrence was happened. However, he fairly conceded that the



CRL OP(MD) No.42 of 2024

injured has been discharged from the hospital.

5. Considering the facts and circumstances of the case and also considering the fact that the injured person has already been discharged from the hospital, I am inclined to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioners.

6. Accordingly, the petitioners are granted anticipatory bail and they are ordered to be released on bail in the event of arrest or on their appearance, within a period of fifteen days from the date of receipt of a copy of this order, before the learned Judicial Magistrate No.1, Karur, on condition that the petitioners shall execute a bond for a sum of Rs.10,000/- (Rupees Ten Thousand Only) each with two sureties, each for a like sum to the satisfaction of the respondent police or the police officer who intends to arrest or to the satisfaction of the learned Magistrate concerned and on further condition that:

(a) if the petitioners failed to surrender before the concerned Magistrate within a period of 15 days from the date of receipt of a copy of this order, this order shall stand automatically cancelled;

(b) the sureties shall affix their photographs and left thumb impression in the surety bond and the Court concerned may obtain a copy of their Aadhar card or Bank pass Book to ensure their identity;

(c) the petitioners shall report before the respondent police as when required



CRL OP(MD) No.42 of 2024

for interrogation;

(d) the petitioners shall not tamper with evidence or witness either during investigation or trial;

(e) the petitioners shall not abscond either during investigation or trial;

(f) on breach of any of the aforesaid conditions, the learned Magistrate/ Trial Court is entitled to take appropriate action against the petitioners in accordance with law as if the conditions have been imposed and the petitioners released on bail by the learned Magistrate/Trial Court himself as laid down by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in P.K.Shaji Vs. State of Kerala [(2005) AIR SCW 5560]; and;

(g) if the accused thereafter absconds, a fresh FIR can be registered under Section 229-A IPC.

sd/-
04/01/2024

/ TRUE COPY /

/01/2024
Sub-Assistant Registrar
(C.S.I /II /III /IV)
Madurai Bench of Madras High Court,
Madurai - 625 023.

DSS
TO

1 THE JUDICIAL MAGISTRATE NO.I
KARUR.



CRL OP(MD) No.42 of 2024

- 2 DO THROUGH:
THE CHIEF JUDICIAL MAGISTRATE,
KARUR DISTRICT.
- 3 THE INSPECTOR OF POLICE
PASUPATHIPALAYAM POLICE STATION,
KARUR DISTRICT.
- 4 THE ADDITIONAL PUBLIC PROSECUTOR,
MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT, MADURAI.

+1 CC to M/s.B.VINOTHKUMAR, Advocate (SR-200[I] dated 05/01/2024)

ORDER
IN
CRL OP(MD) No.42 of 2024
Date :04/01/2024

PKP/DD/SAR- /09.01.2024/ 5P/ 6C

Madurai Bench of Madras High Court is issuing certified
copies in this format from 17/07/2023