



WEB COPY



CRL OP No. 31897 of 2024

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

DATED: 20-12-2024

CORAM

**THE HON'BLE MR JUSTICE A.D.JAGADISH CHANDIRA**

**CRL OP No. 31897 of 2024**

G. Chitti Babu,  
S/o. Gunasekaran, No.172, Sirumailur Village, Padoor,  
Kancheepuram District – 631 606.

Petitioner(s)

Vs

State Rep.By,  
The Sub Inspector Of Police,  
Salavakkam Police Station, Kanchipuram District.  
(Crime No.72 of 2019)

Respondent(s)

**For Petitioner(s):**

Thiyagarajan  
**V.Manimara**  
A.Tamilselvan  
B.Sasikala  
Y.Akshmathew

**For Respondent(s):**

Public Prosecutor

**ORDER**

The petitioner, who apprehends arrest at the hands of the respondent police for the offences punishable under Sections 430, 379 of IPC and Section 21(1) of Mines and Minerals (Development & Regulations) Act, 1957 in Crime No.72 of 2019, on the file of the respondent police, seeks anticipatory bail.



2. The case of the prosecution is that the petitioner was found in possession of 1/4 unit of sand river, which was being transported in a Bolero Car without havind any valid license. Hence, this case.

3. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner is innocent and has been falsely implicated in this case. He further submitted that without prejudice to his contentions, the petitioner is willing to deposit a non-refundable amount of Rs.5,000/- towards any charitable organization or association. Therefore, he prays for grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioners.

4. Learned Government Advocate (Crl. Side) appearing for the respondent Police opposed the granting of anticipatory bail to the petitioner, stating that the quantity of river sand involved in this case is quarter unit. The petitioner is also willing to abide by any strignent conditions. He further submitted that the petitioner has no previous cases.



5. Heard the learned counsel for the petitioner and the learned Government Advocate (Crl. side) for the respondent and perused the materials available on record.

6. In respect of grant or refusal of anticipatory bail to the persons indulging in illegal sand mining, smuggling and theft of sand and minerals, the Apex Court in ***S.Mohamed Shahul Hameed Vs. State rep. by the Inspector of Police (Special Leave to Appeal (Crl.) No.6029 of 2020 dated 11.12.2020)***, while expressing disagreement with the sweep observation made by this Court on the aspect of continuous misuse of discretionary power by the offenders and the enforcers as well in an organized manner, has clarified that in consideration of anticipatory bail, the role assigned to a person would have to be considered.

7. Taking into consideration the facts and circumstances of the case and the quantity involved in this case, and illegally transported vehicle and river sand were seized and also taking note of that, the petitioner has no previous



cases, this Court is inclined to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioner.

However, in order to curb illegal sand mining activities and taking into consideration the voluntary submission made by the petitioner offering to deposit a considerable amount to any charitable organization or association, this Court is of the opinion that as one of the conditions for grant of anticipatory bail, the petitioner may be directed to deposit a sum of Rs.5,000/- (Rupees Five Thousand only) to the credit of District Legal Services Authority, Kancheepuram District, without prejudice to his rights and contentions before the trial Court.

8. It is made clear that merely because the petitioner is depositing the amount, it would not amount to the petitioner admitting his guilt in the criminal case and such amount is being paid without prejudice to the right of the petitioner.

9. Accordingly, the petitioner shall make a non refundable deposit of **Rs.5,000/- (Rupees Five Thousand only)** by way of Demand



Draft/RTGS/NEFT to the credit of the **District Legal Services Authority,**

**Kancheepuram District,** and on such deposit and on receipt of proof of

payment, the petitioner is ordered to be released on bail in the event of arrest

or on his appearance, within a period of fifteen days from the date on which

the order copy made ready, before the *learned Judicial Magistrate,*

*Uthiramerur,* on condition that the petitioner shall execute a bond for a sum

of Rs.5,000/- (Rupees Five Thousand only) with two sureties for a like sum

to the satisfaction of the respondent police or the police officer who intends

to arrest or to the satisfaction of the learned Magistrate concerned, failing

which, the petition for anticipatory bail shall stand dismissed and on further

conditions that:

**[a] the petitioner shall report before the respondent police daily at 10.30 a.m., until further orders.**

**[b] the petitioner shall not tamper with evidence or witness either during the investigation or during the trial.**

**[c] the petitioner shall not abscond either during the investigation or during the trial.**

**[d] On breach of any of the aforesaid conditions, the learned Magistrate/Trial Court is entitled to take appropriate action**



WEB COPY

against the petitioner in accordance with law as if the conditions have been imposed and the petitioner was released on bail by the learned Magistrate/Trial Court himself as laid down by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in **P.K.Shaji vs. State of Kerala [(2005)AIR SCW 5560]**;

[e] If the petitioner thereafter absconds, a fresh FIR can be registered under Section 269 of BNS.

**20-12-2024**

klt

To

1. The Sub Inspector Of Police,  
Salavakkam Police Station, Kanchipuram District.  
(Crime No.72 of 2019)



WEB COPY



**A.D. JAGADISH CHANDIRA, J.**

klt

**CRL.OP. No. 31897 of 2024**

**20-12-2024**