



Crl.O.P.(MD) No.136 of 2024

BEFORE THE MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT

WEB COPY

DATED: 05.01.2024

CORAM

THE HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE SATHI KUMAR SUKUMARA KURUP

CRL.O.P (MD) No.136 of 2024

T.Pushpam

...Petitioner

VS

- 1.The Inspector General of Police,
CBCID,
Chennai.
- 2.The Deputy Commissioner of Police (Crime),
Office of the Deputy Commissioner of Police,
Alagarkovil Main Road,
Madurai District.
- 3.The Assistant Commissioner of Police (Crime),
Office of the Assistant Commissioner of Police,
Thallakulam Police Station Office Campus,
Thallakulam,
Madurai.
- 4.The Inspector of Police,
Thallakulam Police Station,
Madurai District.



Crl.O.P.(MD) No.136 of 2024

5.The Inspector of Police,
CBCID,
Madurai.

*(R1 has been suo motu impleaded
by this Court vide order dated 05.01.2024)*

...Respondents

PRAYER: Criminal Original Petition filed under Section 482 of Cr.P.C, praying, to transfer the investigation on the file of 3rd respondent in Crime No.1618/2018 to the 4th respondent and complete the investigation within the period as fix by this Court.

For Petitioner : Mr.K.Muthu Ganesa Pandian
For Respondents : Mr.M.Veeranthiran
Government Advocate (Crl.side)

ORDER

This Criminal Original Petition is filed to transfer the investigation in Crime No.1618 of 2018 from the file of the fourth respondent to the file of the fifth respondent.

2.When the case came up for hearing, the learned Government Advocate (Crl.side) vehemently objects to the same stating that the complaint was given after one month. The learned Government Advocate (Crl.side), on instructions, would submit that the FIR was belated by one



Crl.O.P.(MD) No.136 of 2024

month. Therefore, they were unable to trace out the real culprits. They are likely to file RCS before the learned Judicial Magistrate.

3.In view of the submissions of the learned Government Advocate (Crl.side), the conduct of the fourth respondent is found not satisfactory. Therefore, the case in Crime No.1618 of 2018 is withdrawn from the file of the fourth respondent and transferred the same to the file of the fifth respondent.

4.The Inspector General of Police, CBCID, Chennai, is *suo motu* impleaded as first respondent. The original respondents are rearranged, accordingly.

5.The first respondent/the Inspector General of Police, CBCID, Chennai, is directed to nominate a Senior Officer not below the rank of Deputy Superintendent of Police, who in his/her assessment is experienced to conduct fair and impartial investigation and also nominate an Officer in the cadre of Superintendent of Police to supervise the investigation. The



Crl.O.P.(MD) No.136 of 2024

investigation shall be completed and the final report shall also be filed before the Court concerned as expeditiously as possible.

With the above directions, this Criminal Original Petition is allowed.

Internet: Yes./No

05.01.2024

Index: Yes/No

mm

To

- 1.The Inspector General of Police,
CBCID,
Chennai.
- 2.The Deputy Commissioner of Police (Crime),
Office of the Deputy Commissioner of Police,
Alagarkovil Main Road,
Madurai District.
- 3.The Assistant Commissioner of Police (Crime),
Office of the Assistant Commissioner of Police,
Thallakulam Police Station Office Campus,
Thallakulam,
Madurai.
- 4.The Inspector of Police,
Thallakulam Police Station,
Madurai District.



Crl.O.P.(MD) No.136 of 2024

WEB COPY

5.The Inspector of Police,
CBCID,
Madurai.

6.The Additional Public Prosecutor,
Madurai Bench of Madras High Court,
Madurai.



WEB COPY



Crl.O.P.(MD) No.136 of 2024

SATHI KUMAR SUKUMARA KURUP, J.

mm

CRL.O.P (MD) No.136 of 2024

05.01.2024