



WEB COPY



C.R.P.No.3968 of 2018

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

DATED : 14.03.2024

CORAM:

THE HONOURABLE MR.JUSTICE S.SOUNTHAR

C.R.P.No.3968 of 2018 and
C.M.P.No.22024 of 2018

K.Ganesan

... Petitioner

Vs.

- 1.V.Ranjeetham
- 2.Minor R.Boopathy
- 3.Minor R.Priya
- 4.R.Santhi
- 5.M.Krishnaveni
- 6.M.Dharmalingam
- 7.K.Rasappan
- 8.G.Kalaiselvi
- 9.Radhamani
- 10.R.Chandrasekar
- 11.Muthumanickam
- 12.L.Ragunathan
- 13.C.Saraswathi
- 14.S.Santhi
- 15.A.Jayaraj
- 16.R.Senthilperumal
- 17.K.Mathuravalli
- 18.P.Ramasamy
- 19.R.Rajammal
- 20.P.Sumathi
- 21.S.Ganeshkumar
- 22.S.Rani
- 23.P.Subbulakshmi

1/5



C.R.P.No.3968 of 2018

- 24.S.R.Jayanthi
- 25.K.Devi
- 26.M.Bharathi
- 27.A.Amsavarthini
- 28.R.Chandrasekaran
- 29.V.Kumar
- 30.S.Sambaji
- 31.S.Deepak Kumar
- 32.R.Venkatesh
- 33.R.Muthu
- 34.K.Dhanam
- 35.R.Balamurugan
- 36.J.Prathap
- 37.A.Vijayakumar
- 38.N.Nithin Narayanamali
- 39.N.Sanjaikumar
- 40.B.Prakash
- 41.G.Shyamala
- 42.V.R.Mani
- 43.G.Srinivasan
- 44.P.Banumathi
- 45.S.Madheswaran
- 46.S.Arumugam
- 47.V.Sengodan
- 48.Balakrishnan
- 49.K.Ramakrishnan
- 50.P.Ramasamy
- 51.r.Rajammal
- 52.D.Natarajan
- 53.D.Murugesan
- 54.S.Pachiappan
- 55.A.M.Thangavel
- 56.G.Rajeswari
- 57.R.Saraswathi
- 58.R.Kalpanavalli

...Respondents



PRAYER: Civil Revision Petition filed under Article 227 of Constitution of India, praying to set aside the order and decretal order dated 03.11.2018 made in Tr.O.P.No.109 of 2018 on the file of the Principal District Court, Salem praying the same be set aside and withdraw the suit in O.S.No.42 of 2011 pending before the Principal Sub-Judge, Salem to any other Sub-Ordinate Court in the District of Salem.

For Petitioner : M/sV.Srikanth

For Respondent 1 : Mr.T.Sezhian
for M/s.R.Meenal

For respondents 2 & 3 : Minor represented by R4

For respondents 4 to 58
(except R25, 27, 29,30,
35, 36, 41 & R7) : served-no appearance

For respondents 25, 27, : Not ready in notice
29,30, 35, 36, 41 & R7

ORDER

The learned counsel for the petitioner made an endorsement stating that Civil Revision Petition has become infructuous. Therefore, nothing survives for further adjudication in this matter.



C.R.P.No.3968 of 2014

WEB COPY

2. Recording the endorsement made by the learned counsel for the petitioner, this Civil Revision Petition is dismissed as infructuous. Consequently, the connected miscellaneous petition is closed. No costs.

14.03.2024

Index : Yes / No
Internet : Yes / No
nr

To

The learned Principal District Judge, Salem



WEB COPY



C.R.P.No.3968 of 2018

S.SOUNTHAR, J.

nr

C.R.P.No.3968 of 2018 and
C.M.P.No.22024 of 2018

14.03.2024